Towards the optimization of a Muon Collider Calorimeter

Federico Nardi, Tommaso Dorigo, Julien Donini, Jan Kieseler











What **Pipeline scheme**

- signal-to-background discrimination and instrumentation cost



End objective: design optimization study approached with AD techniques

Development of a pipeline to propose an optimal configuration in terms of

- Based on 3 main lacksquarecore methods
- Provide information \bullet encoded in a utility function
- Minimized using AD libraries (PyTorch, Tensorflow)

What CRILIN: reference design

- Reference design chosen for our studies is CRILIN for the Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECal)
- Array of 1x1x4.5cm³ PbF₂ voxels, arranged in a dodecahedron
- 5 layers per wedge
- Modular design, easy to modify and rearrange



Modules BIB Generation

- Starting from a 1.5GeV simulation, BIB deposition in 5 ECal layers
- Cylindrical symmetry allows us to focus on a single layer
- Assuming uniformity in xdirection
- Initially polynomial fits layer-bylayer. Not ideal if we want to vary geometry



Modules BIB Generation

- Set up a simple neural network (5 dense hidden layers) to generalize and allow for interpolation
- Trained to predict an energy value for each cell z-centroid





Modules BIB Generation

- Decent interpolation between simulated layers
- Visualization in question has been trained on all layers but the central one
- Energy density per cell left as normalization factor



Modules Shower Generation

- For our signal chose to focus on monochromatic photons, 8 points in energy: [0.5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150]GeV
- 1k events for each point generated with Geant4 in a block of PbF2
- Define 'average event' bootstrap average of 100 simulated showers
 - 500 average events per energy points
 - Area [-25,25]x[0,500]mm2





- 6



| | ŀ | 5 | | |
|--|---|----|--------|-------|
| | | 4 | [MeV]) | |
| | | 3 | Enerav | 6 |
| | - | 2 | loa(| |
| | | 1 | | |
| | L | 0 | | |
| | ļ | 6 | | |
| | | 5 | | |
| | ļ | 4 | [MeV] | |
| | | 3 | nerav | 6 |
| | | 2 | loa(E | 5 |
| | | 1 | | |
| | | 0 | | |
| | | 4. | .0 | |
| | | 3. | 5 | |
| | | 3. | 0 | 5 |
| | | 2. | 5 | (Mp) |
| | | 2. | 0 | neruv |
| | | 1. | 5 | lool |
| | | 1. | 0 | |
| | | 0. | 5 | |
| | L | 0. | 0 | |

Modules Shower Generation

- Exploring different paths:
 - Fitting (x,y) component for each shower axis (z-) bin
 - In parallel testing a WGAN to produce new shower images



Modules Shower Generation

- 2D distribution generalized to 3D shape
- Evaluated on a grid with custom dimensions (n_x, n_y, n_z)
- BIB needs to be overlayed on the same grid

75.0 GeV





Photon Reconstruction Where we left https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.01681

- Employed DeepJetCore for object reconstruction:
 - Essentially a Graph Neural Network performing clustering
 - Signal photon vs BIB discrimination
 - Trained on 10k photons uniformly distributed in [10, 175]GeV
 - Tested on 8 fixed energy points
 - Reconstruct photon energy given cell coordinates (x,y,z) and total deposit





Photon Reconstruction Adding time variables

- Result obtained with overlay of full BIB and shower dataset, without time information
- Introduce time into the game
 - First by implementing the time window [-250,250]ps in the overlay
- Train 2 models
 - With cut and (t,x,y,z) inputs
 - With cut and only (x,y,z) inputs



energy deposition vs time

Photon Reconstruction Introducing time

- Significant performance improvement
- Time variable seems to introduce noise
 - However might be due to some bug in the overlay of time variable

Towards full pipeline Toy steps

- Idea: represent Crilin detector as a 3D grid of voxels, and optimizing the spacing $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z)$ between them.
- Started to work on a toy model:
 - **Defining the geometry**: simple 3 with custom # voxels
 - Evaluating a function on the grid: 3D gaussian with $\sigma_x \neq \sigma_y \neq \sigma_z + random$ noise

| D | grid | |
|---|------|--|
| D | grid | |

| S | igma_ | _X | = | 100. |
|----|-------|----|---|------|
| SI | igma_ | _у | = | 120. |
| SI | igma_ | _Z | = | 100. |

Muon Collider Towards setting up a pipeline

- Reconstruction: Use maximumlikelihood estimators to infer the gaussian parameters $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}$
- Evaluating loss: MSE for gaussian parameters + regularizer to prevent spacing to collapse towards degeneracy

$$\sum_{i=x,y,z} (\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i)^2 + (\hat{\sigma}_i - \sigma_i)^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta x_i^2}$$

Minimization of loss and identification of ideal parameters

Final spacing: [0.47563136 0.5433373 0.44885612]

Summary and further steps

- Simplifying the Object Reconstruction to regress a signal fraction per voxel Less computationally demanding, enough for our simplified problem with
 - single photons
- Generate a signal+BIB dataset with different voxel sizes to train the reconstruction including those parameters (parametric ML)
- Connect all modules and run the full pipeline

Thank you!