Imperial College London

TRIGERAND DATA-ACQUISITION: PART I

UK Advanced Instrumentation Course 2024

Andrew W. Rose, Imperial College London <u>awr01@imperial.ac.uk</u>

- heavily from other people's slides which drew heavily from other people's slides, who...
 - Auzinger thanks to them all!

CREDITS

These slides draw heavily from a long and distinguished heritage of slides drawing

• Some of these include Sioni Summers, Alessandro Thea, Alex Tapper, Dan Saunders, Georg

A (SLIGHTLY UNAPOLOGETIC) APOLOGY

- My examples are heavily biased towards the LHC and CMS
 - It's where my experience is, it is what I know
- The boundary between Trigger and DAQ is blurry, and I tend to err to the Trigger side It's where my experience is, it is what I know



• Science is the art of knowing what to record, and when

SCIENCE: THE BASICS

- Science is the art of knowing what to record, and when
- With CMS & ATLAS in "discovery mode", we care about ulletthe Higgs Boson or rarer
 - Higgs Boson production is ten orders of magnitude below ightarrowthe total interaction rate
 - That is a needle in a haystack the same mass as the Empire State Building
- And we want statistics, a lot of statistics

SCIENCE: THE BASICS







10-3

UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA

- The LHC's 40MHz crossing rate and 2×1
 2 billion interactions per second
- Unfortunately, 40MHz on a 70 million ch
 25Pbit/s of data

• The LHC's 40MHz crossing rate and 2×10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹ luminosity was chosen to provide

Unfortunately, 40MHz on a 70 million channel tracker produces the equivalent of



UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA

- 2 billion interactions per second
- detector than signal (if there is a signal at all), making selection tricky

Higgs -> 4μ

• And every time the LHC improves its performance, this gets worse

• The LHC's 40MHz crossing rate and 2×10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹ luminosity was chosen to provide

Unfortunately, 2×10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹ luminosity produces ~50 times more background in your



8

+30 MinBias

UNFORTUNATELY, STATISTICS REQUIRES DATA

- And it gets worse...
 - In-time pile up: Same crossing different interactions \bullet
 - At LHC, new events come every 25 ns
 - Out-of-time pile up: Due to events from different crossings ullet







- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS:
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
 - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
 - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics ullet
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject ightarrow

SCIENCE: THE BASICS



- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS: ullet
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
 - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
 - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject
 - All online can't go back and fix it
 - Don't screw up!

SCIENCE: THE BASICS





sec g 10³⁴ sec for

- Enormous data rate at e.g. CMS:
- 40 MHz collision rate x 1-2 MB event size > 60 TB/s
 - Can't write this to tape & process it later!
- Do we need to write it all to tape?
 - Tiny cross sections for Higgs and new physics
- Process each event, decide to accept/reject lacksquare
 - All online can't go back and fix it. \bullet
 - Don't screw up!

This is the art of Triggering

SCIENCE: THE BASICS



• Trigger basic requirements

- Need high efficiency for selecting processes for physics analysis
- Need large reduction of rate from unwanted high-rate processes
- Robustness is essential
- Highly flexible, to react to changing conditions
- System must be affordable

REMINDER

WHAT'S ON THE (TRIGGER) MENU TODAY?

Historical systems Simple triggers

Complex triggers Modern triggers

- Cloud-chamber images recorded on film
- Need some way to trigger the camera





- Cloud-chamber images recorded on film
- Need some way to trigger the camera





- High efficiency? Nope reflexes too slow
- Large rate reduction? Better than nothing
- Robustness? No keep wanting sleep, coffee, toilet breaks, etc.
- Highly flexible? Depends on the student
- Affordable? Well that's one thing in your favour, I suppose





- High efficiency? No
- Large rate reduct ullet
- Robustness? Noightarrowcoffee, joilet bred

Although Rutherford & Geiger did note that "Strong coffee with a pinch of Strychnine" improved an assistant's ability to spot scintillation light

- Highly flexible? Depends on the student
- Affordable? Well that's one thing in your favour, l suppose

THE EARLIEST TRIGGER

Grad student



[†]Artist's impression





- Blackett pioneered a technique to trigger ulletthe camera of cloud chambers (and got the Nobel prize for this and other work)
- Just missed out on discovering the positron in 1932
- Stevenson and Street used this to confirm the discovery of the muon in 1937





FIG. 1. Geometrical arrangement of apparatus.

Trigger photo capture when Geiger counters 1,2,3, but not 4 record coincidental measurements

THE SIMPLEST TRIGGER SYSTEMS

- Source: Use the signals from the Front-End of the detectors themselves
 - Binary: tracking detectors (pixels, strips)
 - Analog: tracking detectors, time of flight detectors, calorimeters, ...





THE SIMPLEST TRIGGER SYSTEMS

- Source: Use the signals from the Front-End of the detectors themselves
 - Binary: tracking detectors (pixels, strips)
 - Analog: tracking detectors, time of flight detectors, calorimeters, ...



- The most trivial trigger algorithm: Signal > Threshold
 - Apply the lowest possible threshold \bullet
 - Identify best compromise between hit efficiency and noise rate ullet

DETECTOR SIGNALS CHARACTERISTICS

- Pulse width
 - Limits the effective hit rate \bullet
 - Must be adapted to the desired trigger rate \bullet
- Time walk
 - The threshold-crossing time depends on the signal amplitude
 - Must be minimal in good trigger systems ightarrow
- Time walk can be suppressed by triggering on total signal fraction
 - Applicable on same-shape input signals with different amplitude
 - Useful for scintillator detectors and photomultipliers





THE CONSTANT FRACTION DISCRIMINATOR



- Attenuation + configurable delay applied before the discrimination determines t_{CFD}
- If delay too short, the unit works as a normal discriminator since the output of the normal discriminator fires later than the CFD part

 $\Delta t_f = t(f \cdot A_0) - t(A_0) = \text{const.}$

Signals with the same rising time, at a fraction f $\frac{A(t)}{f} - \cdot A(t - \Delta t) = 0 \quad \text{at } t = t_{\text{cfd}}$



The output of the CFD fires when the bipolar pulse changes polarity









TRIGGER LOGIC IMPLEMENTATION

- Once we are in the digital domain, all manipulations can be broken down to a **Boolean operations**
- Combinatorial
 - Summing, Decoders, Multiplexers,...
- Sequential
 - Flip-flops, Registers, Counters,...





TRIGGER LOGIC IMPLEMENTATION

- Once we are in the digital domain, all manipulations can be broken down to a **Boolean operations**
- Combinatorial
 - Summing, Decoders, Multiplexers,...
- Sequential
 - Flip-flops, Registers, Counters,...



Data propagates

- as a wave
- through the logic
- Operations
- happen at well
- defined times
- and in a well
- defined order



A SIMPLE TRIGGER WANTS A SIMPLE SYSTEM

- A simple trigger system can start with a NIM crate
- Common support for electronic modules
- Standard impedance, connections, logic levels
 - Watch out for negative voltage levels: Low = 0v, High = -0.8V





A SIMPLE TRIGGER WANTS A SIMPLE SYSTEM



A SIMPLE TRIGGER AND DAQ SYSTEM







- The key parameter in high speed trigger systems design
 - The fraction of the acquisition time when no events can be recorded.
 - Typically of the order of few %
 - Reduces the overall system efficiency
- Arises when a given processing step takes a finite amount of time
 - Readout dead-time
 - Trigger dead-time
 - Operational dead-time

KEYWORD: DEADTIME

- Writing to disk or tape is much slow than accepting data into RAM
- If you select an event and start writing it to disk, you cannot accept any more events until you finish writing, even if they are interesting

DEADTIME EXAMPLE



- For input rate "R_{in}", Readout rate "R_{out}", and time taken to write to disk " T_d "
- Fraction of 1s "lost" to writing = $R_{out} \bullet T_{d}$
- Event output rate $R_{out} = (1 R_{out} \cdot T_d) \cdot R_{in}$ ullet

Fraction of surviving events



DEADTIME EXAMPLE



To achieve high efficiency $\Rightarrow R_{in} \cdot T_d \ll 1$

- Writing to disk or tape is much slow than accepting data into RAM ullet
- If you select an event and start writing it to disk, you cannot accept any more events until you finish writing, even if they are interesting
- Same principle applies to processing time
 - For example, ADCs

DEADTIME

A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DEADTIME





A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DEADTIME



If ADC is the critical step for deadtime, this is clearly a really bad plan



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PARALLELISM



Much more sensible! Potentially much more expensive!







KEYWORD: LATENCY



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: LATENCY



- Latency: Time to form the trigger decision and distribute to the digitisers
- Signals must be delayed until the trigger decision is available
- The more complex is the selection, the longer is the latency



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: LATENCY



Analogue delay-lines are a bit risky, don't you think? Especially for more than one channel







If the ADCs are the slow part, can we use the time more profitably?

A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER



If the ADCs are the slow part, can we use the time more profitably?

- Pre-Trigger stage: very fast indicator of some minimal activity in the detector
- Used to START the digitisers, with no delay
- The complex trigger decision comes later





A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER



Assumes the digitization time is longer than the latency of the trigger system! What if that is not true?



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: PRE-TRIGGER



Since each digitization takes a finite time Can store the result of each digitization in RAM until trigger decision is made



SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: BUNCHED COLLIDERS



We have a master-clock – the bunch-crossings themselves! No need for a pre-trigger



A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DIGITAL TRIGGERS





A SIMPLE TRIGGER SYSTEM: DIGITAL TRIGGERS





AND FINALLY: A PHILOSOPHICAL QUESTION

 If you only see what the trigger accept away?

If you only see what the trigger accepts, how do you know what you have thrown



AND FINALLY: A PHILOSOPHICAL QUESTION

- If you only see what the trigger accepts, how do you know what you have thrown away?
- A good trigger will also have so-called Minimum-bias or Zero-bias paths
 - Zero-bias accept a truly random sample of events (even "empty")
 - Minimum-bias accept a random sample of crossings with collisions
- Allows you to look at what your trigger tells you not to

WHAT ABOUT NON-COLLIDER EXPERIMENTS?

- "Always on" detectors "events" could occur at any time continuous read out But most of the time, nothing is happening
- Signals may be localised to one portion of the detector local read out
- "Events" may have very different durations few ms to 100s seconds (e.g. supernova)
- "Video" data compared to LHC experiments' "Photo" data
- Want to capture data when something 'interesting' does happen, and suppress the rest
- Everything I have said about deadtime, latency, min-bias paths, etc. still applies!





SEE YOU ON TUESDAY FOR PART II! Any questions?

