

Electrical Safety Project Annual Review 15/11/2024

V. Barbet, B. Lefort, C, Mugnier, J.Ridewood, R. Tegas, J, Panigoni, D. Ribiollet.





WP4: Intervention Management

	WP4 Contributors	Dept. Group
	B. Lefort, J. Ridewood, V. Barbet	BE
	J. Panigoni, N. Mornand, J. Etheridge	EN-ACE
	O. Crespo, S. Deleval	EN-CV
Michael Conway	 D. Ribiollet	EN-EL
	J. Borburgh, V. Montabonnet, R. Tegas	SY
	M. Pezzetti, T. Barbe	TE-CRG
	D. Bozzini (G. d'Angelo)	TE-MPE
	t.b.d.	TE-MSC
	G. Pigny, R. Ferreira	TE-VSC
	J. Fernandez	On behalf of ATS DSOs
	O. Beltramello, J. Devine, L. Di Giulio,	EP-DI
	G. Velazquez, L. Roy	LEXGLIMOS
	C. Delamare, J. Gascon	HSE
	C. Mugnier, A. L. Perrot,	ESP Project Office



Planning Vs Reality



n. 2024	Apr. 2024	4	Sep. 202	4	
roups Survey (risks, se-cases, ocedures) GROUPS → WP4.1)	Testing classification on real equipment / data		Electrical Safety Form Requirements (for both equipment and location). (WP4 → WP6)		
Risk Classifi (WP2 ←-→ V	• cation VP4)	HSE Validati (WP2 → WP	on 4)	Manpower transferred 4.1 → 4.2 for process definition (TBC)	
		Mid Apr	2024	End of 2024	























rozzoslido, **WP4.1: Electrical Risks** Apr. 2024 Sep. 2024 Jan. 2024 Groups Jarvey risks, Testing classification on **Electrical Safety Form** real equipment / data Requirements (for both use-calles, equipment and location). proced II $(GROUS \rightarrow$ Y P4.1) $(WP4 \rightarrow WP6)$ No longer needed Manpower transferred Risk Classification **HSE** Validation $4.1 \rightarrow 4.2$ for process (WP2 - VP4 $(WP2 \rightarrow WP4)$ definition (TBC) Mid Apr. 2024 End of 2024 Mar. 2024

Equipment & Location DB creation

Testing the *processes* before LS3 (Nov. 2024) → manpower needed beginning of 2024!







Presentation: https://indico.cern.ch/event/1422878/ ¹²



















- Eng. Check: Review with the latest Contributors comments
- Agreement (in principle) of the EDMS document by the Group Leaders
- Use the "Identification and classification of electrical risks" during the Pilot.
- End of WP4.1
- Manpower transferred to WP4.2 (Please Valerie !)





























































Next Step ?

Present to contributors the concept of "preassessed" activities to allow "fast access" like piquet.

Finalize the Processes / YACA

Use the defined processes during the Pilot and make sure it applies to both "**planed intervention**" and "**piquet intervention**".

Update (if needed) the processes and release the "Guideline for Electrical Risk Management during Interventions".

Collaborate closely with **WP6 / IMPACT-NG** to have the ESP portal and IMPACT-NG flow matching the defined processes functional requirements.





	Apr. 202 4		June 202 4	4 (End of 2024 Write functional specifications to be able to centrally manage site's lock-out	
Define roles, scope of responsibilities. Collect lockout procedures.	Gather Locko & Equipment (GROUP→W	Gather Lockout Data & Equipment tree data (GROUP→WP4)		requirement P6 → WP4)		
Draft of the Lockout data requirement.		•				
Decide on a Lockout app Definition of tree	homogeneous broach an Equipment	Define centrally manage site's lock-out logic. Contribute with W4.2 to		Lockout Proc	edure DB	





























Lockout Procedure DB



























Next Step ?

Get the Contributors approval for the Lockout Forms

Use the Lockout scheme during Pilot and update the forms (if needed)

Get HSE to *legally* check the forms

Write the functional requirements for a centralised lockout portal.





Pilot during YETS 24/25

- 3 areas, 7 scenarios (multiple-risks, multiple-responsabilities, multiple-groups)
- Involving ordinary to skilled persons.
- How we see it ?
 - Like a Role-playing game (someone asks for an Impact, get a risk assessment, needs a *mise en sécurité, and declares end-of-work*)
- What do we need from the contributors (and from ESP members)?
 - **Honesty** : "Players take responsibility for acting out these roles within a narrative, either through literal acting or through a process of structured decision-making regarding character development." (source Wikipedia)
 - **Neutrality**: *Player* must represent the CERN reality.
 - To help us to make sure that the processes fits the Contributors needs.



2025 Roadmap





CONCLUSIONS

- WP4 contribution to others WPs has been very significant for the definitions of the roles and the basic processes.
- WP4.2 is slightly behind schedule compared to last year's planning, but it should have no impact as we should be ready for the YETS Pilot
- Main concern are:
 - unplanned activities, processes shall allow quick access to facilities whilst applying the same safety criteria
 - Large construction site (i.e: FCC, cryo powering tests during magnet installation...)
 - Keeping Electrical safety information always up to date without blocking operation.
- No manpower needed for WP4 but pressure on WP6 is going to be high.



Thanks for your attention.



WP4 – Scope and Mandate

MANDATE:

 Deploy integrated start-to-end methodology / protocols to mitigate risks from electrical hazards during interventions on machines and facilities during all operational phases (i.e., operation, TS, YETS, LS).

SCOPE:

- Accelerator equipment:
 - Accelerators complex: injectors, LHC and transfer lines.
 - Experimental areas: EA, NA, ISOLDE/HIE- ISOLDE, CLEAR, NTOF, AD, HiRadMat.
 - ATS projects: HL-LHC, AWAKE, NA-CONS.
 - Machine buildings linked to the accelerators complex.



Problematic <Equipment> Need a <Training> Need a <Lockout-procedure> Provide <intervention-procedure> Location equipment risk? ? Person with <**Training**> risk? doing an <Intervention_Type> risk? on <Equipment> 7 7



WP4 – Deliverables

D4.1.: Definition and then deployment of processes to allow groups to identify, evaluate and classify the electrical risks and the corresponding safety procedures / measures to mitigate the electrical risk;

D4.2.: Definition and allocation of the roles with respect to electrical safety within the intervention processes; workflow and procedure to deliver work permits should be clearly defined.

D4.3.: Definition of lock-out procedures (sources and worksite) and validation of the existing ones.

D4.4.: Definition of the required conditions to allow access / work in an area where an electrical risk is identified.

D4.5.: Definition of access management process in working area where an electrical risk is identified.

D4.6.: Set-up of the Electrical Safety Expert (ESET) to support the implementation of the electrical safety by the ATS groups in the long term.

D4.7.: Support to processes implementation / update



WP4 – Pilot Scenarios

- Scenario 1: Aligning the T10.MQNEL012 magnet.
- Scenario 2: Alignment of the T10 beam line.
- Scenario 3: Replacement of seals on the cooling feeder. (East Area)
- Scenario 4: Cleaning of room 157/R-F09.
- Scenario 5: Night intervention: A converter fault.
- Scenario 6: QLC1H-S-M01 Compressor temporary removal for maintenance by TE/CRG at SH18
- Scenario 7: Troubleshooting intervention by TE/CRG (checking the connections on a power supply valve), on a DFB at LHC point 1 - on call from the control room, during the physics period.



WP4 – YACA





WP4 – YACA



