

Albert Baker on behalf of the LZ collaboration

PD24 20th November 2024

LZ Collaboration

- Black Hills State University
- Brookhaven National Laboratory
- Brown University
- Center for Underground Physics
- Edinburgh University
- Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
- Imperial College London
- King's College London
- Lawrence Berkeley National Lab.
- Lawrence Livermore National Lab.
- LIP Coimbra
- Northwestern University
- Pennsylvania State University
- Royal Holloway University of London
- SLAC National Accelerator Lab.
- South Dakota School of Mines & Tech
- South Dakota Science & Technology Authority
- . STFC Rutherford Appleton Lab.
- Texas A&M University
- University of Albany, SUNY
- University of Alabama
- University of Bristol
- University College London
- University of California Berkeley
- University of California Davis
- University of California Los Angeles
- University of California Santa Barbara
- University of Liverpool
- University of Maryland
- University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- University of Michigan
- University of Oxford
- University of Rochester
- University of Sheffield
- University of Sydney
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Wisconsin, Madison
- University of Zürich

38 Institutions, 250 scientists, engineers, and technical sta

June 2024 at Brown University

Swiss National **The Basic Science Foundation**

Xenon detectors for dark matter searches

- Large nucleus
	- Significant coherent nuclear scaling ($\sigma \thicksim \sf A^2)$
- Significant self shielding due to high liquid density (3 g/cm $^3)$
- Noble gasses are easy to purify
	- Dedicated processes for Rn and Kr removal

- Proven track record for ∼2 decades
- Scalable technology
	- Kg to multi-tonne scale

Two-phase Time Projection Chambers (TPCs)

- Liquid target with thin vapour layer
- Time resolved scintillation and ionisation signals
	- \circ Light = prompt scintillation (S1)
	- \circ Charge = delayed electroluminescence of ionisation electrons (S2)
- 3D vertex reconstruction:
	- (X, Y) from S2 hit pattern
	- Z from the time electrons take to drift to surface
- Discriminate electron (ER) and nuclear (NR) recoils using S2/S1
	- \circ 1 part in 10,000 in ZEPLIN-III [1,2]

[1] [V. N. Lebedenko et al. Phys. Rev. D 80, 052010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.052010) [2] [H. Araújo arXiv:2007.01683](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.01683)

Albert Baker (PD24) **Pg. 3** / 25

KING'S
College LONDON

TPC PMTs

- 494 Hammamatsu R11410-22 PMTs
	- Based on the commercial R11410-20 model
		- Designed for low temp. dark matter experiments
		- \blacksquare High QE, 32%
	- ∘ Ultra-low background (1<mark>/1000th of base model) [1]</mark>
	- 477 (96.6%) operational after ~4 years of operations

- Operated at 2×10⁶ gain
	- 94% single photoelectron detection efficiency
	- Dual amplification output
		- High gain: single photon sensitivity
		- **L**ow gain: improves MeV-scale sensitivity (0ν2β)
- PMT's are regularly calibrated using dedicated LED system

TPC PMTs (DPE)

- Sensitive to VUV light (174.8 nm for S1 [1])
	- Double photoelectron emission (DPE) [2]
		- Occurs in ~22.6% of VUV photon detections [3]
	- Twice the average response with same time profile
- Dedicated measurement from subset of PMTs at Imperial
	- Observed linear relationship with QE
	- Evidence for triple photoelectron emission (TPE) @ 0.6% [3]
- In-situ calibration performed in LZ using $83mKr$
- Quote signals in "photons detected" [phd]
	- Accounts for mean effect at high energies

 $\delta_{\rm p} \circ 1 {\rm [phd]} = {1 \over 1 + \eta_{\rm DPE}} \times {\rm [phe]}$

[1] [Fujii et. al. NIM-A 795 \(2015\) 293–297](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2015.05.065) [2] [Faham et. al. JINST 10 \(2015\) 09, P09010](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/10/09/P09010) [3] [B. López Paredes et. al. Astroparticle Physics 102 \(2018\) 56–66](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2018.04.006)

Veto detectors

- Outer detector (OD):
	- 120 8" Hamamatsu R5912 PMTs
		- 99% operational
	- Gd-loaded liquid scintillator
	- Detects neutrons via γ-rays from neutron capture
- Skin xenon veto:
	- Instrumented xenon outside the TPC
	- Detects primary γ-rays from components/target
- Vital to measure and constrain neutron backgrounds
	- 92 ± 4 % neutron veto efficiency from simulations
	- 89 ± 3 % neutron veto efficiency derived from AmLi calibrations

Lall

DB

TPC Underground 2019

Installation complete 2020

Science data from 2021

World leading WIMP limits 2022

2024 Science Run

- Acquired data for ∼370 days
	- 95.2% detector up-time
	- 220 live-day exposure
- Performed intermittent calibrations
- High target purity throughout
	- Minimal suppression of charge (S2) signals

Calibrations

- Electronic recoils (background)
	- \circ Tritium radiolabelled methane & ^{14}C
	- o Mono-energetic ^{83m}Kr
- Nuclear recoils (signal)
	- DD neutron generator (2.45 MeV neutrons)
	- An AmLi source [1], which emits low energy (<1.5 MeV) neutrons, can be positioned at nine different depths
- NEST model:
	- Light gain (g₁): **0.112 ± 0.002 phd/photon**
	- Charge gain (g₂): 34.0 ± 0.9 phd/electron
	- Single electron amplification: 44.5 phd
	- \circ 99.9% discrimination of β below 40 GeV WIMP median

Calibrations paper: [J. Aalbers et al 2024 JINST 19 P08027](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/19/08/P08027) [1]: [A.B.M.R. Sazzad et al 2023 JINST 18 P05006](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/18/05/P05006)

Background Model

- Understand backgrounds from in-situ measurement of sidebands and assays
- Expect 1207 ER background events in WS2024:
	- $\frac{214}{9}$ b β-decay is dominant at 60%
	- Double electron capture
	- Solar neutrinos
- Expect 0.18 NR CEvNS events
	- Excluded by region of interest for dedicated search
- Neutrons from spontaneous fission in detector components and (α,n) reactions
- Accidental backgrounds from isolated S1 and S2 pulses

Radon tagging to remove dominant ²¹⁴Pb background

α

α

- Circulation/cooling systems control Xe flow
- High mixing state
	- Uniform injected calibration sources
- Low mixing state
	- Confinement of central volume
	- Rn backgrounds can't reach the centre
- Map Xe flow with Rn and Po decays
- Predict location of future 214 Pb decays
	- ο Observe ²¹⁸Po α-decay
	- Tag interactions around Xe streamlines
	- ∘ Track for 81 minutes (∼3 × ²¹⁴Pb τ_{1/2})
	- Tag incorporated into statistical analysis
	- [∼]60% tagging efficiency

- Tagging reduces 214 Pb background by a factor 2.1 \circ 3.9 ± 0.6 μ Bq/Kg (total) \rightarrow 1.8 ± 0.3 μ Bq/Kg (untagged)
- N.B.: plots are above the WIMP region-of-interest (ROI)
- \cdot 133 Xe restricted above 81 keV (and ROI) by γ-ray emission
- ¹³³Xe a short-lived activation product from preceding neutron calibration

Albert Baker (PD24) Pg. 13 / 25

Electron Captures (EC)

- Background in LZ (5.2 keV L-shell):
	- \circ Single EC: $^{125/127}$ Xe from NR activation
	- o Double EC: ¹²⁴Xe T_{1/2} **∼ 10²² years!** [1,2]
- EC increases recombination [3]
	- Looks more NR like than normal
- Prelim. WS2024 measurement: **Q_L/Q_β = 0.86±0.01** [4]


```
[1] XENON1T Nature 568, 532–535 (2019)
[2] LZ arXiv:2408.17391
```
[3] Previously measured by XELDA [\(Temples et al, Phys. Rev. D 104, 112001 \(2021\)\)](https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.112001)

[4] dedicated publication in progress

Accidental background

- Pile-up of unrelated S1-like and S2-like pulses Looks like a single scatter and can mimic a WIMP
- Fraction of these have an unphysical drift time
	- Population to calculate rate with physical drift-time
- Model as product of isolated S1-like and S2-like pulses
- Distribution peaks at the low NR energy region
- Analysis cuts specifically tested on and tuned for this background
	- 99.5% rejection efficiency
	- \circ Expect 2.8 \pm 0.6 events in WS2024

Signal Acceptance

- Region of interest (ROI):
	- $SI_c = (3, 80)$ phd
	- $S2_c = (645, 10^{4.5})$ phd
		- $\overline{}$ Excludes ${}^{8}B$ for dedicated analysis
- Multiple event and pulse level cuts
	- FV, ROI, single scatter
	- Veto anti-coincidence
		- Delayed neutron capture
		- Prompt γ-ray interactions
	- S1 & S2 based cuts targeting accidentals
- Cuts developed using data outside ROI
- 50% efficiency at 5.4 keV

Bias Mitigation (Salting)

- Inject fake events (salt) into the data stream
- Generated by pairing S1 and S2 pulses from calibration Embed measured waveforms back into the data stream
- Events sampled as follows:
	- Unknown rate below LZ's WS2022 result
	- Recoil spectra of a WIMP of unknown mass
	- Additional contribution for high mass WIMP searches with flat NR spectrum
- Allows us to understand the ROI whilst minimising bias

Event positions, fiducial volume (FV), and vetos

- Majority of backgrounds are peripheral
	- Self-shielding prevents infiltration
- Reject the majority of backgrounds with a fiducial cut
	- Azimuthal dependence added for WS2024
	- Defined to admit <0.01 wall background events
- Fiducial mass of 5.5 ± 0.2 tonnes

Expected result 2024

- Statistical analysis in Log₁₀(S2_c)-S1_c space
	- $SI_c = (3, 80)$ phd
	- $S2_c = (645, 10^{4.5})$ phd
		- $\overline{}$ Excludes ${}^{8}B$ for dedicated analysis
- Generate templates of each fit component using simulations
	- In-situ measurements & assays provide rate priors
	- Find the best fit of each component for several WIMP masses
- WIMP template (PDF) has a longer tail for larger masses
	- They all peak at low energies

Expected result 2024

- Statistical analysis in Log₁₀(S2_c)-S1_c space
	- $SI_c = (3, 80)$ phd
	- $S2_c = (645, 10^{4.5})$ phd
		- $\overline{}$ Excludes ${}^{8}B$ for dedicated analysis
- Generate templates of each fit component using simulations
	- In-situ measurements & assays provide rate priors
	- Find the best fit of each component for several WIMP masses
- WIMP template (PDF) has a longer tail for larger masses
	- They all peak at low energies

Result 2024

- Statistical analysis in Log₁₀(S2_c)-S1_c space
	- S1_c = (3, 80) phd
	- $S2_c = (645, 10^{4.5})$ phd
		- $\overline{}$ Excludes ${}^{8}B$ for dedicated analysis
- Exposure of 220 live-days \times 5.5 tonnes:
	- 3.3 tonne years
- 8 salt events injected
	- 1 was removed by cuts
	-

Result 2024

- Statistical analysis in Log₁₀(S2_c)-S1_c space
	- S1_c = (3, 80) phd
	- $S2_c = (645, 10^{4.5})$ phd
		- $\overline{}$ Excludes ${}^{8}B$ for dedicated analysis
- Exposure of 220 live-days \times 5.5 tonnes:
	- 3.3 tonne years
- 8 salt events injected
	- 1 was removed by cuts
	- This is consistent with the signal efficiency
- 1220 events remain after un-salting
- No changes to analysis post un-salting

Fit results

- Best fit of zero WIMPs at all masses (9 GeV \rightarrow 100 TeV)
- Good agreement with background in all studied spaces

Combined 2024 & 2022 Spin-independant Result

- Total exposure of 4.2 ± 0.1 tonne-years
- Included the 2022 result at an additional likelihood in the PLR
- No changes were performed to the 2022 analysis or dataset
- Peak sensitivity: <mark>2.1 × 10^{−48} cm² @ 36 GeV/c²</mark>
- Factor of 4 improvement in sensitivity into new parameter space
- The 2022 background under fluctuation still drives the unconstrained limit at low masses

Combined 2024 & 2022 Spin-dependant Results

Neutron Proton

Conclusions

- World leading limit to WIMP dark matter
- Radon tag reduces main ER background by 60%
- First observation of charge suppression in DEC of ¹²⁴Xe
- LZ continuing onwards towards 1000 live-days (2028)
	- o Multiple other areas of interest (${}^{8}B$ CEvNS, 0v2 β , etc.)

Further information

- WS2024: [arXiv:2410.17036](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.17036) [this work]
- WS2022: [Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 041002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.041002)
- WS2022 backgrounds: [Phys. Rev. D 108, 012010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.012010)
- ER searches in WS2022: *Phys. Rev. D 108, 072006*

