Standard sirens for LISA

Nicola Tamanini



Laboratoire des 2 infinis - Toulouse **CNRS / IN2P3 / Univ. Paul Sabatier**







20/06/2024

Outline

Standard sirens: bright and dark and spectral
Current results from LIGO/Virgo/KAGRA
Prospects for LISA

How do we extract cosmological information from gravitational wave observations?





The GW waveform (in time-domain at the lowest Newtonian order) used to detect GWs and measure the parameters of the system is (for the \times polarisation)

$$h_{\mathsf{x}}(t_o) = \frac{4}{d_L} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^2}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{\pi f_{\mathsf{gw},o}}{c}\right)^{2/3} \cos\theta \sin\left[-2\left(\frac{5G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^3}\right)^{-5/8} \tau_o^{5/8} + \Phi_0\right]$$



The GW waveform (in time-domain at the lowest Newtonian order) used to detect GWs and measure the parameters of the system is (for the \times polarisation)

$$h_{\mathsf{x}}(t_{o}) = \frac{4}{d_{L}} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^{2}}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{\pi f_{\mathsf{gw},o}}{c}\right)^{2/3} \cos\theta \sin\left[-2\left(\frac{5G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^{3}}\right)^{-5/8} \tau_{o}^{5/8} + \Phi_{0}\right]$$

Most importantly for cosmology, one can measure the luminosity distance d_L of the source directly from the GW signal without relying on the cosmic distance ladder (only GR has been assumed)



$$h_{\mathsf{X}}(t_o) = \frac{4}{d_L} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^2}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{\pi f_{\mathsf{gw},o}}{c}\right)^{2/3} \cos\theta \sin\left[-2\left(\frac{5G\mathcal{M}_{cz}}{c^3}\right)^{-5/8} \tau_o^{5/8} + \Phi_0\right]$$

Note however that the waveform above does not depend explicitly on the redshift z, which cannot thus be measured directly from GWs

One needs independent information on the redshift of the source to do cosmology: if both d_L and z are known one can fit the *distance redshift relation*

$$d_L(z) = \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{1+z}{\sqrt{\Omega_k}} \sinh\left[\sqrt{\Omega_k} \int_0^z \frac{H_0}{H(z')} dz'\right]$$

This is very similar to standard candles (supernovae type-Ia), from which the name <u>standard sirens</u> (using the analogy between GWs and sound waves)



[Schutz, Nature (1986)]

How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)

How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)





[Schutz, Nature (1986)]

Example: GW170817

[LVC+, ApJL (2017)]

How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)





[Gray+, *PRD* (2020)

[Gray+, JCAP (2023)]

How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)

By stacking together the results from many events, the values given by the spurious galaxies cancel out and the true cosmological parameters emerge





How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)

By stacking together the results from many events, the values given by the spurious galaxies cancel out and the true cosmological parameters emerge





How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)



By stacking together the results from many events, the values given by the spurious galaxies cancel out and the true cosmological parameters emerge



How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)

$$m_{\rm obs} = (1+z)m_{\rm src}$$



 $m_{\rm src}$

 $m_{\rm obs}$



[Taylor+, PRD (2012)]

[Mastrogiovanni+, PRD (2021)]

How can we determine the redshift of a GW source? Three main methods:

- By identifying an EM counterpart (bright sirens)
- By cross-correlating sky-localisation with galaxy catalogs (statistical dark sirens)
- By exploiting features in the source mass distribution (spectral dark sirens)

$$m_{\rm obs} = (1+z)m_{\rm src}$$





Phenomenological models for the LVK BBH population



[Taylor+, PRD (2012)]

[Mastrogiovanni+, PRD (2021)]

Method	Pros	Cons		
EM counterpart	Accurate redshift estimation, golden sirens	Infrequent and rare events, tentative associations		
Galaxy catalogs	Available even for BBHs, several EM bands to check consistency	Less and less incomplete, less constraining for poorly localized events		
Clustering	No EM counterpart needed, more efficient for poorly localized events	Needs to know the dark matter density field. Incompleteness issue		
Quadruple lensing	Provides 4 bright golden sirens at the price of one.	Could be rare events and lensing follow-up could be difficult		
Source-frame mass	No needs of EM counterparts, can fit conjointly cosmology and astrophysics	Needs to be driven by some astrophysical expectation		
Rate evolution	As above	As above		
Tidal deformation	No need of EM counterpart, detectable from the waveform.	Needs to obtain a Universal EOS from few calibrators		

Status of Earth-based GW observations:



Status of Earth-based GW observations:

- O1: 2015 (completed), LIGO only, 4 months of data, 3 BBHs detected
- O2: 2017 (completed), LIGO(+VIRGO for GW1708xx only), 6 months of data, 7 BBHs + 1 BNS with EM counterpart (GW170817) [LVC, PRX (2019)]
- O3: 2019 (completed), LIGO+VIRGO, ~1 year of data, 79 events, 73 BBHs + 2 BNSs + 4 NSBHs [LVK, PRX (2020)] [LVK, ApJL (2021)] [LVK, arXiv (2021)]
- O4: started May 2023 LIGO+VIRGO(+KAGRA)
- **O5**: ~2027

90 high-significance* GW events in total so far

*for additional lowersignificance events see arXiv:2108.01045



Status of Earth-based GW observations:

- O1: 2015 (completed), LIGO only, 4 months of data, 3 BBHs detected
- O2: 2017 (completed), LIGO(+VIRGO for GW1708xx only), 6 months of data, 7 BBHs + 1 BNS with EM counterpart (GW170817) [LVC, PRX (2019)]
- O3: 2019 (completed), LIGO+VIRGO, ~1 year of data, 79 events, 73 BBHs + 2 BNSs + 4 NSBHs [LVK, PRX (2020)] [LVK, ApJL (2021)] [LVK, arXiv (2021)]
- O4: started May 2023 LIGO+VIRGO(+KAGRA)

O5: ~2027

https://observing.docs.ligo.org/plan



GW170817: the first ever (bright) standard siren



The identification of an EM counterpart yielded the <u>first cosmological</u> <u>measurements with GW standard sirens</u>

$$H_0 = 69^{+17}_{-8} \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$$

[LVC+, *Nature* (2017)] [LVC, *PRX* (2019)]



Low-redshift event (z = 0.01): only H_0 can be measured (Hubble law)

$$d_L(z) \simeq \frac{c}{H_0} z \quad for \ z \ll 1$$

Results largely in agreement with EM constraints (SNIa/CMB), but not yet competitive with them

Current dark and spectral standard siren

A joint analysis combining all dark sirens methods (statistical+spectral) + GW170817 provides the best constraint so far (including marginalisation over population parameters)

$$H_0 = 69^{+12}_{-7} \,\mathrm{km}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$$

This represents a ~20% improvement over GW170817 only results

LVK O4 cosmological results will combine all standard siren methods (bright+spectral+statistical) for the first time



[LVK, *ApJ* (2023)] [Gray+, *JCAP* (2023)]

Future prospects

FUTURE PROSPECTS WITH LVK: The current network of ground-based detectors is not expected to measure H_0 at few % accuracy before ~2030.



Few % accuracy on H_0 possible only in the most optimistic O5 scenario

[Kiendrebeogo+, ApJ (2023)]

Run	Telescope	BNS	NSBH		
EM annual number of detections					
04	ZTF	$0.43\substack{+0.58 \\ -0.26}$	$0.13\substack{+0.24 \\ -0.11}$		
	Rubin	$1.97\substack{+2.68\\-1.2}$	$0.03\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.03}$		
O5	ZTF	$0.43\substack{+0.44 \\ -0.2}$	$0.09\substack{+0.12 \\ -0.06}$		
	Rubin	$5.39\substack{+6.59\\-2.99}$	$0.43\substack{+0.59 \\ -0.28}$		

Laser Interferometer Space Antenna



Design:

- Near equilateral triangular formation in heliocentric orbit
- 6 laser links (3 active arms)
- Arm-length: 2.5 million km
- Mission duration: 4 to 10 yrs
- Adopted by ESA in 2024 !
- Launch: mid-2030s

Standard siren sources:

- Stellar-mass BBHs $(10 100 M_{\odot})$
- Intermediate-mass BBHs? ($\gtrsim 100\,M_{\odot}$)
- Extreme mass ratio inspirals (EMRIs)

• MBHBs
$$(10^4 - 10^7 M_{\odot})$$

*EM counterparts expected

[LISA, ArXiv (2017)]



Stellar-mass BBHs

• Redshift range: $z \leq 0.1$



- No EM counterparts expected
- LISA detections: ~50/yr (optimistic) ~few/yr
- Useful as standard sirens:
 - If $\Delta d_L/d_L < 0.2$
 - If $\Delta \Omega \sim 1 \ \mathrm{deg}^2$
 - $\Rightarrow \sim 5 \text{ standard sirens / yr}$ ~0.1 standard sirens / yr
- Expected results:
 - H_0 to few %
 - H_0 not measured

[Kyutoku & Seto, *PRD* (2017)] [Del Pozzo+, *MNRAS* (2018)]



IMBHs can be used in **multi-band analyses** since their merger can be observed by ground-based detectors and their inspiral by LISA

- Expected results:
 - H_0 to few % with $\mathcal{O}(10)$ IMBHs (rates yet unknown)



[Muttoni+, PRD (2022)]



EMRIs

- Redshift range: $0.1 \lesssim z \lesssim$
- Dark Sirens
- No EM counterparts expected
- LISA detections: from 1 to 1000/yr
- Useful as standard sirens:
 - $0.1 \leq z \leq 1$
 - If $\Delta d_L/d_L < 0.1$
 - If $\Delta \Omega < 2 \ \mathrm{deg}^2$
 - \Rightarrow ~ 1 to 100 standard sirens / yr

Expected results:

- H_0 between 1 and 10 %
- w_0 between 5 and 10 %

[MacLeod & Hogan, *PRD* (2008)] [Laghi+, *MNRAS* (2021)]



EMRIs

• Redshift range: $0.1 \leq z \leq$



- No EM counterparts expected
- LISA detections: from 1 to 1000/yr
- Useful as standard sirens:
 - $0.1 \leq z \leq 1$
 - If $\Delta d_L/d_L < 0.1$
 - If $\Delta \Omega < 2 \ deg^2$
 - \Rightarrow ~ 1 to 100 standard sirens / yr

Expected results:

- H_0 between 1 and 10 %
- w_0 between 5 and 10 %



- Redshift range source: $0.5 \leq z \leq 2$
- Lensed host galaxy may be identified
- LISA detections: 0 to 10/yr
- Expected results with one LEMRI:
 - H_0 at 1% or better (assuming Ω_m)



31

(In 4 yr)	Standard	w Obsc./Colli. radio
Light	6.4	1.6
Heavy	14.8	3.3
Heavy-no-delays	20.7	3.5



MBHBs

• Redshift range: $z \leq 20$



- EM counterparts expected
- LISA detections: 1 to 100/yr
- Useful as standard sirens:
 - $z \lesssim 7$
 - If $\Delta d_L/d_L \lesssim 0.1$ (include lensing)
 - If $\Delta \Omega < 10 \ \mathrm{deg}^2$
 - → 1 to 5 standard sirens / yr
 (with EM counterpart)
- Expected results:
 - H_0 to few %
 - "Precise" high-z cosmography

[Tamanini+, *JCAP* (2016)] [Mangiagli+, *ArXiv* (2023)]



LISA MBHB data will be very useful to probe ΛCDM at high-redshift



Future prospects

The combination of different standard sirens will allow LISA to measure the expansion of the universe from $z \sim 0.01$ to $z \sim 10$



[Tamanini, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* (2017)] [Laghi, Tamanini+, *in prep.*]

LISA Source	Redshift Range	Detection Rates	Redshift Measure (Bright Sirens)	Well Localised (Dark Sirens)	$\frac{\Delta H_0}{H_0}$	More
SOBHBs	$\lesssim 0.1$	$\lesssim 1/{ m yr}$	None	$\lesssim 0.1/{ m yr}$	None	
IMBHs	$\lesssim 0.1$	$\lesssim 10/\mathrm{yr}(?)$	None	$\lesssim 2/\mathrm{yr}(?)$	~2%	Multiband
EMRIs	$\lesssim 4$	$\lesssim 1000/{ m yr}$	None	$\lesssim 100/yr$ @ $z \lesssim 1$	1-10%	$\Delta w_0 \lesssim 0.1$
LEMRIs	$\lesssim 4$	$\lesssim 10/{ m yr}$	$\lesssim 1/yr$ @ $z \lesssim 2$	$\lesssim 10/yr$ (?) @ $z \lesssim 1$	~1%	
MBHBs	$\lesssim 20$	$\lesssim 100/{ m yr}$	$\lesssim 3/yr$ @ $z \lesssim 7$	$\lesssim 10/yr$ (?) @ $z \lesssim 2$	2-10%	High-z Analyses
LMBHBs	$\lesssim 20$	$\lesssim 1/{ m yr}$	$\lesssim 0.1/yr$ (?) @ $z \lesssim 2$	$\lesssim 0.1/yr$ (?) @ $z \lesssim 2$	~10%	High-z Analyses
Combined			$\lesssim 3/\mathrm{yr}$	$\lesssim 100/{ m yr}$	$\lesssim 1\%$	High-z and dark energy Analyses

Conclusions

- Standard sirens are excellent distance indicators:
 - They do not require calibration and are not affected by systematics
 - Can be used with or without an EM counterpart
 - Bright and Dark Sirens
 - New cosmological tests complementary to EM observations
- Current observations with ground-based detectors:
 - First standard siren discovered: GW170817
 - First GW measurement of H_0
 - Dark sirens results currently not competitive, but significant improvement on top of GW170817
- Future prospects:
 - Future observations useful to solve tension on H_0
 - LISA will bring precise GW cosmology and will test LCDM at high-redshift