# SMEFT meets quantum gravity

### Astrid Eichhorn, Heidelberg University

8th General Meeting of the LHC EFT working group, December 3, 2024





## New physics



New physics has to be...

... very heavy

#### SMEFT

Z' bosons Supersymmetry

... (light and) very weakly interacting with the SM

> Axion-like particles

## New physics



## Asymptotic safety: Lightning introduction

- quantum field theory of the metric  $\rightarrow$  quantize just like the other fundamental forces
- perturbative non-renormalizability: breakdown of predictivity
- asymptotic safety = quantum scale symmetry

## Asymptotic safety: Lightning introduction

- quantum field theory of the metric  $\rightarrow$  quantize just like the other fundamental forces
- perturbative non-renormalizability: breakdown of predictivity
- asymptotic safety = quantum scale symmetry  $\rightarrow$  Renormalization Group fixed point



## **Asymptotic safety: Lightning introduction**

- quantum field theory of the metric  $\rightarrow$  quantize just like the other fundamental forces  $\bullet$
- perturbative non-renormalizability: breakdown of predictivity  $\bullet$
- asymptotic safety = quantum scale symmetry  $\rightarrow$  Renormalization Group fixed point lacksquare





## Asymptotically safe Standard Model



without gravity:

- not ultraviolet complete (Landau pole/triviality problem)
- measured values are free parameters

RG scale k in GeV

## Asymptotically safe Standard Model



without gravity:

- not ultraviolet complete (Landau pole/triviality problem)
- measured values of couplings are free parameters

work by many groups: de Brito, Gies, Held, Knorr, Kowalska, Litim, Percacci, Pereira, Reichert, Reuter, Saueressig, Wetterich, Yamada

with gravity: indications that

- ultraviolet complete (no Landau poles)
- measured values of some (not all) couplings are predicted/bounded from above

reviews: AE '18; AE, Schiffer '22



Key messages:

Key messages:

Transplanckian scales:

- Not all SMEFT interactions nonzero to first approximation (e.g., no B-violating interactions)

• Asymptotically safe gravity unavoidably generates higher-order interactions that are part of the SMEFT

Key messages:

Transplanckian scales:

- Asymptotically safe gravity unavoidably generates higher-order interactions that are part of the SMEFT
   Net all CMEET interactions non-zero to first energy imptient (or a second particulation interactions)
- Not all SMEFT interactions nonzero to first approximation (e.g., no B-violating interactions)

Below-planckian scales:

- Positivity bounds provide nontrivial consistency-check for asymptotic safety

Key messages:

Transplanckian scales:

- Asymptotically safe gravity unavoidably generates higher-order interactions that are part of the SMEFT
- Not all SMEFT interactions nonzero to first approximation (e.g., no B-violating interactions)

Below-planckian scales:

- Positivity bounds provide nontrivial consistency-check for asymptotic safety
- size of Wilson coefficients:
  - scenario I: essentially zero at LHC scales
  - scenario II (speculative): non-zero due to intermediate fixed-point regime

Key messages:

Transplanckian scales:

- Not all SMEFT interactions nonzero to first approximation (e.g., no B-violating interactions)

Below-planckian scales:

- Positivity bounds provide nontrivial consistency-check for asymptotic safety
- size of Wilson coefficients:
  - scenario I: essentially zero at LHC scales
  - scenario II (speculative): non-zero due to intermediate fixed-point regime

Asymptotically safe gravity unavoidably generates higher-order interactions that are part of the SMEFT

No "smoking gun" for gravity, but consistency tests

• generation mechanism: [AE, Gies '11; AE '12; AE, Held '17; Christiansen, AE '17]

gravity cannot be decoupled.



generation mechanism:  $\bullet$ [AE, Gies '11; AE '12; AE, Held '17; Christiansen, AE '17]

gravity cannot be decoupled.

example: gravity generates photon-interactions

 $\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\kappa\lambda}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\nu\lambda} \to \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}g^{\kappa\lambda}F_{\mu\kappa}F_{\nu\lambda}$ 







generation mechanism:  $\bullet$ [AE, Gies '11; AE '12; AE, Held '17; Christiansen, AE '17]

gravity cannot be decoupled.

example: gravity generates photon-interactions

$$\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta^{\kappa\lambda}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\nu\lambda} \to \sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}g^{\kappa\lambda}F_{\mu\kappa}F_{\nu\lambda}$$



 $\rightarrow w_2 (F^2)^2$  with  $w_2 \neq 0$ 



Current status: no studies in full SMEFT, instead simplified studies (e.g. without flavor-structure)

operator dimension	Gauge sector	Scalar sector	Fermion sector	Mixed
dimension 5			no proton decay in asymptotic safety AE, Ray '23	
dimension 6	not generated in asymptotic safety	not generated in asymptotic safety	$ \left( \frac{\bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi}{\varphi} \right)^{2} $ AE, Gies '11; Meibohm, Pawlowski '16; de Brito, AE, Schiffer '20; de Brito, AE, Ray '23	not generate asymptotic sa
dimension 8	$ \begin{pmatrix} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}^2, F_{\mu\nu}F^{\nu\kappa}F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda} \\ \text{Christiansen, AE '17,} \\ \text{AE, Schiffer (+) '19, '21, '24} \\ \text{Knorr, Platania '24} \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi \end{pmatrix}^{2} \\ AE '12; \\ de Brito, AE, L. d. Santos '21, \\ Laporte, Pereira, Saueressig, Wang '21, \\ de Brito, Knorr, Schiffer '23 \end{pmatrix}^{2} $		$\left(ar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu} abla^{\mu}\psi ight)\left(\partial_{ u}q ight)$ AE, Held '17
dimension 10 or higher	$\left(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} ight)^{3}$ AE, Schiffer '24	$\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi ight)^{n}$ de Brito, Knorr, Schiffer '23		



Current status: no studies in full SMEFT, instead simplified studies (e.g. without flavor-structure)

operator dimension	Gauge sector	Scalar sector	Fermion sector	Mixed
dimension 5	-	Only those interactions which share the symmetries f the kinetic terms are induce	no proton decay in asymptotic safety AE, Ray '23	
dimension 6	not generated in asymptotic safety	not generated in asymptotic safety	$ \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi \right)^{2} $ AE, Gies '11; Meibohm, Pawlowski '16; de Brito, AE, Schiffer '20; de Brito, AE, Ray '23	not generate asymptotic sa
dimension 8	$ \begin{pmatrix} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}^2, F_{\mu\nu}F^{\nu\kappa}F_{\kappa\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda} \\ \text{Christiansen, AE '17,} \\ \text{AE, Schiffer (+) '19, '21, '24} \\ \text{Knorr, Platania '24} \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi \end{pmatrix}^{2} \\ AE '12; \\ de Brito, AE, L. d. Santos '21, \\ Laporte, Pereira, Saueressig, Wang '21, \\ de Brito, Knorr, Schiffer '23 \end{pmatrix}^{2} $		$\left(ar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu} abla^{\mu}\psi ight)\left(\partial_{ u}q ight)$ AE, Held '17
dimension 10 or higher	$ \left(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right)^{3} $ AE, Schiffer '24	$\left(\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi\right)^{n}$ de Brito, Knorr, Schiffer '23		



### SMEFT @ dim 6

$X^3$		$\varphi^6$ and $\varphi^4 D^2$			
$Q_G$	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C}_{\nu}$	$Q_{arphi}$	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	$Q_{earphi}$	
$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} C^{\mu\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi\square}$	$(arphi^\dagger arphi) \Box (arphi^\dagger arphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	
$Q_W$	$\varepsilon^{IJK} W^{I\nu}_{\mu} W^{J\rho}_{\nu} W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{arphi D}$	$\left( arphi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} arphi  ight)^{\star} \left( arphi^{\dagger} D_{\mu} arphi  ight)$	$Q_{darphi}$	
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{I\nu}W_{\nu}^{J\rho}W_{\rho}^{K\mu}$				100
	$X^2 \varphi^2$		$\psi^2 X \varphi$		
$Q_{\varphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiG^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	$Q_{eW}$	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi l}$	(
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{G}}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi  \widetilde{G}^A_{\mu u} G^{A\mu u}$	$Q_{eB}$	$(ar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu u} e_r) arphi B_{\mu u}$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi l}$	(4
$Q_{\varphi W}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi W^I_{\mu u} W^{I\mu u}$	$Q_{uG}$	$\left(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \tilde{\rho} G^A_{\mu\nu}\right)$	$Q_{arphi e}$	
$\left\  ~~ Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}}  ight.$	$arphi^{\dagger}arphi \widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu u}W^{I\mu u}$	$Q_{uW}$	$\left(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}\right)$	$Q^{(1)}_{arphi q}$	(
$Q_{\varphi B}$	$arphi^\dagger arphi B_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$Q_{uB}$	$\left(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi}  B_{\mu\nu}\right)$	$Q^{(3)}_{arphi q}$	$(\varphi$
$Q_{arphi \widetilde{B}}$	$arphi^{\dagger}arphi\widetilde{B}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	$Q_{dG}$	$\left(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu} T^A d_r\right) \varphi  G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{arphi u}$	(
$   Q_{\varphi WB}$	$ ho^{\dagger}  au^{I} arphi W^{I}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$Q_{dW}$	$\left(\bar{q}_{\rho}\sigma^{\mu\nu}d_{r})\tau^{I}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}\right)$	$Q_{arphi d}$	
$\left\  \; Q_{arphi \widetilde{W}B} \;  ight.$	$\varphi^{\dagger}  au^{I} \varphi  \widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu u} B^{\mu u}$	$Q_{dB}$	$\int (\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \varphi  B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{arphi u d}$	i



#### no-global-symmetries conjecture: no evidence in asymptotic safety

reviewed in: [AE, Schiffer '22 AE, Hebecker, Pawlowski, Walcher '24]

 $\Rightarrow$  only interactions with the symmetries of kinetic terms are necessarily present

 $\Rightarrow$  other terms to first approximation zero, unless quantum gravity changes scaling dimension from irrelevant to relevant; however: so far no evidence for this



### SMEFT @ dim 6: 4-fermion couplings

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$				
$Q_{ll}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{l}_s\gamma^\mu l_t)$	$Q_{ee}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	$Q_{le}$	$(ar{l}_p$	
$\left\  egin{array}{c} Q_{qq}^{(1)} \end{array}  ight.$	$(ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	$Q_{uu}$	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	$Q_{lu}$	$(\bar{l}_p$	
$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$(ar{q}_p\gamma_\mu au^I q_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu au^I q_t)$	$Q_{dd}$	$(ar{d}_p \gamma_\mu d_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{ld}$	$(ar{l}_p$	
$\left\  egin{array}{c} Q_{lq}^{(1)} \end{array}  ight.$	$(ar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (ar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	$Q_{eu}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	$Q_{qe}$	$(ar{q}_p$	
$\left\  \begin{array}{c} Q_{lq}^{(3)} \end{array}  ight.$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu au^I l_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu au^I q_t)$	$Q_{ed}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p$	
		$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$\left( ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T  ight)$	
		$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$\left( ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu T^A u_r ) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)  ight)$	$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p$	
				$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(ar{q}_p\gamma_\mu)$	
$(\bar{L}R)(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		B-violating				
$Q_{ledq}$	$(ar{l}_p^j e_r) (ar{d}_s q_t^j)$	$Q_{duq}$	$\left  {{arepsilon arepsilon } arepsilon a$	$^{T}Cu_{r}^{\beta} ]$	$\left[(q_s^{\gamma j})^T ight.$	
$\left\  \; Q_{quqd}^{(1)}  ight.$	$(ar{q}_p^j u_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k d_t)$	$Q_{qqu}$	$\left  \varepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jk}\left[ (q_p^{lpha j}) \right]  ight.$	$)^T C q_r^{\beta k}$	$\left[ \left( u^{\gamma} ight) ^{\gamma} ight]$	
$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p^j T^A u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qqq}$	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jn}arepsilon_{km}\left[(q^{lpha})^{lpha} ight]$	$^{j})^{T}Cq_{r}^{eta}$	$^{8k} ] \left[ (q_s^{\gamma r}$	
$\left\  ~ Q_{lequ}^{(1)}  ight.$	$(ar{l}_p^j e_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k u_t)$	$Q_{duu}$	$\sum_{\gamma} \left[ (d_p^lpha)^T  ight]$	$\left[ Cu_{r}^{\beta} ight] \left[  ight]$	$\left[(u_s^\gamma)^T C ight]$	
$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$	$\left(\bar{l}_p^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_t)\right)$					

```
L)(\bar{R}R)
(\sigma_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} l_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^{\mu} e_t)
(\gamma_{\mu}l_{r})(ar{u}_{s}\gamma^{\mu}u_{t})
(\bar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)(\bar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)
(\bar{e}_s\gamma^\mu e_t)(\bar{e}_s\gamma^\mu e_t)
(\bar{u}_s\gamma^\mu q_r)(ar{u}_s\gamma^\mu u_t)
(T^A q_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu T^A u_t)
(\bar{d}_s\gamma^\mu q_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)
T^A q_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)
\left[Cl_{t}^{k}\right]
 Ce_t
^{n})^{T}Cl_{t}^{n}
[e_t]
```

#### no-global-symmetries conjecture: no evidence in asymptotic safety

reviewed in: [AE, Schiffer '22 AE, Hebecker, Pawlowski, Walcher '24]

 $\Rightarrow$  only interactions with the symmetries of kinetic terms are necessarily present

 $\Rightarrow$  other terms to first approximation zero, unless quantum gravity changes scaling dimension from irrelevant to relevant; however: so far no evidence for this

no proton decay in asymptotic safety AE, Ray '23



## Implications for Wilson coefficients at LHC energies

Functional Renormalization Group:  $k^2$  sets infrared cutoff in Euclidean path integral

infrared: LHC

decoupling of gravity fluctuations

**Planck-scale** 

UV: fixed-point regime

 $k^2/\text{GeV}^2$ 

## Implications for Wilson coefficients at LHC energies

Functional Renormalization Group:  $k^2$  sets infrared cutoff in Euclidean path integral

infrared: LHC

decoupling of gravity fluctuations

$$\Gamma_{k} = \int d^{4}x \sum_{i} \bar{g}_{i}(k) \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + \dots \xrightarrow{k^{2} \to 0} \Gamma = \int d^{4}x \mathscr{L}_{\text{EFT}} \mathscr{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \mathscr{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)} + \sum_{j} \frac{c_{j}}{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^{4}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(8)} + \dots$$

**Planck-scale** 

UV: fixed-point regime

 $k^2/\text{GeV}^2$ 

## Implications for Wilson coefficients at LHC energies

Functional Renormalization Group:  $k^2$  sets infrared cutoff in Euclidean path integral



 $k^2/\text{GeV}^2$ 

### SMEFT interactions in asymptotic safety: positivity bounds

$$\mathscr{L}_{k} = \frac{1}{4}F^{2} + \frac{w_{2}}{k^{4}}\left(F^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{h_{2}}{k^{4}}F^{4}$$

Positivity bounds from causality in the IR

$$\frac{w_2}{h_2} > -\frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{4w_2 + 3h_2}{|4w_2 + h_2|} > 1$$

[Carillo Gonzalez, de Rham, Jaitly, Pozsgay, Tokareva '23]

Apply to photons in asymptotically safe gravity:

- assume that can Wick-rotate action
- start at interacting fixed point and integrate to low k: use that  $w_2(k)$ ,  $h_2(k)$  are irrelevant and thus calculable
- gravity fluctuations decouple dynamically at Planck scale



5

### SMEFT interactions in asymptotic safety: positivity bounds

$$\mathscr{L}_{k} = \frac{1}{4}F^{2} + \frac{w_{2}}{k^{4}}\left(F^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{h_{2}}{k^{4}}F^{4}$$

Positivity bounds from causality in the IR

$$\frac{w_2}{h_2} > -\frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{4w_2 + 3h_2}{|4w_2 + h_2|} > 1$$

[Carillo Gonzalez, de Rham, Jaitly, Pozsgay, Tokareva '23]

Apply to photons in asymptotically safe gravity:

- assume that can Wick-rotate action
- start at interacting fixed point and integrate to low k: use that  $w_2(k)$ ,  $h_2(k)$  are irrelevant and thus calculable
- gravity fluctuations decouple dynamically at Planck scale



asymptotic safety avoids propagation outside the lightcone

[AE, Pedersen, Schiffer '24;

see also Knorr, Platania '24]



### SMEFT interactions in asymptotic safety: positivity bounds

$$\mathscr{L}_{k} = \frac{1}{4}F^{2} + \frac{w_{2}}{k^{4}}\left(F^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{h_{2}}{k^{4}}F^{4}$$

Positivity bounds from causality in the IR

$$\frac{w_2}{h_2} > -\frac{3}{4}, \quad \frac{4w_2 + 3h_2}{|4w_2 + h_2|} > 1$$

[Carillo Gonzalez, de Rham, Jaitly, Pozsgay, Tokareva '23]

Apply to photons in asymptotically safe gravity:

- assume that can Wick-rotate action
- start at interacting fixed point and integrate to low k: use that  $w_2(k)$ ,  $h_2(k)$  are irrelevant and thus calculable
- gravity fluctuations decouple dynamically at Planck scale



 $\Lambda$ 

**Four-fermion interactions** 

Toy model with two fermion species (no color, flavor, charge):  $\overline{\lambda_{i}}$ 

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$	
$Q_{ll}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{l}_s\gamma^\mu l_t)$	$Q_{ee}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	$Q_{le}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{e}_s\gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p\gamma_\mu q_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu q_t)$	$Q_{uu}$	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	$Q_{lu}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{u}_s\gamma^\mu u_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$(ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu  au^I q_r) (ar{q}_s \gamma^\mu  au^I q_t)$	$Q_{dd}$	$(ar{d}_p\gamma_\mu d_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{ld}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{d}_s\gamma^\mu d_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu l_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu q_t)$	$Q_{eu}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	$Q_{qe}$	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar e_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	$(ar{l}_p\gamma_\mu au^I l_r)(ar{q}_s\gamma^\mu au^I q_t)$	$Q_{ed}$	$(ar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p\gamma_\mu q_r)(ar{u}_s\gamma^\mu u_t)$
		$ig  Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$(ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$\left  \ (ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (ar{u}_s \gamma^\mu T^A u_t) \  ight $
		$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$\left( ar{u}_p \gamma_\mu T^A u_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)  ight.$	$ig  Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(ar q_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (ar d_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$
				$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(ar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (ar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$
$(\bar{L}R)(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		<i>B</i> -violating			
$Q_{ledq}$	$(ar{l}_p^j e_r)(ar{d}_s q_t^j)$	$Q_{duq}$	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk}\left[(d_{p}^{\alpha})^{T}Cu_{r}^{\beta}\right]\left[(q_{s}^{\gamma j})^{T}Cl_{t}^{k}\right]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$(ar{q}_p^j u_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k d_t)$	$Q_{qqu}$	$\left[ arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jk}\left[(q_p^{lpha j})^T C q_r^{eta k} ight] \left[(u^\gamma)^T C e_t ight] ight]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(ar{q}_p^j T^A u_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qqq}$	$arepsilon^{lphaeta\gamma}arepsilon_{jn}arepsilon_{km}\left[(q^{lpha j}_{r})^T\mathcal{C}q^{eta k}_r ight]\left[(q^{\gamma m}_s)^TCl^n_t ight]$		
$\left  \begin{array}{c} Q_{lequ}^{(1)} \end{array}  ight $	$(ar{l}_p^j e_r) arepsilon_{jk} (ar{q}_s^k u_t)$	$Q_{duu}$	$\left[ (d_p^lpha)^T C u_r^eta  ight] \left[ (u_s^\gamma)^T C e_t  ight]$		
$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$	$(ar{l}_p^j\sigma_{\mu u}e_r)arepsilon_{jk}(ar{q}_s^k\sigma^{\mu u}u_t)$				

$$\overline{l}_{\pm} = \frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{k^2} \to \frac{c_{4-f}}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2}$$

#### Renormalization Group flow with gravity

[AE, Gies '11; Meibohm, Pawlowski '16; de Brito, AE, Schiffer '20; de Brito, AE, Ray '23]





#### **Four-fermion interactions**

Toy model with two fermion species (no color, flavor, charge):  $ar{\lambda}$ 

Potential implications for SMEFT (assuming a "desert")

[Brenner, Chikkaballi, AE, Ray '24]

• Scenario I:  $\lambda_{\pm} \sim k^2$  for  $k^2 < M_{\text{Planck}}^2$ ; thus  $\Lambda_{\text{NP}} \sim M_{\text{Planck}}$ 

$$\overline{l}_{\pm} = \frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{k^2} \to \frac{c_{4-f}}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2}$$

#### Renormalization Group flow with gravity

[AE, Gies '11; Meibohm, Pawlowski '16; de Brito, AE, Schiffer '20; de Brito, AE, Ray '23]





#### **Four-fermion interactions**

Toy model with two fermion species (no color, flavor, charge):  $\overline{\lambda}_{i}$ 

Potential implications for SMEFT (assuming a "desert")

[Brenner, Chikkaballi, AE, Ray '24]

• Scenario I:  $\lambda_{\pm} \sim k^2$  for  $k^2 < M_{\text{Planck}}^2$ ; thus  $\Lambda_{\text{NP}} \sim M_{\text{Planck}}$ 



thus  $\Lambda_{\rm NP} = \Lambda_{\rm eff NP} \ll M_{\rm Planck}$ 





$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{k^2} \to \frac{c_{4-f}}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2}$$

#### Renormalization Group flow with gravity

[AE, Gies '11; Meibohm, Pawlowski '16; de Brito, AE, Schiffer '20; de Brito, AE, Ray '23]



Key messages:

Transplanckian scales:

- Asymptotically safe gravity unavoidably generates higher-order interactions that are part of the SMEFT
- Not all SMEFT interactions nonzero to first approximation (e.g., no B-violating interactions)

Below-planckian scales:

- Positivity bounds provide nontrivial consistency-check for asymptotic safety
- size of Wilson coefficients:
  - scenario I: essentially zero at LHC scales
  - scenario II (speculative): non-zero due to intermediate fixed-point regime

## Outlook

- from toy models towards the SMEFT (add flavor structure)
- remove "desert" hypothesis: ullet



#### constraints on Wilson coefficients from quantum gravity in presence of intermediate new physics

## Outlook

- from toy models towards the SMEFT (add flavor structure)
- remove "desert" hypothesis: lacksquare



#### constraints on Wilson coefficients from quantum gravity in presence of intermediate new physics