Bayesian Interpretation of Backus Gilbert methods

with L Del Debbio, M Panero, N Tantalo

Alessandro Lupo





The inverse problem



- We are concerned with computing the spectral density $\rho(E)$ associated to a lattice correlator C(t)
- Ill-posed in presence of a finite set of noisy data
- ▶ There are ways to regularise the problem. Different assumptions, one way to express the result

$$\rho_{\sigma}(E) = \sum_{t} g_t(\sigma; E) \ C(t)$$

$$\rho(E) = \lim_{\sigma \to 0} \rho_{\sigma}(E)$$





 10^{1} 10^{-2} 10.5 Finite set of measurements vs function with 10'8 potentially continuous support 10.11 10^{-14} $\frac{1}{10} C(t) = \int dE \ oldsymbol{
ho}(E) \ e^{-tE} \, .$ Target function is a distribution ó Information is suppressed by $\exp(-tE)$ 0.6 0.4 We work we data that is affected by errors 0.2

0.0

Smearing



Smearing must be introduced to have a function that is smooth even in a finite volume

$$\rho_{\sigma}(\omega) = \int dE \, \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}(E,\omega) \, \rho(E)$$

 Linear combinations of correlators automatically produce a smeared SD

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\sigma}(\omega) &= \sum_{t} g_{t}(\sigma; \omega) \ C(t) \\ &= \sum_{t} g_{t}(\sigma; \omega) \int dE \ e^{-tE} \rho(E) \end{split}$$

▶ We can now take the infinite volume limit

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \rho_L(E) = \bigotimes_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{L \to \infty} \rho_L(\sigma; E) = \rho(E)$$



Bayesian Inference with Gaussian Processes



- Aim for a probability distribution over a functional space of possible spectral densities
- Consider the stochastic field $\mathcal{R}(E)$ Gaussian-distributed around the prior value $\rho^{\text{prior}}(E)$ with covariance $\mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(E, E')$.

$$\mathcal{GP}\left(\rho^{\mathrm{prior}}(E), \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{prior}}(E, E')\right)$$

• Similarly, assume that observational noise is Gaussian: $\eta(t)$

$$\mathbb{G}\left(\eta, \operatorname{Cov}_{d}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\eta}^{T} \operatorname{Cov}_{d}^{-1} \vec{\eta}\right)$$

• The stochastic variable associated to the correlator, C, is related to \mathcal{R} and η via

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \int dE \, e^{-tE} \mathcal{R}(E) + \eta(t)$$

• Incomplete list of references:

 ${\rm FASTSUM}$ collab. , Valentine, Sambridge 19 $\,$, Horak, Pawlowski, Rodríguez-Quintero, Turnwald, Urban 21 Del Debbio, Giani, Wilson 21 $\,$

Bayesian Inference with Gaussian Processes



• The joint, posterior distribution is again Gaussian, centred around ρ^{post} centre and variance:

$$\begin{split} \rho^{\text{post}}(\omega) &= \rho^{\text{prior}}(\omega) + \sum_{t=1}^{t_{\text{max}}} g_t^{\text{GP}}(\omega) \, \left(C(t) - \int_0^\infty dE \, e^{-tE} \rho^{\text{prior}}(E) \right. \\ \mathcal{K}^{\text{post}}(\omega, \omega) &= \left(\mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(\omega, \omega) - \sum_{t=1}^{t_{\text{max}}} g_t^{\text{GP}}(\omega) f_t^{\text{-}\text{GP}}(\omega) \right) \end{split}$$

• The coefficients can be written as

 $\vec{g}^{\mathrm{GP}}(\omega) = (\Sigma^{\mathrm{GP}} + \lambda \mathrm{Cov}_{\mathrm{d}})^{-1} \vec{f}^{\mathrm{GP}}$

• With the following ingredients:

$$\begin{split} \Sigma^{\text{GP}}{}_{tr} &= \int dE_1 \int dE_2 \; e^{-tE_1} \; \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(E_1, E_2) \; e^{-rE_2} \quad \text{ill cond} \\ f_t^{\text{ GP}}(\omega) &= \int dE \; \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(\omega, E) \; e^{-tE} \end{split}$$





Backus-Gilbert methods: ideal world



• We need to find the set of coefficients spanning $S_{\sigma}(E, \omega)$:

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} g_{\tau}^{\text{true}}(\sigma, E) e^{-a\tau\omega} = S_{\sigma}(E, \omega)$$

▶ We can find the coefficients by minimising

$$A[g] = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \ e^{\alpha E} \left| \sum_{\tau=1}^{\infty} g_{\tau}(\sigma, E) \ e^{-a\tau\omega} - S_{\sigma}(E, \omega) \right|^2$$

• Without errors on C(t) and infinitely many points, this is the solution.



Backus-Gilbert methods: less ideal world



▶ In reality, the correlator is known at a finite number of points. This translates into a systematic error in the reconstructed kernel and therefore in the reconstructed SD

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{\tau_{\max}} g_{\tau}(\sigma, E) C(a\tau) = \rho_{\sigma}(E) + r(\tau_{\max}, \sigma; E)$$

 \triangleright The sum truncated to $\tau_{\rm max}$ is however well-defined and define unambiguously a given smearing kernel



Backus-Gilbert methods: less ideal world



▶ In reality, the correlator is known at a finite number of points. This translates into a systematic error in the reconstructed kernel and therefore in the reconstructed SD

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{\tau_{\max}} g_{\tau}(\sigma, E) C(a\tau) = \rho_{\sigma}(E) + r(\tau_{\max}, \sigma; E)$$

 \triangleright The sum truncated to $\tau_{\rm max}$ is however well-defined and define unambiguously a given smearing kernel



Backus-Gilbert methods: real world



The main complication is that noisy data severely hinder this approach. Minimising A[g] amounts to solve a massively ill-conditioned linear system

$$\vec{g} = \Sigma^{-1} \vec{f}$$

$$\Sigma_{tr} = \int dE_1 \ e^{-tE_1} \ e^{-rE_1}$$

Backus-Gilbert regularisation:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dE \,\, e^{lpha E} \,\, \left| \sum_{t=1}^{t_{ ext{max}}} g_t e^{-tE} - \mathcal{S}_{\sigma}(\omega,E)
ight|^2 + \lambda \,\, ec{g} \cdot \operatorname{Cov}_d \cdot ec{g}$$

▶ The linear system is now

$$\vec{g} = (\Sigma + \lambda \text{Cov}_{d})^{-1} \vec{f}$$

Comparing equations



▶ In both cases the coefficients that generate the solution are written as:

$$\vec{g}^{\mathrm{GP}}(\omega) = (\Sigma^{\mathrm{GP}} + \lambda \mathrm{Cov_d})^{-1} \vec{f}^{\mathrm{GP}}$$

$$\Sigma^{\text{GP}}{}_{tr} = \int dE_1 \int dE_2 \ e^{-tE_1} \ \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(E_1, E_2) \ e^{-rE_2} \qquad \qquad \Sigma_{tr} = \int dE_1 \ e^{-tE_1} \ e^{-rE_1}$$
$$f_t^{\text{GP}}(\omega) = \int dE \ \mathcal{K}^{\text{prior}}(\omega, E) \ e^{-tE} \qquad \qquad f_t(\omega) = \int dE \ S_\sigma(\omega, E) \ e^{-tE}$$

• They can be mapped into one another only at $\sigma = 0$.

▶ They regularise the problem in the very same way.

• What about λ ?

Unphysical parameters & physical results



- \triangleright λ introduces a bias. Recent application of BG methods perform a "stability analysis" {Bulava et al. 21 }
- ▶ We could do the same with the Bayesian reconstruction. Let us pick a prior:

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{prior}}_{\epsilon}(E,E') = rac{e^{-(E-E')^2/2\epsilon^2}}{\lambda} , \qquad
ho^{\mathrm{prior}} = 0$$



Unphysical parameters & physical results



▶ In the Bayesian literature, hyperparameters are determined by minimising the negative log likelihood (NLL)

 $-\log P(\text{data}|\text{parameters})$



▶ The methods seem compatible

Bayesian formulation of BG

- Compute the posterior probability distribution for a spectral density smeared with a fixed kernel G_σ(E, E') = exp^{-(E-E')²/2σ²}
- Diagonal model covariance:

$$\mathcal{K}(E, E') = \frac{\delta(E - E')}{\lambda}$$
,

The solution is now given by the same coefficients as HLT19

$$g^{\mathrm{GP}}(\sigma;\omega) = g(\sigma;\omega)$$
 even at finite σ

• The only difference is in the error (bootstrap for Backus-Gilbert methods)

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{post}}^{\sigma}(\omega,\omega)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int dE \left(\sum_{t} g_{t}(\sigma,\omega) e^{-tE} - G_{\sigma}(E,\omega) \right) \ G_{\sigma}(E,\omega)$$







Generate toys for spectral densities / correlators distributed with the covariance measured on the lattice.

$$C(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}-1} w_n e^{-|t|E_n} , \quad E_0 < E_1 \le \dots ,$$

Solving for each can give an idea of the size of the bias, if any

Example: generate weights w_n with a GP, centred around the Gounaris-Sakurai parametrisation of the R-ratio, and covariance:

$$K_{\text{weights}}(n, n') = \kappa \exp\left(-\frac{(E_n - E_{n'})^2}{2\epsilon^2}\right),$$

▶ For the corresponding correlators, we inject noise from a covariance matrix measured on the lattice.

Preliminary results

CPT

- ▶ Results for ρ_{σ} (true) ρ_{σ} (estimate)
- Same plots for the pull are being analysed. Stay tuned!



Updates about spectroscopy



- In a previous paper [2211.09581] we explored the possibility to perform finite-volume spectroscopy using smeared spectral densities
- Recent developments in [2405.01388]



E. Bennett, L. Del Debbio, N. Forzano, R.C. Hill, D. K. Hong, H. Hsiao, J.-W. Lee, C.-J. D. Lin, B. Lucini, AL, M. Piai, D. Vadacchino, F. Zierler