



Machine-detector interface and beaminduced background studies for a 10 TeV muon collider

25 June 2024, CERN

Daniele Calzolari,

On behalf of the IMCC



Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them



Outline



- roton SC Linac Accumulator Buncher Combiner MW-Class Targe Capture Sol. Decay Channe Bunche Phase Rotato Initial 6D Cooling harge Separa 6D Coolina Bunch Merge 6D Cooling **Final Cooling** Accelerators: Linacs, RLA or FFAG, RCS \mathbf{O}
- MDI overview
- Beam induced background sources
- Current existing lattices
- Simulation approach for decay-induced background
- Comment on the last lattices:
 - v 0.7: chicane with a residual angle
 - v 0.8: no residual angle, lower dipole strength
- A tentative nozzle proposal (based on studies with lattice version v 0.7)
- Incoherent pair production background in the trackers

Machine-detector interface

Conical absorber inside detector (nozzle) Shield the detector from high-energy decay products and halo losses (requires also an optimization of the beam aperture)

Detector

Handle background by suitable choice of detector technologies and reconstruction techniques (time gates, directional suppression, etc.)

Interaction region (IR) lattice

ERI

Many concepts

from MAP!

Customized IR lattice to reduce the loss of decay products near the IP

IR masks/liners and shielding Shield the detector from particles lost in final focus region (requires also an

International UON Collider

Collabora

MuCo

optimization of the beam aperture)

rs inside FF magnets

Solenoid Capture secondaries produced near the IP (e.g. incoherent e-e+ pairs)

MDI and BIB studies for a 10 TeV muon collider

Transverse halo cleaning

Clean the transverse beam halo far from the IP to avoid halo losses on the aperture near the detector (IR is an aperture bottleneck)



Beam-induced background



	Description	Relevance as background
Muon decay	Decay of stored muons around the collider ring	Dominating source
Synchrotron radiation by stored muons	Synchrotron radiation emission by the beams in magnets near the IP (including IR quads \rightarrow large transverse beam tails)	Small
Muon beam losses on the aperture	 Halo losses on the machine aperture, can have multiple sources, e.g.: Beam instabilities Machine imperfections (e.g. magnet misalignment) Elastic (Bhabha) μμ scattering Beam-gas scattering (Coulomb scattering or Bremsstrahlung emission) Beamstrahlung (deflection of muon in field of opposite bunch) 	Can be significant (although some of the listed source terms are expected to yield a small contribution like elastic μμ scattering, beam-gas, Beamstrahlung)
Coherent e⁻e⁺ pair production	Pair creation by real [*] or virtual photons of the field of the counter-rotating bunch	Expected to be small (but should nevertheless be quantified)
Incoherent e ⁻ e ⁺ pair production	Pair creation through the collision of two real* or virtual photons emitted by muons of counter-rotating bunches	Significant



Decay-induced background







Incoherent pair production



	Description	Relevance as background
Incoherent e ⁻ e ⁺ pair production	Pair creation through the collision of two real* or virtual photons emitted by muons of counter-rotating bunches	Significant

- High energy \rightarrow non negligible beam-beam effects. The most important phenomenon is due to the **incoherent beam-beam pair production** $\mu+\mu \rightarrow\mu+\mu-e+e-$.
 - The incoherent pair production e⁺/e⁻ are provided by D. Schulte and are obtained by a Guinea-Pig simulation
- Low total particle multiplicity.
- ...but the produced electrons are energetic and they impact directly on the detectors, since are generated in the IP





Final focus optics



Interaction point (IP) & Overview of the lattice version 0.8. nozzle The novel approach does not leave Chicane Q1 Reduce the amount of decaya residual angle and does not Three dipoles that remove the induced background by several Three focusing quadrupoles to electrons coming from the line require combined function magnets order of magnitude control the beam size in the IP **μ**⁺ μ β_{x} 800000 β_v 600000 [۳] 400000 **Q2** 200000 **Q**3 Two defocusing quadrupoles. Two focusing quadrupoles. Different Here the beam aperture 0 options in the past to employ reaches its maximum -200 -100100 200 0 combined function to reduce BIB s [m]



Evolution of the optics







Chicane effect (v 0.7 and 0.8)



- Considering a pencil beam positrons along the ideal trajectory, the path in the first two magnets is reported.
- Two hotspots are generated in the first and second magnets







Simulation strategy



- Simulating all the processes from the muon decay to the background entering in the detector area is expensive.
- Another more useful strategy is to adopt a 2 step simulation: all the particles are simulated in the line, and reloaded for the nozzle and detector simulations





BIB with lattice version 0.8



- The results are perfectly in line with the past studies
- The shapes of the energy, time and spatial distribution are partially affected by the lattice, but the nozzle has a dominant effect





BIB with lattice version 0.8



- The results are perfectly in line with the past studies
- The shapes of the energy, time and spatial distribution are partially affected by the lattice, but the nozzle has a dominant effect





Comparison lattices



 All different lattices offer consistent performances at 10 TeV. More advanced metrics than the total particle multiplicity should be used

Collider energy	1.5 TeV	3 TeV	10 TeV (v 0.4)	10 TeV (v 0.7)	10 TeV (v 0.8)
Photons	7.1E+7	9.6E+7	9.6E+7	1.6E+8	1.6E+8
Neutron	4.7E+7	5.8E+7	9.2E+7	1.5E+8	1.4E+8
e⁺/e⁻	7.1E+5	9.3E+5	8.3E+5	9.2E+5	8.9E+5
Ch. hadrons	1.7E+4	2.0E+4	3.0E+4	4.9E+4	5.2E+4
Muons	3.1E+3	3.3E+3	2.9E+3	5.0E+3	3.3E+3

Nozzle optimization tentatives (v 0.4)





International UON Collider

ollaboration

MuCol

- Simple "thin" nozzle. Easier to manifacture and to insert in detectors.
- Neutron absorber not yet included
- BIB still unsatisfactory (background increases of a factor 3)





International UON Collider

Collaboration

MuCol







Beam profile in the IP



- As shown during the annual meeting (see <u>this presentation</u>), the luminosity is enhanced due to the pinch effect.
- Question during annual meeting what is the extension of the luminous region? In other words, where are collision happening?
- I calculated the luminous region with and without beam effects. In all cases, the interactions will occur in the very close proximity of the IP.







- With Guinea-Pig, I produced a new incoherent pair production background sample.
- The new software version allows to fully simulate the interaction between muons, while in the past the interactions were simulating with a mass scaling of the electrons.
- With higher virtuality, pairs can have more kinetic energy







- When including the contribution of the interactions with the nozzles, there is an additional fluence of secondary particles.
- The contribution from these secondary particles is not a dominant factor in the overall background, but plays a major role in the innermost tracker layers.



CERN





- When including the contribution of the interactions with the nozzles, there is an additional fluence of secondary particles.
- The contribution from these secondary particles is not a dominant factor in the overall background, but plays a major role in the innermost tracker layers.





Conclusions



- Beam induced background assessed in various lattice configurations:
 - 1) Version 0.4: validated and used by colleagues in detector reconstruction studies
 - 2) ... to version 0.8: latest lattice version
- Across different lattices the background got worse after the introduction of a straight section.
- Different nozzle options explored: a "thin" nozzle would increase the BIB of a factor 3.
- A MAP like nozzle with better neutron absorber placement can mitigate all low energy gammas produced in the neutron absorption.
- Pair production background has been assessed. Despite the low counts, those electrons might impede with the innermost tracker layers.

Thank you



Funded by the European Union (EU). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the EU or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the EU nor the REA can be held responsible for them.



Recap collider parameters



	=3 TeV	=10 TeV	
Beam parameters			
Muon energy	1.5 TeV	5 TeV	
Bunches/beam	1		
Bunch intensity (at injection)	2.2×10 ¹²	1.8×10 ¹²	
Norm. transverse emittance	25 µm		
Repetition rate (inj. rate)	5 Hz		
Collider ring specs			
Circumference	4.5 km	10 km	
Revolution time	15.0 μs	33.4 µs	
Luminosity			
Target integrated luminosity	1 ab ⁻¹	10 ab ⁻¹	
Average instantaneous luminosity (5/10 yrs of op.)	2 x 10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ / 1 x 10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	2 x 10 ³⁵ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ / 1 x 10 ³⁵ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	

 $\tau = 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}$



See also parameter doc: https://cernbox.cern.ch/s/NraNbczzBSXctQ9





Radiation damage estimates for 10 TeV (MAP nozzle, CLIClike detector) Includes only contribution of decay-induced background!

MuCol

International UON Collider

Collaboration



Per year of operation (140d)	lonizing dose	Si 1 MeV neutron- equiv. fluence
Vertex detector	200 kGy	3×10 ¹⁴ n/cm ²
Inner tracker	10 kGy	1×10 ¹⁵ n/cm ²
ECAL	2 kGy	1×10 ¹⁴ n/cm ²

Radiation load on FF magnets with schemes version 0.7 and 0.8, Daniele Calzolari