



[arXiv:2208.05984, 2306.01844, 2409.XXXXX]

**Dark Acoustic Oscillations Faces the Cosmological Tensions** Taewook Youn Cornell U, LEPP / Korea U Aug 13th 2024







- Minimal Light Dark World
  - Single Light Dark Matter (e.g. Axion)
  - Might need a coupling to Visible Sector for interesting signals







- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)
  - W/O any direct coupling to SM fields





- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)

Tight coupling (e.g.  $p_d - \gamma_d$ ) forms pressure-gravity waves that are imprinted on the clustering signal





- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)

Tight coupling (e.g.  $p_d - \gamma_d$ ) forms pressure-gravity waves that are imprinted on the clustering signal







- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)

Tight coupling (e.g.  $p_d - \gamma_d$ ) forms pressure-gravity waves that are imprinted on the clustering signal

**Dark Acoustic Oscillation** (DAO)





- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)

Tight coupling (e.g.  $p_d - \gamma_d$ ) forms pressure-gravity waves that are imprinted on the clustering signal

**Dark Acoustic Oscillation** (DAO)







- Non-minimal but interesting Light Dark World
  - Multiple DM components (e.g. axion, dark proton)
  - + LDF (dark electron, dark photon)
- **Dark Acoustic Oscillation** 
  - Unique Imprint on Cosmological Observations **Possibly in**  $H_0$  and  $S_8$  tensions









# $v = H_0 D$ Estimate the size and age of universe



Early Universe

CMB fit to  $\Lambda CDM$ 

~68 km/s/Mpc Planck '18 [arXiv:1807.06209]

Late Universe

Cosmic Distance Ladder

~72 km/s/Mpc A. G. Riess et al. [arXiv:2112.04510]









### Systematic error?

### JWST J-region Giant Branch

W. L. Freedman: 67.96 km/s/Mpc

A. J. Lee et al. [arXiv:2408.03474]

A. G. Riess: 74.7 km/s/Mpc

S. Li et al. [arXiv:2401.04777]

Crack in Lambda CDM?















 $H_0 \sim H_{\rm rec} \theta_s \frac{c/(\rho_{\rm late}/\rho_{\rm today})^{1/2}}{c_s/(\rho_{\rm early}/\rho_{\rm rec})^{1/2}}$ 

To increase  $H_0$ ,









 $H_0 \sim H_{\rm rec} \theta_s \frac{c/(\rho_{\rm late}/\rho_{\rm today})^{1/2}}{c_s/(\rho_{\rm early}/\rho_{\rm rec})^{1/2}}$ 

To increase  $H_0$ ,

Increase energy density at early times (early-time solutions)

Early Dark Energy  $\rightarrow V(\phi) = \Lambda_{\text{EDE}}^4$ [

V. Poulin



**P. Agrawal et al.** [arXiv:1904.01016]

$$[1 - \cos(\phi/f_{\text{EDE}})]^n$$
,  $V(\phi) = V_0 \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{pl}}\right)^{2n} + V_\Lambda$   
et al. [arXiv:1806.10608]



 $H_0 \sim H_{\rm rec} \theta_s \frac{c/(\rho_{\rm late}/\rho_{\rm today})^{1/2}}{c_s/(\rho_{\rm early}/\rho_{\rm rec})^{1/2}}$ 

To increase  $H_0$ ,

Increase energy density at early times (early-time solutions)

Early Dark Energy

**Dark Radiation**  $\rightarrow$  Massless states in Dark Sector







# **Dark Radiation** A Class of Solutions to Hubble tension To increase $H_0$ , Increase energy density at early times (early-time solutions) Free-streaming (non-interacting) Dark Radiation (DR)

Silk damping (diffusion) + Drag effect

$$\theta_d = \frac{r_d}{D_A} \to \frac{\theta_d}{\theta_s} = \frac{r_d}{r_s} \propto H_e^1$$

 $r_s \propto H_{ea}$ 

e  $\gamma$   $\gamma$  e e





-1 arly



 $\ell$ 



# **Dark Radiation A Class of Solutions to Hubble tension**

- To increase  $H_0$ ,
  - **Increase energy density at early times (early-time solutions) Free-streaming (non-interacting)** Dark Radiation (DR) Silk damping (diffusion) + Drag effect **Good: Self-interacting DR** N. Blinov et al. [arXiv:2003.08387] Silk damping (diffusion)





# **Dark Radiation A Class of Solutions to Hubble tension**

- To increase  $H_0$ ,
  - **Increase energy density at early times (early-time solutions) Free-streaming (non-interacting)** Dark Radiation (DR) Silk damping (diffusion) + Drag effect **Good: Self-interacting DR** N. Blinov et al. [arXiv:2003.08387] Silk damping (diffusion) **Better: need more (DAO)**





**Stepped Partially Acoustic Dark Matter** A Toy model for DAO + SIDR Standard CDM Interacting Dark Matter (iDM):  $\chi$  $f_{\rm CDM} + f_{\chi} = 1$ Self-interacting Dark Radiation:  $\psi, A$  $m_{\psi} \sim \mathrm{eV}$ 

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, **G.** Marques-Tavares, **TY** [ 2208.05984]



	$U(1)_A$
χ	1
Ψ	1







**Stepped Partially Acoustic Dark Matter** A Toy model for DAO + SIDR Standard CDM Interacting Dark Matter (iDM):  $\chi$  $f_{\rm CDM} + f_{\chi} = 1$ Self-interacting Dark Radiation:  $\psi$ , A  $m_{\psi} \sim \mathrm{eV}$ 

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, **G.** Marques-Tavares, **TY** [ 2208.05984]









**SPartAcous Details of Model** Standard CDM Interacting Dark Matter (iDM):  $\chi$  $f_{\rm CDM} + f_{\chi} = 1$ Self-interacting Dark Radiation:  $\psi$ , A **Mass Threshold**  $m_{\psi} \sim \mathrm{eV}$ **Turn off iDM-DR interaction to avoid** 

overly suppressed structure formation



	$U(1)_A$
χ	1
Ψ	1



## **SPartAcous iDM-DR** interaction







### **Prevent too long DAO**



**SPartAcous Stepped Dark Radiation** Entropy dump / Reheating in DS **Step** increase in  $\Delta N_{eff}$ Different  $\ell$  modes experience different Silk damping **Stepped DR** D. Aloni et al. [arXiv:2111.00014] A possible  $H_0$  solution



KOREA UNIVERSITY **SPartAcous Stepped Dark Radiation** Entropy dump / Reheating in DS **Step** increase in  $\Delta N_{eff}$ Different  $\ell$  modes experience different Silk damping **Stepped DR** D. Aloni et al. [arXiv:2111.00014] A possible  $H_0$  solution

SPartAcous: DAO + SIDR w/ Step



KOREA UNIVERSITY

Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters:  $f_{\chi}$ ,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - 1$ 

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, G. Marques-Tavares, TY [ 2306.01844]

### **Best fit**

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	fχ	$H_0$
LCDM	_	_	_	68.64
SPartAcous	-23.24	-17.24	0.1%	71.66



Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

### Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters: 
$$f_{\chi}$$
,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - 1$ 

Be

### est fit

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	fx	$H_0$
LCDM	_	-	_	68.64
SPartAcous	-23.24	-17.24	0.1%	71.66





Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

### Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters: 
$$f_{\chi}$$
,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - \frac{1}{2}$ 

Be

Ś







### est fit

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	fx	$H_0$
LCDM	-	-	_	68.64
SPartAcous	-23.24	-17.24	0.1%	71.66

Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

### Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters: 
$$f_{\chi}$$
,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - 1$ 

Be





### est fit

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	fx	$H_0$
LCDM	_	-	_	68.64
SPartAcous	-23.24	-17.24	0.1%	71.66

### **No step needed!**





**SPartAcous+** A Toy model for DAO + SIDR w/ small step Standard CDM Interacting Dark Matter (iDM):  $\chi$  $f_{\rm CDM} + f_{\chi} = 1$ Self-interacting Dark Radiation  $\psi, A$ ψ', Α' 0, 3  $\psi'$  flavors: ~40, 7% jump in  $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ 

### M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, **G.** Marques-Tavares, **TY** [ 2306.01844]



	$U(1)_A$	$U(1)_{A'}$
χ	1	0
Ψ	1	1
$\psi'$	0	1









Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters:  $f_{\chi}$ ,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - 1$ 







Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO BOSS DR12, BAO small z, PANTHEON, SH0ES

Model:

~40% Step Size, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_d = 10^{-3}$ 

3 Free Parameters:  $f_{\chi}$ ,  $\Delta N_{\rm IR}$ ,  $z_{\rm t} = \frac{m_{\chi}}{T_{d0}} - 1$ 







Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	fχ	$H_0$
SPartAcous	-23.24	-17.24	0.1%	71.66
PartAcous+	-26.89	-20.89	3.2%	71.98

### **DAO** at work





# VADN Another Toy model for DAO + SIDR w/o any step Standard CDM Atomic DM: X Dark Proton $p_d$ , Dark Electron $e_d$ $f_{\text{CDM}} + f_{\chi} = 1$ Self-interacting Dark Radiation Dark Photon $A_d$ , Dark Neutrino $\nu_d$ , $U(1)_{\nu}$ gauge boson X $\mathscr{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}X_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}F_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu\nu} + \bar{p}(i\partial - m_p)p + \bar{e}(i\partial - m_e)e + \bar{\nu}i\partial\nu + \bar{e}A_{\mu}(\bar{p}\gamma^{\mu}p - \bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}e) + \bar{g}X_{\mu}\bar{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\nu$

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, I. Flood, C. Kilic, G. Marques-Tavares, TY [2409.XXXXX]

	$U(1)_A$	$U(1)_{\nu}$
χ	1	0
$\nu_d$	0	1





$\nu$ <b>ADM</b> <b>Atomic DM + Dark</b> $\nu$
Standard CDM
Atomic DM: \chi
Dark Proton $p_d$ , Dark Electron $e_d$
$f_{\rm CDM} + f_{\chi} = 1$
Self-interacting Dark Radiation
Dark Photon $A_d$ , Dark Neutrino $\nu_d$ , $U(1)$
$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} + \bar{p}(i\partial - i\partial A) + \bar{p}(i\partial A - i\partial A) + \bar{p}(i$

	$U(1)_A$	$U(1)_{\nu}$
χ	1	0
$\nu_d$	0	1



 $m_p)p + \bar{e}(i\partial - m_e)e + \bar{\nu}i\partial\nu + \bar{e}A_\mu(\bar{p}\gamma^\mu p - \bar{e}\gamma^\mu e) + \bar{g}X_\mu\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu\nu$ 





### VADM **Dark Recombination**

iDM-DR interaction is off by dark recombination (no step reheating in DS)

Radiative recombination to the ground state, and its inverse photoionization

$$p + e \leftrightarrow H(1s) + \gamma$$

Free photon falls into thermal bath quickly thanks  $x^{w}$ to the self-interaction

Direct recombination to the ground state is included (Case A recombination)

$$a_A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\langle \sigma[p + e \to H(nl) + \gamma \right\rangle$$







### **VADM** MCMC fit

### Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO eBOSS DR16, BAO small z, PANTHEON+, SH0ES

### Model:

 $m_p = 1$  GeV, iDM-DR interaction coupling  $\alpha_e = 10^{-2}$ , 1  $\nu$  flavor

3 Free Parameters:  $f_{\chi}$ ,  $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$ ,  $m_e/m_p$ 

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, I. Flood, C. Kilic, G. Marques-Tavares, **TY** [ 2409.XXXXX]



### **DAO** at work





# DAO at Work In other literature



![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

N. Schöneberg et al. [2306.12469]

### K. Greene, F.-Y. Cyr-Racine [2403.05619]

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Cosmological Tensions** $S_8$ tension (~2-3 $\sigma$ )

 $\sigma_8$ : amplitude of matter density fluctuations on the scale of 8 Mpc/h (~ galaxy cluster scale)

 $S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 (\Omega_m / 0.3)^{1/2}$ :

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Cosmological Tensions**

### $S_8$ tension (~2-3 $\sigma$ )

Early Universe

CMB fit to  $\Lambda CDM$ 

~0.83 Planck '18 [arXiv:1807.06209]

Late Universe

Local measurements

~0.76 DES '21 [arXiv:2105.13544, 2105.13543]

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **Cosmological Tensions**

### $S_8$ tension (~2-3 $\sigma$ )

More likely systematic errors

H. G. Escudero et al. [arXiv:2208.14435] M. Tristram et al. [arXiv:2309.10034]

Early universe solutions worsen  $S_8$  tension

with fixed  $z_{eq}, \Omega_r \uparrow \to \Omega_m \uparrow$ 

Early-time solutions need to deal with  $S_8$ 

![](_page_39_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Dark Matter interaction with DR** A Class of Solutions to $S_8$ tension

Dark Radiation worsens  $S_8$  tension

with fixed  $z_{eq}, \Omega_r \uparrow \rightarrow \Omega_m \uparrow$ 

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Dark Matter interaction with DR A Class of Solutions to** *S*<sub>8</sub> **tension**

Dark Radiation worsens  $S_8$  tension

with fixed  $z_{eq}, \Omega_r \uparrow \rightarrow \Omega_m \uparrow$ 

**Solution: Dark Matter interaction with Dark Radiation** 

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Dark Matter interaction with DR** A Class of Solutions to $S_8$ tension

Dark Radiation worsens  $S_8$  tension

with fixed  $z_{eq}, \Omega_r \uparrow \to \Omega_m \uparrow$ 

**Solution: Dark Matter interaction with Dark Radiation** 

Weak interaction + entire dark matter interacting

Strong interaction + partial dark matter interacting

G. Marques-Tavares, TY

M. Joseph et al. [arXiv:2207.03500]

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, [arXiv:2208.05984, 2306.01844]

![](_page_42_Figure_12.jpeg)

N. Schöneberg et al. [arXiv:2306.12469]

![](_page_42_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **Dark Matter interaction with DR** A Class of Solutions to $S_8$ tension

Dark Radiation worsens  $S_8$  tension

with fixed  $z_{eq}, \Omega_r \uparrow \to \Omega_m \uparrow$ 

**Solution: Dark Matter interaction with Dark Radiation** 

Weak interaction + entire dark matter interacting

Strong interaction + partial dark matter interacting

G. Marques-Tavares, TY

**DAO models (SpartAcous,** *v***ADM)** 

M. Joseph et al. [arXiv:2207.03500]

M. A. Buen-Abad, Z. Chacko, C. Kilic, [arXiv:2208.05984, 2306.01844]

![](_page_43_Figure_13.jpeg)

N. Schöneberg et al. [arXiv:2306.12469]

![](_page_43_Picture_15.jpeg)

# **Dark Matter interaction with DR** Impacts on Matter / CMB Power Spectrum

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Massive A Ma

 $\psi$ ,  $A \in$  Self-interacting DR

Massive  $\psi$ 

N. Schöneberg et al. [arXiv:2306.12469]

![](_page_44_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Dark Matter interaction with DR** Impacts on Matter / CMB Power Spectrum

Weak: Only slowing down growth  $\rightarrow$  the longer inside the horizon, the larger suppression

**Strong: No structure growth until** decoupling, all modes grows by the same amount

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO eBOSS DR16, BAO small z, PANTHEON+, SH0ES, KIDS-1000x, DES-Y3

### **Best fit**

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	$H_0$	$S_8$
LCDM	_	-	68.94	0.797
Weak	-25.78	-19.78	71.84	0.79
Strong	-24.56	-18.56	72.26	0.803

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Data:

Plank high  $\ell$  TTTEEE, Planck low  $\ell$  EE, Planck low  $\ell$  TT, Plank lensing, BAO eBOSS DR16, BAO small z, PANTHEON+, SH0ES, KIDS-1000x, DES-Y3

### **Best fit**

Model	$\Delta \chi^2$	ΔAIC	$H_0$	$S_8$
LCDM	_	-	68.94	0.797
Weak	-25.78	-19.78	71.84	0.79
Strong	-24.56	-18.56	72.26	0.803

### **DAO** alone takes of both tensions

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Conclusions **Summary and Outlook**

Non-trivial DS with LDF is highly motivated

Dark Acoustic Oscillation leave unique signatures on cosmological observables

DAO toy models with different iDM-DR interaction switch

SPartAcous (Decay / Annihilation)

 $\nu$ ADM (recombination)

DAO as Possible solutions to Hubble /  $S_8$  tensions in  $\Lambda$ CDM

Now fitting EFTofLSS to  $\nu$ ADM (entire scale of MPS)

DAO will be probed in the future experiments!

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_13.jpeg)

## **Conclusions** Summary and Outlook

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

A. Riess and L. Breuval [arXiv:2308.10954]

# Thank You for Listening!

### **IAU Symposium 376**

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Supplements

# SPartAcous Parameter Space

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Atomic DM + SIDR Impact on the CMB

$$\left(\frac{\Delta T(\mathbf{k},\eta)}{T_{\text{CMB}}}\right)_{\text{SW}} \simeq \zeta(\mathbf{k}) \left[ e^{-k^2/k_D^2} \left\{ -\cos\left(\frac{k\eta}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \right\} \right]$$

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\Psi = (\phi + \psi)/2$  $r_s(\eta) \simeq \eta/\sqrt{3}$ 

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

N. Schöneberg et al. [arXiv:2306.12469]

53

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

### N. Schöneberg et al. [arXiv:2306.12469]

54

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Large redshift in high- $\ell$

![](_page_54_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Atomic DM + Dark $\nu$ Requirements

A in equilibrium with  $\nu$  (DR is self-interacting)

$$\Gamma_{A-\nu} \sim \epsilon^2 \alpha_g^2 T > H \sim \frac{T^2}{M_{pl}} \Rightarrow \epsilon \alpha_g \gtrsim \sqrt{\frac{T}{M_{pl}}} \sim 10^{-13}$$

e - v not efficient (DM-DR stops after recombination)

$$\Gamma_{e-\nu} \sim \epsilon^2 \alpha_e \alpha_g \frac{T^2}{m_p} < H \sim \frac{T^2}{M_{pl}} \Rightarrow \epsilon^2 \alpha_e \alpha_g < \frac{m_p}{M_{pl}} \sim 10^{-16}$$

$$\mathscr{L} \supset -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} + \bar{p}(i\partial - n)$$

![](_page_55_Figure_8.jpeg)

 $m_p)p + \bar{e}(i\partial - m_e)e + \bar{\nu}i\partial\nu + \bar{e}A_\mu(\bar{p}\gamma^\mu p - \bar{e}\gamma^\mu e) + \bar{g}Z_\mu\bar{\nu}\gamma^\mu\nu$ 

 $\nu_d$ 

![](_page_55_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_13.jpeg)

 $\nu_d$ 

![](_page_55_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_18.jpeg)

# **SPartAcous**Boltzmann equations

$$\begin{split} \dot{\delta}_{\rm idm} &= -\,\theta_{\rm idm} + 3\dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm idm} &= -\,\mathcal{H}\theta_{\rm idm} + k^2\psi + a\Gamma(\theta_{\rm dr} - \theta_{\rm idm}) \\ \dot{\delta}_{\rm dr} &= -\,(1+w)(\theta_{\rm dr} - 3\dot{\phi}) - 3\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - w) \\ \dot{\theta}_{\rm dr} &= -\left[(1-3w)\mathcal{H} + \frac{\dot{w}}{1+w}\right]\theta_{\rm dr} + k^2 \\ \Gamma &= \frac{4}{3\pi}\alpha_d^2\log(\star)\frac{T_d^2}{m_\chi}e^{-m_\psi/T_d}\left[2 + \frac{m_\psi}{T_d}\right] \\ \end{split}$$

$$r_g = \frac{g_*^{\text{UV}} - g_*^{\text{IR}}}{g_*^{\text{IR}}} = \left(\frac{\Delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{IR}}}{\Delta N_{\text{eff}}^{\text{UV}}}\right)^3 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$$

 $v)\delta_{\rm dr}$ 

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

Cornell University

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_6.jpeg)

Cornell University

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

Cornell University

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_8.jpeg)

## SPartAcous+3 **Best-fit**

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

## SPartAcous+3 **Best-fit**

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_4.jpeg)

63

![](_page_62_Picture_7.jpeg)

### How does CMB data measure H0?

• Inference of  $H_0$  from the CMB is model dependent. • It comes from the measurement of three angular scales  $\theta_{s}, \theta_{d}, \theta_{eq}$ .

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

V. Poulin - LUPM & JHU

θ<sub>s</sub> sound horizon at last scattering ~1.0404

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

### How does CMB data measure H0?

• Inference of  $H_0$  from the CMB is model dependent. It comes from the measurement of three angular scales  $\theta_{s}, \theta_{d}, \theta_{eq}$ . 0  $\theta_{eq}$  horizon size at matter-radiation equality ~ 0.81

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

V. Poulin - LUPM & JHU