

### TRACKING EFFICIENCY FOR $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ & CALORIMETER SEED TRACKING FOR THE CLIC SID

Pooja Saxena, Ph.D. Student Center of Detector & Related Software Technology Delhi University, India



## OUTLINE

SiD Detector

> Track Reconstruction Efficiency

Samples Used

 $-e^+e^- \to H\nu\bar{\nu} \to b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu} @3\text{TeV}, \text{Higgs search}$ 

$$-e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0/\gamma \rightarrow b\overline{b}$$
 @3TeV

Tracking Algorithm

Results

- Calorimeter Seed Tracking (ongoing)
- Summary & Future Outlook

# **SiD Overview**

The CLIC SiD detector model is a concept for a 4π multi purpose detector for a future linear lepton collider

All silicon tracking system is designed to provide excellent point resolution.

➢Pixel detectors in vertex and forward region

Compact finely segmented EM calorimeter (silicon-tungsten) & hadronic calorimeter.

> 5T solenoid field is provided by superconducting coil outside of the calorimeter.



One quadrant of the CLIC SiD CDR detector model in the zx-plane

# **Task01**:

### Track reconstruction efficiency study



At 3TeV CM energy, diboson fusion is the dominant mode of Higgs production
Samples are generated using Whizard & pythia
The tracking system is tasked with finding and reconstructing the trajectories of charged particles with high efficiency and precision.

# **SEED TRACKER ALGORITHM**

- Track finding begins by forming all possible 3 hit track seeds in the three "Seed Layers"
  - Brute force approach to finding all possible track seeds
- Require the presence of a hit in a "Confirmation Layer"
  - Significantly reduces the number of candidate tracks to be investigated
- > Add hits to the track candidate using hits on the "Extension Layers"
  - Discard track candidates with fewer than 7 hits (6 hits for barrel only tracks)
  - If two track candidates share more than one hit, best candidate is selected
- > Upon each attempt to add a hit to a track candidate, **a helix fit** is performed and a **global**  $\chi^2$  is used to determine if the new track candidate is viable

Seed

Extend

# **SEED TRACKER ALGORITHM**

- Track finding is controlled by a set of strategies.
- Use automated Strategy Builder

### Set required

- SeedHits, ConfirmHits, ExtendHits
- $P_t$ , d0, z0 and  $\chi^2$  cuts

d0 – distance of closest approach (DCA) in xy plane

z0- z coordinate of DCA

• requirements in the number of hits

# **Tracking algorithm & Selection cut**

Tracking Efficiency =

reco MC particles findable MC particles

where,

- findable MC particles: All MC particles that pass the cuts described below
- reco MC particles : fraction of findable MC particles found by the tracking algorithm

#### Selection criteria :

Theta > 10 degrees Pt > 250 MeV Charge different from 0 Distance from IP (originating from the IP) < 50 mm Flight distance (path length) > 50 mm Stable MC Particles

 $\succ$  Studied variation of all selection cuts used

### **Results:**

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow H\nu\overline{\nu} \rightarrow b\overline{b}\nu\overline{\nu}$  (a) 3 TeV ~9k events

#### $\bullet$ MC – findable MC



# **Efficiency plots: (P<sub>T</sub>)**



➢ efficiency is ~99% for pt> 1GeV & drops to 88% for 0.25-1 GeV pt particles.

### **Efficiency plots: (THETA)**



non reconstructed low pt MC are lying in the central barrel region
 efficiency is improving with the pt cut

### **Efficiency plots: (NO OF HITS)**



For no of hits= 7

Pt (GeV)	efficiency
0.25	73
0.5	79
1.0	94

12

### *Results:* $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0/\gamma \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ @3 TeV, 9k event



>Average 94.7% of findable MC's are effectively reconstructed

# **Efficiency plots: (PT)**



➢ efficiency is ~99% for pt> 1GeV & drops to 89% for 0.25-1.0 GeV pt particles



non reconstructed MC of pt , 0.25-1 GeV are lying in the central region
 theta efficiency is improving with the pt cut

## **Efficiency plots: (NO OF HITS)**



# Task02 :Calorimeter Seed Tracking Study

> Tracking code is not meant for the long lived particles like (KS0,  $\Lambda$ ) decaying outside vertex region due to the vertex constraint in the helix fitting

➢ But with the finely segmented ECAL, outside-to-Inside Tracking starting from Calorimeter Clusters is effective



JAS3 view,  $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ 

 Particle-Flow algorithms will benefit from calorimeter-seed tracking through -better tracking,
 -better track-cluster matching

"In addition, The algorithm is also able to find calorimeter backscatters"

Some basic code (Garfield) exist (written in 2005) for cal seed tracking,

- It uses simulated hit (Garfield hit), whereas we have properly **digitized hit (helical hit)** which encapsulates all the information needed by the standard pattern recognition algorithm

-It uses its own helix (GarfieldHelix) with different definition of helix parameters, want to interface to current version of Helix with proper parameter dependence (**HelixSwimmer**, written by Jan Strube)

≻Need to rewrite the code for track finding with the current version of Helix.

Initial Implementation:

Every ECAL cluster is considered to be a seed

 $\succ$  Identify such seeds using simple nearest neighbour clustering & calculate position, direction, and curvature radius for each of them.

 $\succ$  Extrapolate tracks from seed towards the centre of the detector, picking up tracker hits as we go.

> After each new added hit, recalculate track parameters ( $\chi^2$ -Fit). If there are multiple hit candidates in the same layer, branch and create new tracks.

≻Apply quality cuts & discard duplicate

≻Find track intersection

≻Identify particle by reconstructing the invariant mass

Progress so far :

➤ have set helix for cal seed

have added hits lying on the last tracker layer

➢Need to get the modified parameters for the newly added hit

Further hits following  $\chi^2$  cut and helix fit will be added



# Summary & Future Plan

### <u>TASK 01</u>

Tracking efficiency for H->bb MC's were studied at 3TeV Center of Mass energy with 9k events.

>Tracking efficiency is studied for various selection parameters variation.

➤Tracking reconstruction efficiency is 88% for pt> 0.25-1.0 GeV MC particle lying in the central region for H-> bb

 $\gg \sim 99\%$  tracking efficiency of both samples for pt > 1GeV & theta ~10 deg

### <u>TASK 02</u>

> Presently understanding the cal assisted tracking code & trying to modify the code.

≻Could run the example driver of Garfield track finder & found the K0 for some samples.

≻Ongoing cal seed tracking study.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

### Thanks to

- Christian Grefe for helping me with the understanding of the code & for the discussions.
- Stephane Poss & Jan Fridolf Strube for discussions

# Backup SLIDES

### **PT VS PZ FOR H->BB**



25



### **HIGGS CROSS SECTION VS CM ENERGY**



without beam effectstree-level diagrams

# **Tracking algorithm & Selection cut**

- Convert the digitized hits into a common hit format.
- > Typically, 6-7 hits are sufficient for finding a track, which allows the standard pattern recognition algorithm to efficiently track particles originating near the interaction point with pT > 200*MeV*.
- Three types of hits are supported: pixel hits, strip hits & stereo hits
- > Track finding is controlled by a set of strategies. A strategy consists of the list of detector layers to be used, the role of each layer (seed, confirm, or extend), kinematic constraints (*Pt*, *impact parameters*), *requirements in the number of hits, and the*  $\chi^2$  cut.

# **Tracking algorithm & Selection cut**

- Convert the digitized hits into a common hit format.
- > Typically, 6-7 hits are sufficient for finding a track, which allows the standard pattern recognition algorithm to efficiently track particles originating near the interaction point with pT > 200*MeV*.
- Three types of hits are supported: pixel hits, strip hits & stereo hits
- > Track finding is controlled by a set of strategies. A strategy consists of the list of detector layers to be used, the role of each layer (seed, confirm, or extend), kinematic constraints (*Pt*, *impact parameters*), *requirements in the number of hits, and the*  $\chi^2$  cut.