A WEAKLY PARAMETRIC APPROACH TO STOCHASTIC BACKGROUND IN LISA

> [arXiv:2311.12111](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.12111) Accepted on PRD

**Speaker**: Federico Pozzoli **Co-Authors**: Riccardo Buscicchio, Christopher J. Moore, Alberto Sesana, Francesco Haardt

11th LISA CosWG - 19/6/2024





### STOCHASTIC BACKGROUND IN LISA

LISA Definition Study Report



### SEARCHING BACKGROUND IN LISA -CHALLENGES

$$
C(f) = S_n(f) + R(f)S_n(f)
$$

### SEARCHING BACKGROUND IN LISA -CHALLENGES

$$
C(f) = S_n(f) + R(f)S_n(f)
$$

- Non-Stationarity (gaps, glitches, …)
- Noise Uncertainties
- Correlation between TDI channels
- likely non-existing null channel

### SEARCHING BACKGROUND IN LISA -CHALLENGES

$$
C(f) = S_n(f) + R(f)S_n(f)
$$

- Non-stationarity, Anisotropy, Non-Gaussianity
- Overlapping signals
- Astrophysical Models depend on populations
- Cosmological Models depend on fundamental physics

### SEARCHING BACKGROUND IN LISA - MODELS





What do we propose?

### SEARCHING BACKGROUND IN LISA - MODELS





**→** Fourier Domain

- **→** Fourier Domain
- ➔ GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables

- **→** Fourier Domain
- ➔ GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- **→** Whittle Likelihood

- **→** Fourier Domain
- ➔ GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- **→** Whittle Likelihood
- ➔ Gaussian, Isotropic and stationary SGWB

- **→** Fourier Domain
- ➔ GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- **→** Whittle Likelihood
- $\rightarrow$  Gaussian, Isotropic and stationary SGWB
- ➔ Model Signal and Noise strain:

- **→** Fourier Domain
- $\rightarrow$  GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- **→** Whittle Likelihood
- $\rightarrow$  Gaussian, Isotropic and stationary SGWB
- ➔ Model Signal and Noise strain:
	- + We assume perfect knowledge of TDI transfer matrix (\*)

$$
S_{n,\alpha\beta}(f) = \frac{1}{2} S_n(f) M_{\alpha i, \text{TDI}}(f) M_{i\beta, \text{TDI}}^*(f)
$$

\*Note: OMS and Acceleration noise components have different transfer function

- **→** Fourier Domain
- $\rightarrow$  GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- ➔ Whittle Likelihood
- $\rightarrow$  Gaussian, Isotropic and stationary SGWB
- ➔ Model Signal and Noise strain:
	- + We assume perfect knowledge of TDI transfer matrix

$$
S_{n,\alpha\beta}(f) = \frac{1}{2} S_n(f) M_{\alpha i, \text{TDI}}(f) M_{i\beta, \text{TDI}}^*(f)
$$

+ We assume perfect knowledge of LISA response function

$$
S_{h,\alpha\beta}(f) = R_{\alpha\beta}(f)S_h(f).
$$

- **→** Fourier Domain
- $\rightarrow$  GENERATION 1.5 TDI (Unequal and constants LISA arms) and AET variables
- ➔ Whittle Likelihood
- $\rightarrow$  Gaussian, Isotropic and stationary SGWB
- ➔ Model Signal and Noise strain:
	- + We assume perfect knowledge of TDI transfer matrix

$$
S_{n,\alpha\beta}(f) = \frac{1}{2} S_n(f) M_{\alpha i, \text{TDI}}(f) M_{i\beta, \text{TDI}}^*(f)
$$

+ We assume perfect knowledge of LISA response function

$$
S_{h,\alpha\beta}(f) = R_{\alpha\beta}(f) S_h(f)
$$

### We employ the expectation value of Gaussian Process to model the PSD

$$
\mu(X_*|X) = \mu(X_*) + \Sigma(X_*, X)\Sigma(X, X)^{-1}(g(X) - \mu(X))
$$

#### We employ the expectation value of Gaussian Process to model the PSD

$$
\mu(X_*|X) = \mu(X_*) + \Sigma(X_*, X)\Sigma(X, X)^{-1}(g(X) - \mu(X))
$$

BASELINE



#### We employ the expectation value of Gaussian Process to model the PSD

$$
\mu(X_*|X) = \mu(X_*) + \Sigma(X_*, X)\Sigma(X, X)^{-1}(g(X) - \mu(X))
$$



DEVIATION FROM THE BASELINE AT KNOTS where

$$
\Sigma^{RBF}_{ij}(X,Y)=k_{RBF}(x_i,y_j)=\exp(-\frac{|x_i-y_j|^2}{2\sigma^2})
$$

We employ the expectation value of Gaussian Process (EGP) to model the PSD

$$
\mu(X_*|X) = \mu(X_*) + \Sigma(X_*, X)\Sigma(X, X)^{-1}(g(X) - \mu(X))
$$

BASELINE

DEVIATION FROM THE BASELINE AT KNOTS

The model depends on 2 hyperparameters:

- the number of knots
- kernel lengthscale

We employ the expectation value of Gaussian Process (EGP) to model the PSD

$$
\mu(X_*|X) = \mu(X_*) + \Sigma(X_*, X)\Sigma(X, X)^{-1}(g(X) - \mu(X))
$$

SIGNAL with power-law as baseline

NOISE with fixed baseline at SciRD level

\*Number of knots can be different for noise and signal

- The largest majority of EMRIs will be undetectable **Possible SGWB** 





- The largest majority of EMRIs will be undetectable Possible SGWB



- EMRI are extremely complex objects, both for the GW waveform and population



- The largest majority of EMRIs will be undetectable Possible SGWB



- EMRI are extremely complex objects, both for the GW waveform and population
- A lot of uncertainties in the SGWB



- The largest majority of EMRIs will be undetectable Possible SGWB



- EMRI are extremely complex objects, both for the GW waveform and population
- A lot of uncertainties in the SGWB





Free Template algorithm is necessary to study this kind of SGWB

- We inject noise + EMRI realization M1 from Pozzoli et al 23
- We recover noise with EGP using 3 knots
- We recover signal exploring different combination of hyperparameters



**BETTER FITBETTER** 





In this work, we introduce a new flexible method for the simultaneous inference of SGWB and LISA noise.

### FUTURE EXTENSIONS:

- Multiple Backgrounds Injection
- Include correlation terms in TDI matrix
- Transdimensional Sampler
- Non-Stationary Signal (e.g., MW Foreground)







$$
C(f, f') = \sum_{n=-8}^{n=8} B_n S_h \left( \frac{f'+f}{2} \right) \delta \left( f - f' + \frac{n}{T} \right)
$$

