# Searches for additional Higgs bosons in ATLAS

**Kevin Nelson,** on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration October 23, 2024 PIC 2024 Athens, Greece





## Outline

### • Diphoton resonance searches

• A clean, classic signature

### • Searches for light scalars in the $H_{125} \rightarrow aa$ decay mode

- Low  $p_T$  boosted objects necessitate new analysis ideas
- Searches for charged Higgs bosons
  - The latest ATLAS excess...

### • Results from as new as July 2024 in each of these three categories









### Searches for $\gamma\gamma$ resonances

### • Theoretical motivations:

- It is often difficult to turn off scalar  $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decays (and retain any SM) interactions) due to loops of both fermions and vector bosons
- Wide variety of models: ALP/R-axion, RS-graviton, 2HDM, NMSSM
- Clean experimental signature
- In fermiophobic models the branching ratio to photons can be enhanced by orders of magnitude compared to SM Higgs
- Pictured are branching fractions of a *fully fermiophobic* Higgs: All couplings to fermions=0

### • Recent experimental intrigue

• CMS 95 GeV





**Kevin Nelson** 



### Searches for $\gamma\gamma$ resonances: 66-110 GeV

### • Photon conversion categories separate $Z \rightarrow ee$ fake background



- Require boosted  $\gamma\gamma$  system:  $E_T/m_{\gamma\gamma} > 22/58 \approx 0.38$ 
  - Results in exponentially falling background which is easier to fit
- Spurious signal systematic reduced by a factor of 3x (up to 30%) improvement in limit) due to Gaussian process regression (low mass) and **<u>functional decomposition</u>** smoothing (high mass)







### Searches for $\gamma\gamma$ resonances



- search.
- No evidence for new Higgs bosons decaying to photons in ATLAS searches.
  - Largest deviation:  $3.3\sigma$  local at 684 GeV



Upper Limit on  $\sigma_{fid} imes BR$  [fb]

95% CL

• Limits are fiducial cross section (X branching ratio). See <u>2407.07546</u> (or next slide) for direct comparison of 95 GeV excess to CMS with model dependent SM-Higgs like assumptions on production mode. BDT categories also used for model-dependent







### Searches for $\gamma\gamma$ resonances: 66 to 110 GeV

### • Model-dependent limit assumes production in ggF, VBF, VH, tH modes matches proportions of SM Higgs

• (This is not necessarily a good assumption, but we can run with it. Motivated by this direct comparison.)

### • ATLAS excludes the size of the CMS excess at the 95% CL

- ATLAS is about equally sensitive, but sees a far smaller bump
- anymore, ATLAS sees  $3.3\sigma$  local at 684 GeV...









### **2HDM+S** searches







|    | <ul> <li>2HDM+S model: 2nd doublet+light psuedoscalar (a)<br/>added to the SM.</li> </ul>   |
|----|---|
| SM | • 2HDM type, $\tan\beta$ , $m_a$ are free parameters.   |
| -  | <ul> <li>Depending on type, leptons, up-, down-type quark coupling scale like either tan β or cot β</li> <li>Almost any final state can become dominant</li> </ul>  |
| SM | • $a \rightarrow$ SM possible via $a \leftrightarrow \Phi_1, \Phi_2$ mixing.  |
|    | • When $m_a$ is small, SM 2-body decays merged. Also lease the second state of the se |





### **2HDM+S searches**





### **2HDM+S** searches





## **2HDM+S:** $bb\mu\mu$

- A bump was seen in the ATLAS search for  $H \rightarrow aa \rightarrow bb\mu\mu$ 
  - 3.3 (1.7)  $\sigma$  local (global)
- Relatively "clean" signature due to di-muon resonance
- Need other final states to weigh in
- In particular, this excess could imply enhanced coupling between a and leptons
- Logical next step:  $bb\tau\tau$







- Quite difficult signature: low  $p_T$ , poor mass resolution
- Categorize based on experimental signature of tau and heavy flavor
- Use <u>DeXTer</u> deep sets based algorithm for Low- $p_T$  merged "double" B-jet
  - Recluster tracks around an ordinary jet in a R=0.8 cone
  - Cluster into precisely 2 sub-jets
  - Each sub-jet has minimum 5 GeV  $p_T$ , R=0.8 jet minimum 20 GeV







9

- Use a parameterized NN [1601.07913] to search for low mass resonances
- For example, show pNN spectra for 8 mass points in the  $(e\mu, 1b)$  category (1 of 9 categories)











input. Parameterizes response and allows for a search for a family of related models



#### **Non-paramerized NN: must train** amodel for each mass hypothesis









- No excess observed
- Boosted "B" tagger (2 sub-jets consistent with b) improves limit by a factor of 2 at low mass compared to CMS
- Bottom right shows limit using B vs 1b vs 2b heavy flavor category
- ATLAS and CMS have comparable limits above 20 GeV (resolved regime)
  - Main source for any discrepancy is the barrel muon trigger efficiency is lower in ATLAS than CMS
- This is not the end of  $bb\mu\mu$  excess!
  - Just means that if the excess is real, it likely does not couple proportional to lepton mass. Could be Z'.











## **Charged Higgs searches**

- Singly- and doubly- charged Higgs bosons present in <u>Georgi-Machacek model</u>
- Introduce a new Higgs triplet field with 9 degrees of freedom:
  - A doubly charged particle, a two singly charged particles, and three real neutral particles

• Similarly to 2HDM, an angle parameterizes how much the new degrees of freedom participate in EWSB:

 $\sin^2 \theta_H = 8b^2/v^2$ 

- Where "b" is the VeV acquired by the scalars in  $\chi$  and v = 246 GeV is determined by  $G_F$ ,  $\sin \theta_W$
- If there is no VeV in the new triplet, it doesn't participate in EWSB
- Charged Higgses also present in 2HDM/MSSM





$$= \begin{vmatrix} \chi^{0} & \zeta^{+} & \chi^{++} \\ \chi^{-} & \zeta^{0} & \chi^{+} \\ \chi^{--} & \zeta^{-} & \chi^{0} \end{vmatrix}$$

X





- $t\bar{t}$  event topology with lepton trigger

- are different



13

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

| - | с              |
|---|----------------|
|   | $\overline{s}$ |
|   | b              |
|   | $\overline{b}$ |
|   | $\ell^-$       |
| • | _              |

![](_page_14_Picture_13.jpeg)

- A BDT is used to build a discriminant for statistical interpretation
- Mass of the  $H^{\pm}$  affects not only the c, s kinematics but also the b because a heavier  $H^{\pm}$  can take more of the top mass-energy

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Kevin Nelson** 

![](_page_15_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_11.jpeg)

- No excess is observed

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### • CMS has a search in 36 fb<sup>-1</sup>, so ATLAS naturally is more sensitive. ATLAS also covers larger mass range.

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **Charged Higgs searches: combination**

- $W^{\pm}Z$  and  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$  final states
- Near 400 GeV there is an excess 3.3 (2.5)  $\sigma$  local (global)
- <u>CMS</u> is less sensitive (exp limit on  $\sin \theta_H \sim 0.23$  at 400 GeV) ATLAS exp limit on  $\sin \theta_H \sim 0.16$  at 400 GeV
- Combines searches: <u>[2207.03925]</u> [**2312.00420**]

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

### • Assuming the masses of new charged Higgses $H^{\pm}$ and $H^{\pm\pm}$ are identical ( $m_{H_5}$ ), combine searches in the

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

## Cut for time (but no less interesting!)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Kevin Nelson** 

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Conclusion

### • No evidence for new Higgs bosons in ATLAS

- Diphoton resonances
  - Largest excess:  $3.3\sigma$  local at 684 GeV.
  - No evidence supporting the 95 GeV excess from CMS.
- 2HDM+S low mass scalars
  - $bb\tau\tau$  was a cross-check on  $bb\mu\mu$ . No local excess above  $2\sigma$ .
  - Means  $bb\mu\mu$  excess likely not 2HDM+S particle, could be Z' boson (or nothing)
- Charged Higgses
  - 3.3 (2.5)  $\sigma$  local (global) in combination of searches in  $W^{\pm}Z$  and  $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$
  - A small hint of things to come? We should wait and see what CMS has to say

[2407.10798]

![](_page_19_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_17.jpeg)

## Backup

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

## ATLAS diphoton: clockwork

- Periodic signals
- the theory)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### • k=mass parameter denoting start of periodic signals. $M_5$ is the reduced Planck mass (fundamental scale of

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **CMS** diphoton

- Could not find a full run 2 search below 70 GeV from CMS
- High mass searches up to  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ ~3 TeV are shown.
  - Mass spectrum is categorized based on barrel vs endcap.

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

21

### Control regions for **Z**, *tt*

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

### • Major backgrounds: $t\bar{t}$ , Z+jets, fake leptons/taus

- *tt*, Z+jets modeled with MC. Fake leptons use matrix method. Fake taus use fake factor method.
- In  $e\mu$  channel, lepton isolation cones can overlap in boosted regime
  - Ignore lepton for each other's isolation, but still tracks/calorimeter deposits can fall into the middle of the Venn diagram
  - Causes *correlation* of isolation discriminant, breaks down matrix method Results become un-physical.

### • Developed a correction to 2-lepton matrix method:

- Re-weight the population of events when both leptons fail isolation
- Remain agnostic to which lepton "should" have failed isolation
- Correction is proportional to the fraction of overlap between isolation cones

$$(-w_{L}^{e}w_{L}^{\mu})^{\text{corr}} = (1 - f(\Delta R))\left(-w_{L}^{e}w_{L}^{\mu}\right) + f(\Delta R) \times \frac{1}{2}\left(w_{L}^{e}w_{T}^{\mu} + w_{T}^{e}w_{L}^{\mu}\right),$$
$$f(\Delta R) = c \times \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\arccos\left(\frac{\Delta R}{2r}\right) - \frac{\Delta R}{\pi r^{2}}\sqrt{r^{2} - \frac{(\Delta R)^{2}}{4}}\right), \quad [2407.01335]$$

$$[w_L^{\mu}]^{\text{corr}} = (1 - f(\Delta R)) \left( -w_L^e w_L^{\mu} \right) + f(\Delta R) \times \frac{1}{2} \left( w_L^e w_T^{\mu} + w_T^e w_L^{\mu} \right),$$

$$f(\Delta R) = c \times \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos\left(\frac{\Delta R}{2r}\right) - \frac{\Delta R}{\pi r^2} \sqrt{r^2 - \frac{(\Delta R)^2}{4}} \right), \quad [2407.01335]$$

![](_page_24_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### These tracks Count against Both leptons

![](_page_24_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_22.jpeg)

### • Full list of BDT input variables

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

| Variable type                 | Variable name                              | Definition   |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Top-quark kinematic variables |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | $j_1 p_{\rm T}$                            | $p_{\rm T}$ of $j_1$ -labelled jet                                     |  |  |  |
|                               | $j_2 p_{\rm T}$                            | $p_{\rm T}$ of $j_2$ -labelled jet                                     |  |  |  |
|                               | $b_{\rm had} p_{\rm T}$                    | $p_{\rm T}$ of $b_{\rm had}$ -jet                                      |  |  |  |
| 4                             | $b_{\rm had}^{t_{\rm had}-{\rm rest}} p$   | Momentum of $b_{had}$ -jet in $t_{had}$ rest fram                      |  |  |  |
| <i>i</i> <sub>had</sub>       | dijet mass                                 | Invariant mass of $j_1 + j_2$ jets                                     |  |  |  |
|                               | $(j_1 + b_{had})$ mass                     | Invariant mass of $j_1 + b_{had}$ jets                                 |  |  |  |
|                               | $(j_2+b_{had})$ mass                       | Invariant mass of $j_2 + b_{had}$ jets                                 |  |  |  |
|                               | $\cos\theta$                               | Boson spin sensitive variable  |  |  |  |
|                               | $b_{\text{lep}} p_{\text{T}}$              | $p_{\rm T}$ of $b_{\rm lep}$ -jet                                      |  |  |  |
| 4                             | Lepton $p_{\rm T}$                         | $p_{\rm T}$ of reconstructed lepton                                    |  |  |  |
| <i>l</i> <sub>lep</sub>       | W mass                                     | Invariant mass of reconstructed W bose                                 |  |  |  |
|                               | $t_{\rm lep}$ mass                         | Invariant mass of reconstructed $t_{lep}$                              |  |  |  |
|                               | $t_{\text{lep}} p_{\text{T}}$              | $p_{\rm T}$ of reconstructed $t_{\rm lep}$                             |  |  |  |
| tt avetam                     | $\Delta R(b_{\text{lep}}, b_{\text{had}})$ | $\Delta R$ between the $b_{\text{lep}}$ -jet and $b_{\text{had}}$ -jet |  |  |  |
| <i>u-system</i>               | $t\bar{t}$ mass                            | Invariant mass of $t_{had} + t_{lep}$                                  |  |  |  |
| Event variables               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | N <sub>jets</sub>                          | Number of jets in the event  |  |  |  |
| Event level                   | $S_{\mathrm{T}}$                           | Scalar $p_{\rm T}$ sum of all calibrated objects                       |  |  |  |
|                               | $\overline{P}_{t\bar{t}}$                  | Normalised probability of correct jet la                               |  |  |  |
| Flavour-tagging variables     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                               | j <sub>1</sub> PCFT                        | PCFT score of $j_1$  |  |  |  |
| Flavour tagging score         | j <sub>2</sub> PCFT                        | PCFT score of $j_2$  |  |  |  |
| Playour-tagging score         | $b_{\rm had}$ PCFT                         | PCFT score of $b_{had}$ -jet   |  |  |  |
|                               | $b_{\rm lep}$ PCFT                         | PCFT score of $b_{lep}$ -jet   |  |  |  |
|                               | N <sub>c-tagLo</sub>                       | Number of jets passing loose c-tag WP                                  |  |  |  |
| Number of tags                | $N_{c-\text{tagTi}}$                       | Number of jets passing tight <i>c</i> -tag WP                          |  |  |  |
| runnoer of tags               | $N_{b-\text{tag70}}$                       | Number of jets passing 70% b-tag WP                                    |  |  |  |
|                               | N <sub>b-tag60</sub>                       | Number of jets passing 60% <i>b</i> -tag WP                            |  |  |  |

Table 2: Final list of BDT input variables used in the training.

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Charged Higgses

### Comparison of ATLAS and CMS combinations for charged Higgses

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)