# Hypercontractivity and factorial moment scaling in the symmetry broken phase

#### A. Brofas, M. Zampetakis and F. K. Diakonos



FACULTY OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, GREECE

#### **43rd International Symposium on Physics in Collision** Demokritos, Athens, October 22-25



2 Measuring fractal dimensions in relativistic ion collisions

Intermittency analysis in RHIC

Theoretical interpretation of STAR intermittency results



## Phase diagram of QCD

#### A sketch for systems with finite size



Main goal: Detection/existence of the QCD Critical Point (CP)

#### from R. V. Gavai, Contemporary Physics 57, 350 (2016)

Scaling laws	$\Leftrightarrow$	Fractal geometry
Order parameter fluctuations (baryon-number density $n_b(\mathbf{r})$ )		Random fractal clusters formed by baryon excess
Density-density correlation $C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_0}) = \langle n_b(\mathbf{r}) n_b(\mathbf{r_0}) \rangle$	local	Correlation dimension $C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_0}) \propto  \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r_0} ^{-(d-d_F)}$
Finite-size scaling (FSS) $\langle N_b  angle = \langle \int_V n_b({f r})  angle$	global	Mass fractal dimension $\langle N_b  angle \propto V^{D_F/d}$
`		
critical exponents	$\Leftrightarrow$	fractal dimension(s) (homogeneity: $D_F = d_F$ )
		(日) (월) (로) (로) (로) ( () () () () () () () () () () () () () (

In the critical region (large correlation length) the local scaling:

$$\langle n_b(\mathbf{r}) n_b(\mathbf{r_0}) \rangle \propto |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r_0}|^{-(d-d_F)}$$
  
holds also for large  $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r_0}|$   
 $\Downarrow$ 

Scaling is transferred to **momentum space** for **small momentum differences** (Fourier transform):

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k}\to\mathbf{k}'}\langle n_b(\mathbf{k})n_b(\mathbf{k}')\rangle\propto |\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'|^{-d_F}$$

A fractal structure in momentum space with  $\hat{d}_F = d - d_F$ is **locally** formed!

At **midrapidity** region the momentum space fractal becomes a cartesian product (d = 3):

Transverse momentum & Longitudinal momentum

leading to the transverse momentum scaling law:

$$\lim_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\to\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'} \langle n_b(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) n_b(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}') \rangle \propto |\mathbf{k}_{\perp}-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}'|^{-\frac{2d_F}{3}}$$

2*d*-fractal in transverse momentum space with  $\hat{d}_{F,\perp} = 2 - \frac{2}{3}d_F$ 

 $\mathbb{I}$ 

∜

## Local, power-law distributed, fluctuations in transverse momentum space!

## Intermittency

Experimental observation of local, power-law distributed fluctuations  $\downarrow\downarrow$ Intermittency in transverse momentum space (net protons at mid-rapidity)

(Critical opalescence in ion collisions)

- Transverse momentum space is partitioned into *M*<sup>2</sup> cells
- Calculate second factorial moments
   *F*<sub>2</sub>(*M*) as a function of cell size ⇔
   number of cells M:

$$F_2(M) \equiv rac{\sum\limits_m \langle n_m(n_m-1)
angle}{\sum\limits_m \langle n_m
angle^2},$$

where  $\langle \ldots \rangle$  denotes averaging over events.



For local power-law fluctuations:

 $F_2(M) \propto (M^2)^{\phi_2}$  for  $M^2 \gg 1$ 

with  $\phi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \hat{d}_{F,\perp}) \rightarrow$  Intermittency index  $\Downarrow$ 

Critical fluctuations linked to the QCD critical point:

$$\phi_2 = \frac{d_F}{3} = \frac{5}{6}$$
; with  $d_F = \frac{5}{2}$  for 3d Ising

#### Critical Intermittency $\Rightarrow$ Measurement of $\phi_2$

## Measurement of $\phi_2$ in NA49 (SPS, CERN) data



Very small number of critical proton pairs in  $Si+Si! \Rightarrow$  need for very large statistics

NA49 result:

 $\phi_2^{(Si)} = 0.96^{+0.38}_{-0.25}$ 

T. Anticic et al, NA49 Collaboration, Eur. J. Phys. C 75, 587 (2015)

 $\phi_2$  measurement  $\Downarrow$ 

advanced techniques (see N. Davis talk)

https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.14185v1

## Factorial moment scaling with order

An **alternative** proposal for critical point search:

- Experimental observables exclusively related to **hadrons** ⇒ signal from the phase of broken symmetry
- Study higher order factorial moments in the symmetry broken phase (average number of multiplets of order *q* per cell and event):

$$F_q(M) \equiv rac{\displaystyle\sum_m \langle n_m(n_m-1)\dots(n_m-q+1) 
angle}{\displaystyle\sum_m \langle n_m 
angle^q},$$

• Higher order factorial moments vs. second order one:

$$F_q(M) = (F_2(M))^{eta_q}$$
 ;  $eta_q = (q-1)^{
u}$ 

 $\downarrow$   $\nu$  is the main observable

## Theoretical predictions based on Ginzburg-Landau free energy calculations in the symmetry broken phase:

#### For second order transitions $\Rightarrow \nu \approx 1.3$

R.C. Hwa and M.T. Nazirov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 741 (1992)

#### Generalized to a **universal** exponent $\nu \approx 1.33$ (for first **and** second order transitions)

A.K. Mohanty and S.K. Kataria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2672 (1994).

## Intermittency in RHIC-STAR

Physics Letters 8 845 (2023) 138165



Energy dependence of intermittency for charged hadrons in Au+Au collisions at RHIC

The STAR Collaboration

M.I. Abdullamid<sup>4</sup>, B.E. Abona<sup>18</sup>, J. Adam<sup>2</sup>, L. Adamczyk<sup>1</sup>, J.R. Adams<sup>20</sup>, I. Aggarwal<sup>19</sup>, M.M. Aggarwal<sup>19</sup>, Z. Ahamne<sup>40</sup>, D. M. Anderso<sup>16</sup>, E. C. Aschenauer, S. Aslam<sup>2</sup>, J. Acthino<sup>1</sup>, V. Bairath<sup>11</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Beicle<sup>1</sup>, M. Balerk<sup>11</sup>, J. Beicle<sup>1</sup>, B. Bardenburg<sup>10</sup>, X. Z. Cai<sup>18</sup>, H. Caine<sup>18</sup>, M. Calderón de Li Barca Sanchez, D. Cebra<sup>1</sup>, J. Cesta<sup>11</sup>, T. S. Beicklow<sup>21</sup>, D. Brandenburg<sup>10</sup>, X. Z. Cai<sup>18</sup>, H. Caine<sup>18</sup>, M. Calderón de Li Barca Sanchez, D. Cebra<sup>1</sup>, J. Cesta<sup>11</sup>, H. Chen<sup>1</sup>, J. Chen<sup>11</sup>, J. C

Search for the scaling law:

$$F_q(M) \sim (F_2(M))^{(q-1)^{1.3(3)}}$$

#### in Au+Au collisions at different energies

b 4 T

### STAR results

• For all charged particles it is calculated

$$\Delta F_q(M) = F_q^{(data)}(M) - F_q^{(mixed)}(M)$$

• For  $q \leq 6$  a scaling law with  $\nu < 1$  for all energies is found:

 $\Delta F_q(M) = (\Delta F_2(M))^{(q-1)^{\nu}}$ 



## Comments on STAR analysis (procedure)

• The transverse momentum fluctuations analysed in STAR not directly related to order parameter fluctuations:

All charged particles  $\Rightarrow$  dipions (( $\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^-$ )-pairs), protons

• 
$$\Delta F_q(M) = F_q^{(data)}(M) - F_q^{(mixed)}(M)$$
 does not remove all the background even for  $q = 2!$ 

see T. Anticic et al, NA49 Collaboration, Eur. J. Phys. C 75, 587 (2015)

 Maximum number of cells is limited to M<sub>max</sub> = 100 ⇒ In critical intermittency M<sub>max</sub> is limited only by experimental resolution! In the symmetry broken phase particle distributions have finite moments

 $\downarrow$ 

A theorem from probability theory:

 $F_q(M)$  cannot increase faster than  $L^{q \log(q)}$  with increasing q

#### Based on hypercontractivity and concentration of measure

M. Vladimirova, S. Girard, H. Nguyen and J. Arbel, Stat. 9, e318 (2020);

A. Brofas, M. Zampetakis and F.K. Diakonos, https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.19412

∜

The behaviour  $F_q \sim (F_2)^{(q-1)^{1.3}}$  can only be **transient** (for  $q \leq q_{max}$ )!

## Comments on STAR analysis (theory)

• In Ginzburg-Landau free energy approach an asymptotic expansion for  $q \rightarrow \infty$  leads to

$$F_q \sim (F_2)^q$$
 ;  $q 
ightarrow \infty$ 

in accordance with the previous theorem.

• A scaling law of the form:

$$F_q \sim (F_2)^{(q-1)^{1.3}}$$
 ;  $q \leq q_{max}$ 

holds for **infinitely many** distributions of **conventional** origin!

#### ₩

The scaling law  $F_q \sim (F_2)^{(q-1)^{1.3}}$  for  $q \leq q_{max}$  cannot be used as a signal for a critical point!

F.K. Diakonos (U.o.A.)

< 177 ▶

Sac

## Comments on STAR analysis (theory)

Example: Negative Binomial distribution with real r and any p!



$$R_{NB}(q,r) = \ln\left(\frac{\ln(F_{q,NB}(r))}{\ln(F_{2,NB}(r))}\right) \quad ; \quad x = \ln(q-1)$$

#### Similarly, for mixture of Poissons, discrete Weibull, etc.

see A. Brofas, M. Zampetakis and F.K. Diakonos, https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.19412

### Comments on STAR analysis ( $\bar{\nu} < 1$ )

STAR: differences of factorial moments!!

$$F_{q,A} = a(q)F_{2,A}^{\beta_q}$$
  $(A = d, m);$   $\Delta F_q = \bar{a}(q)\Delta F_2^{\bar{\beta}_q}$   
with  $\beta_q = (q-1)^{\nu}$  and  $\bar{\beta}_q = (q-1)^{\bar{\nu}}$ 

The amplitude ratio  $\frac{\bar{a}(q)}{a(q)}$  is important for  $\Delta F_q$  scaling!

For 
$$F_{2,d} \approx F_{2,m}$$
,  $\Delta F_2 \ll F_{2,A}$  and  $\frac{\tilde{a}(q)}{a(q)} > \beta_q F_{2,m}^{\beta_q - 1} \Rightarrow \bar{\nu} < 1$ 

Easily verified through toy-model simulations!

## Summary, conclusions and outlook

- The scaling relation relating higher moments with the second moment is **inadequate** for the search of the QCD critical point
- Published STAR intermittency results can be understood through correlations originating from **conventional** distributions.
- Critical Intermittency analysis, based on the **second factorial moment** is the most promising perspective for the detection of the **critical point**:

order parameter fluctuationsadvanced techniques $M \gg 1$  (exp. resol.)very large statistics!

## Thank you!

æ

一

▶ ∢ 🗐

DQC