



How to analyze a full experiment in Julia

<u>Florian Henkes</u> – Technical University of Munich Oliver Schulz – Max-Planck Institute for Physics



What happens in this talk

- Introduction to LEGEND and 0vββ-decay physics
- 2. What is the JuLeAna Software Stack?
- Features and highlights in the application to LEGEND data
- 4. Summary & Outlook









1. Introduction to LEGEND and $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay

physics

- 2. What is the JuLeAna Software Stack?
- Features and highlights in the application to LEGEND data
- 4. Summary & Outlook

Neutrinoless double beta decay





- $2\nu\beta\beta$: simultaneous decay of two neutrons in a nucleus (observed e.g. in ⁷⁶Ge)
- 0vββ: process in which two particles are created without balancing anti-particles

ightarrow Lepton-Number Violation by 2 orders

ightarrow Could possibly explain matter-antimatter asymmetry in the early universe

The signature





- 2vββ: continuous energy spectrum
- $0\nu\beta\beta$: peak at the Q-value
- For ⁷⁶Ge: Q = 2.039 MeV

The challenge





- 2vββ: continuous energy spectrum
- $0\nu\beta\beta$: peak at the Q-value
- For ⁷⁶Ge: $Q_{\beta\beta}$ = 2.039 MeV

Key requirements:

- Large exposure (tonne-scale)
- Excellent energy resolution (~ 1% @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$)
- Low background (< 1 cts/year/t/ROI)





"The collaboration aims to develop a **phased**,⁷⁶**Ge-based** double-beta decay experimental program with discovery potential at a **half-life beyond 10**²⁸**yr**, using existing resources as appropriate to expedite physics results."

LEGEND-200

- **200kg** of ^{enr}Ge (x 5yr), in GERDA cryostat
- Physics data taking since March 2023
- $B \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cts / (keV · kg · yr) $\rightarrow T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 10^{27}$ yr LEGEND-1000
- **1t** of ^{enr}Ge (x 10yr), pending funding
- B < 10⁻⁴⁵ cts / (keV · kg · yr) $\rightarrow T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 10^{28}$ yr
- Fully cover $m_{\beta\beta}$ inverted ordering region



The LEGEND Experiment







HPGe readout electronics

Larger mass (inverted coaxial) HPGe detectors with up to 4 kg

Liquid Argon instrumentation: inner & outer fiber barrels with silicon photomultiplier (SiPM) readout at top & bottom

Source funnels for ²²⁸Th calibration sources



Detector mount: underground copper, optically active PEN plates and radiopure PEI



HPGe Detectors



- Detector = source of ββ decay events
- Isotope enrichment from 7.7% to > 90 % possible
- Very good energy resolution of *O* 0.1% (FWHM) at 2039 keV (Qββ of 76Ge)
- High density & high detection efficiency

60 50

40

30

10



















1. Introduction to LEGEND and $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay

physics

- 2. What is the JuLeAna Software Stack?
- Features and highlights in the application to LEGEND data
- 4. Summary & Outlook









1. Introduction to LEGEND and $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay

physics

- 2. What is the JuLeAna Software Stack?
- 3. Features and highlights in the application to LEGEND data
- 4. Summary & Outlook

LEGEND Data





Digital Signal Processing



- First step in analysis chain \rightarrow **DSP**
 - Signal height contains energy information
 - Signal derivative ("current") contains Pulse Shape information
 - Time points contain drift information



- Filter and stats algorithm definitions
- Easy-to-extent API
- Filter optimization routine and DSP block definitions custom to LEGEND
- (Almost) fully runnable on GPUs

Quality Cuts



- Quality cuts = Important step to identify non-physical events
- ML based quality cuts using Affinity Propagator



- So far: training process still in python
- Evaluation happens in Julia by exporting the models
- Julia implementation currently under active development







- *Energy Calibration:* Linear fit of peak positions against literature values
- Peak FWHMs to extrapolate resolutions at $Q_{\beta\beta}$

3 FWHM (keV) 2 Best Fit (p = 0.03)1 Data Data not used for fit $Q_{aa}: 2.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ keV}$ Residuals (a) 0 3 0 -3 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 Energy (keV)

raw

dsp

hit

RadiationSpectra.jl

LegendSpecFit.jl

- Auto calibration routines based on combination of *peak search* and *peak ratio matching*
- For FWHM and calibration, custom χ^2 -fitter with generic *PolynominamlFuncs* and uncertainty handling via *Measurements.jl*

Pulse Shape Discrimination





Signal-Like event

- Localized
 → Single-Site Event (SSE)
- A/E always similar



Gamma background

- Multiple Compton scatterings, pair production, ...
- \rightarrow Multi-Site Event (MSE)
- A/E smaller than signal-like



- SSE, but short drift-time in large weighting potential but short drifttime in large weighting potential
- A/E larger than signal-like

Double Escape Peak (DEP) as proxy for Single Site Events (SSE)



RadiationDetectorDSP.jl



A/E Cut





Event Building







8	Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session	Many long nights, but now we can start with a single worker FlexWorkerPool{WorkerPool}(, label="mypool")
		host cobra01 (1 workers): t^{2z}
	Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O wit optional local caching, and more	h
\checkmark	Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds	
	Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes i parallel	1
(7)	Under development: better distributed progress and performance monitoring	All performed on MPCDF Munich HPC systems
		Cobra/Raven/Viper



 Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel 			
 Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel 	8	Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session	<pre>Poor little worker this will take a while let's invite some friends FlexWorkerPool{WorkerPool}(, label="mypool")</pre>
 Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel 			NOSE CODIADI (I WORKERS): 🥆
 Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel 		Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more	
 Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel 			
Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel	~	Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds	
Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel			
		Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel	
Linder developments better distributed			
Onder development: better distributed All performed on MPCDF progress and performance monitoring Munich HPC systems	(7)	Under development: better distributed progress and performance monitoring	All performed on MPCDF Munich HPC systems
Cobra/Raven/Viper			Cobra/Raven/Viper



•	

Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session



Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more

Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds



Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel

171

Under development: better distributed progress and performance monitoring

We can add workers on the fly via SLURM, connect to a running Julia session

lexWork	erPool{W	lorke	erPool}(., label="mypool")
host	co3004	(20	workers):	2hichichichichichichichichichichichichich
host	co3017	(20	workers):	dududududududududududududududududududu
host	co3343	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co3344	(20	workers):	222222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co3497	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co3498	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co3502	(20	workers):	222222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co3505	(20	workers):	
host	co5059	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co5370	(20	workers):	dududududududududududududududududududu
host	co5417	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co5566	(20	workers):	222222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co5582	(20	workers):	
host	co5583	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co5591	(20	workers):	22222222222222222222222222222222222222
host	co5595	(20	workers):	





8

Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session



Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more

Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds



Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel

171

Under development: better distributed progress and performance monitoring

... and let them take over:



All performed on MPCDF Munich HPC systems Cobra/Raven/Viper



8	Can scale cluster up and down repeatedly, even from interactive Julia session	When we're done, we can remove the SLURM workers (save some budget) and continue: FlexWorkerPool{WorkerPool}(, label="mypool")	
		host cobra01 (1 workers): 2^{Z_z}	
	Timeout/retry mechanism, atomic file I/O with optional local caching, and more		
\checkmark	Best LH5 write performance achieved so far 1.2 TB in 70 seconds		
	Ran up to 4000 multi-cpu worker processes in parallel		
(7h	Under development: better distributed progress and performance monitoring	All performed on MPCDF Munich HPC systems	
	_	Cobra/Raven/Viper	
	Pa	rallelProcessingTools.jl	20

Altogether \rightarrow Dataflow



Custom dataflow containing

- Processor based execution of individual runs or detectors
- Configuration via JSON
- Debug mode for *interactive* testing
- Can run on HPC, *local* notebook or single server natively
- Custom mini graph computing for dependencies
- Markdown based logging infrastructure
- Pars read and write with unit and error handling via Unitful.jl and Measurements.jl
 "e_zac": { "fit": { "TL208FEP": { "skew width": {

"val": 0.00127417663243801, "err": 7.26620752178326e-5

"val": 2616.0045498134514, "err": 0.20960014815095052

"unit": "keV",

}, "µ": {





1. Introduction to LEGEND and $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay

physics

- 2. What is the JuLeAna Software Stack?
- Features and highlights in the application to LEGEND data
- 4. Summary & Outlook

Summary & Outlook



And of course we have T-shirts

- LEGEND features full Julia based Software Stack which can tackle all tiers
- Promising approach without any dependencies to other languages or tools
- In future → Try to release more and more tools and general purpose packages

FNSNF







BACKUP

- Primary LEGEND software stack in Python, alternative in Julia
 - Validation: Independent code guards physics results against bugs in primary stack
 - **Experimentation**: Primary stack needs stable interface, alternative can use bleeding-edge technology and change/evolve more freely
 - **Future perspective**: Find out what's possible for LEGEND-1000
- Community-wide and LEGEND-specific open-source packages
- Custom dataflow and management routines for throughput cluster computing



LEGEND Experiment









Ονββ Decay Physics





LEGEND Experiment



42



• To enhance performance

 \rightarrow Filter parameter for energy and pulse shape

Two step process for energy filters:

- 1. Optimize rise time on baseline events
- 2. Optimize *flat-top time* by fitting peak shape



- Trapping of charge from the initial charge cloud during drift
- Can be corrected via the correlation of the drift time weighted with the charge

QDrift = Area2 – Area1



QDrift Parameter





Charge Trapping Correction

- Trapping of charge from the initial charge cloud during drift
- Can be corrected via the correlation of the drift time weighted with the charge

QDrift = Area2 – Area1

- $\rightarrow E_{CTC} = E + fct \cdot QDrift$
- → Corrected energy optimized by optimizing fct via PeakHeight/FWHM

FWHM 2.57 ± 0.03

10²

2580

6.0×10⁵

4.0×10

2.0×10

-2.0×10⁵

2600

Drift Time

Counts





FWHM 2.44 ± 0.03

hit

dsp

raw





- Trapping of charge from the initial charge cloud during drift
- Can be corrected via the correlation of the drift time weighted with the charge

QDrift = Area2 – Area1

- $\rightarrow E_{CTC} = E + fct \cdot QDrift$
- → Corrected energy optimized by optimizing fct via PeakHeight/FWHM

SiPMs

- Find peak positions man cross threshold
- Amplitudes of peak positions in filtered waveforms





SiPMs



In uncalibrated histogram

- Find peaks "1 p.e." and "2 p.e." peak positions
- Linear calibration



SiPMs



In *uncalibrated* histogram

- Find peaks "1 p.e." and "2 p.e." peak positions
- Linear calibration



