

Particle Physics in Ultra-High Magnetic Fields

Or High-Field/High-Flux Magnets for Axion/ALPs Searches @ the Ultra-Low Energy Frontier

P. Pugnat, LNCMI-Grenoble/CNRS, EMFL







Grenoble Axion Haloscopes LNCMI



Grenoble Alpes

Outline

- Introduction
 - High magnetic fields & Laboratories worldwide
- Scientific case
 - Why exploring the Ultra-Low Energy Frontier of Particle Physics ?
- Experiment vs. Magnet types
- From OSQAR toward JURA (Joint Undertaking Research of Axions/ALPs)
 - Reminder about OSQAR & LHC dipoles operating at 9 T
 - Magnet requirements for JURA
- From GrAHal toward Super-GrAHal
 - BabyGrAHal and GrAHal
 - The 43+T Grenoble Hybrid Magnet in commissioning phase
 - Conceptual design optimization of a 60 T Hybrid Magnet



High Field Facilities - International Context



High Magnetic Fields for Science Today



Adapted from K. Matsui, et al. *Review of Scientific Instruments* 92(2):024711 (2021) https://doi.org:10,1063:5,0032895

Remarks for DC field produced by water cooled resistive magnets "No Field Limit Theorem"* But... $\phi_{out}/\phi_{in} = \exp(B/B_s)^2$ with $B_s = (2\mu_0 \lambda \sigma_{Hoop, max})^{1/2} \&$ considering a constant Hoop stress current distribution Typically, for 41.4 T, ϕ_{out} = 1 m & *From G. Aubert, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/1991/T35/036

Hybrid Magnets Worldwide Producing the highest DC-field











Hybrid Magnet





Specially developed **RCOCC** conductor 13 x 18 mm²

Key point Modularity High field/high flux

N I W W		T Jack VV
	St	TIMAT.
		and set by
	nik au	
	4	
		, 1
	10	
	TANK BUAT	
L' Lesser		

Cooling by forced flow supercritical He \sim 11q/s @ 5 bars

	Outsert Magnet	Hybrid Magnet Cross Section	Electrical and
	Ciyostat		Helium Supply for
Su O	perconducting utsert Magnet		Magnet
Resistive	Bore		
moert mugh			1
Electrical — Supply for	7		
Magnet			
			RZ
No. of Concession, name			
1			5 77
Cooling Water Pipes			

Grenoble, France	Nijmegen, Netherlands	Hefei, China	Tallahassee, FL	
8.5 (9) + 34.5 (36+) = 43 (45+) T	12 + 33 = 45 T	11 + 34 = 45 T	11.5 + 33.5 = 45 T	
34 mm, 24 (30) MW	32 mm, 24 MW	32 mm, 32 MW	32 mm, 32 MW	
RCOCC Nb-Ti, 1.8 K	CICC Nb3-Sn, 4.2 K	CICC Nb3-Sn, 4.2 K	CICC Nb3-Sn, 4.2 K	
7.1 kA, 1100/1826 mm dia.	20 kA, 720/1286 mm dia.	13.4 kA, 680/1650 mm dia.	10 kA	
2025	In construction	45.22 T Aug. 12, 2022	45.17 T June 26, 2000	



Scientific case

– Why exploring the Ultra-Low Energy Frontier of Particle Physics ?

Physics Beyond Standart Model Today

It sounds like the Marocco's Desert with only ultra-small ripples illustrating neutrino masses...

Is most of the reachable BSM Physics at the ultra-low energy frontier?



Two outstanding problems of Particle Physics & Cosmology can be solved by the discovery of a single particle: The Axion

• Strong CP problem

Standart Model of Particle Physics contains 19 free parameters, in which θ is problematic

$$L_{\mathcal{CP}} = (\theta_{gluons} + \theta_{quarks}) \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$$

From precise measurements of the neutron EDM,

 $\theta_{gluons} + \theta_{quarks} < 10^{-10}$

Nearly perfect compensation originating from 2 independant physics !! ... Why ?

Why the CP symetry is so badly broken by QCD ?

By adding a new global quasi-symmetry $U(1)_{PQ}$, which can be spontaneously broken, the axion appears as a natural Golston boson & the signature of the solution to the strong CP problem • Standart Model of Cosmology \supset Dark Matter







CMBBullet clusterGalaxy rotation...More than 10 experimental facts in favour of CDM



Why High Magnetic Fields & Flux for Axion/ALPs search?

To observe the inverse Primakoff Effect



Note: This "non-trivial" interaction is related to the chiral anomaly, i.e. a purely quantum phenomenon first studied in particle physics in 1969 (Adler, Bell and Jackiw) explaining the neutral pion decay in 2 photons ($\pi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$) anticipated and observed by Primakoff in 1951. The puzzle was the anomalous nonconservation of a chiral current.

Main caracteristics of the QCD & CDM Axion

CDM Axion mass & density:

$$m_a = (1 - 1000) \mu eV \rightarrow \rho_{DM} \approx (10^{11} - 10^{14}) axions / cm^3$$

Coupling to 2-photons via inverse Primakov Effect:

 $G_{a\gamma\gamma}$ inversely proportional to m_a for QCD axion not for ALPs



Various approaches for Axion/ALPs searches

Magnet Type

Purely Laboratory Experiments

- LSW *i.e.* Light Shining through Wall (BFRT, ALPs, OSQAR, Dipole/Racetrack ALPs-II, JURA)

 Quantum vacuum polarization measurements (BFRT, PVLAS, BMV, VMB@CERN)

Dipole/Racetrack

- Reactoscopes *i.e.* with nuclear reactor

Dipole or Solenoid

"Model dependent" Experiments/Observatories

- Helioscopes (CAST, BabyIAXO, IAXO)	Dipole/Racetrack	
- Sikivie's Haloscopes (ADMX, CAPP-MAX, GrAHal,)	Solenoid	
- Dielectric and other Haloscopes (MADMAX, ALPHA)	Dipole or Solenoid	



From OSQAR toward JURA (Joint Undertaking Research of Axions/ALPs)

- Reminder about OSQAR & LHC dipoles operating at 9 T
- Magnet requirements for JURA

OSQAR – LSW (Light Shinning through Wall)

ALPs search from laser based experiment

 Present reference results* for laser experiment based on the use of 2 LHC dipoles powered up to 9 T in CERN-SM18 hall



*OSQAR, Phys. Rev. D 92, 092002 (2015)



- OSQAR will be soon overpassed by ALPS II at DESY with 12 + 12 Hera dipoles providing B L \approx 12 x 9 x 5 = **540 T m** and more powerful optics
- Future within JURA (Joint Undertaking Research of Axion)
 - OSQAR+ within the framework of Baby-JURA (under consideration with more than 2 LHC dipoles)
 - JURA could be based on the use of up to 15 + 15 spare LHC dipoles providing B L $\approx 15 \times 14.3 \times 9 = 1930 \text{ T m}$



https://arxiv.org/pdf/1902.00260.pdf

Can we built better dipoles than LHC ones ? Yes we can,... but the key question for FCC-hh is how many T.m more for a serie production ?

The present target for FCC-hh is 16 T Nb₃Sn dipole either block or $cos\theta$ structure with 14.3 m magnetic length, which is already very challenging...

If one assumes 15 + 15 FCC dipoles of 16 T, the MFOM becomes 15 x 14.3 x 16 = **3432 T m**, a gain in sensitivity less than 2 with respect to LHC dipoles... Wouldn't it better to try to push LHC dipoles up to 9.5 T giving MFOM(15) = 2038 T.m or/and use more LHC dipoles ? This is for me the MORE REALISTIC WAY...



2-in-1 LHC dipole providing 9 T over 14.3 m

Let me ask an embarassing question: Why so many training quenches of LHC dipoles in the tunnel and why the nominal field of 8.3 T not yet reach ?



SQAR – CHASE (CHAmeleon Search Experiment)

Chameleon afterglow search from laser based experiment Contact: pierre.pugnat@lncmi.cnrs.fr

10¹⁶

- Chameleon is an hypothetical scalar particle with mass dependent of the surrounding density, which could explain Dark Energy
- Experiment in 2 steps



10¹²

-10¹⁶

 10^{8}

Colliders Constraints

IMINARY

10⁴



Grenoble Axion Haloscopes

From GrAHal toward Super-GrAHal

- BabyGrAHal & GrAHal
- The 43+T Grenoble Hybrid Magnet in commissioning phase
- Conceptual design optimization of a 60 T Hybrid Magnet

Few Words from P. Sikivie (Haloscopes proposed in 1983, Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, 015004)



Visit of Olympie during 2nd Patras Workshop in 2006 at Patras

Axion electrodynamics

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\mathbf{E} = g_{a\gamma\gamma}\mathbf{B}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}a$$

$$\mathbf{
abla} imes \mathbf{B} - \partial_t \mathbf{E} = g_{a\gamma\gamma} \left(\mathbf{E} imes \mathbf{
abla} a - \mathbf{B} \partial_t a
ight)$$

 $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{B} = 0$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$



"Most importantly, the cavity experiment uses a variety of technologies microwave engineering, ultra-low noise receivers in a high magnetic field environment, cryogenics - which are not typically used by high energy physicists and which had to be specially developed.

... Feynman's advice to young scientists aspiring to great discoveries. He said: "You have to develop your own tools". "

https://ep-news.web.cern.ch/content/qa-pierre-sikivie



Grenoble Axion Haloscopes

Key expertise at CNRS-Grenoble for High magnetic fields, Extreme Low Temperatures & Quantum Detectors



European Microkelvin Platform 20 leading ultralow temperature physics & technology Institutes in Europe including 7 submilliK facilities http://emplatform.eu/about/facilities 10 µK nuclear stage temperature 6 μK nuclear stage temperature

100 µK nuclear

demagnetization stage





Dresden/LNCMI-Toulouse, pulsed up to 95/91 T, 1-10 ms

European Magnetic Field Laboratory



Grenoble Axion Haloscopes



► The key element : The <u>modular</u> Grenoble Hybrid Magnet combining sc and resistive technologies (ongoing commissioning up to 43 T)



Field	Warm dia.	Power	RF-cavity dia.	f _{тм010}	Axion mass	B ² V (T ² m ³)
43 T	34 mm	25.4 MW	20 mm	11.5 GHz	47.2 μeV	0.5
40 T	50 mm	25.4 MW	34 mm	6.76 GHz	27.8 μeV	0.6
27 T	170 mm	19 MW	86 mm	2.67 GHz	11 µeV	3.5
17.5 T	375 mm	12.9 MW	291 mm	0.79 GHz	3.2 μeV	6.6
9 T	800 mm	0.4 MW	675 mm	0.34 GHz	1.4 μeV	40



► Operation end of 2024-25 with HTS RF cavity in collaboration with CAPP/IBS-KAIST

Baby-GrAHal 1: Experimental Runs Ended







PRELIMINARY

The RF-cavity resonant frequency was tuned & scanned by varying the GHe pressure around the cavity :

- For the range 1-1200 mbar, excursion Δf = 20 MHz, i.e. \sim 0.1 μeV
- Sensitivity in the range of 20-25 x KSVZ @ 4.4 K

1000

Detailed data analysis close to completion (to be published)



T. Grenet et *al.* https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14406

Hz

GrAHal-CAPP ► Focus on 1-3 µeV axion mass (200-600 MHz)





Grenoble Axion Haloscopes

Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research



GrAHal-CAPP : Phase 1 @ 4K

- 50 K cryo-stage operational
 @ t₀+18 months
- 4 K cryo-stage operational
 @ t₀+24 months

 $\rightarrow 1^{st} run$

<u>GrAHal-CAPP : Phase 2 @ 50 mK</u> - Operational @ t_0 + 42 months

 $\rightarrow 2^{nd}$ run reaching DFSZ, in 2-year integration time

► Focus first on 1-3 μeV axion mass (200-600 MHz)



https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2024.1358810

22

22

The 43+T Grenoble Hybrid Magnet in commissioning phase

Technological Choices

The cryogenic system principle: Pressurized superfluid He bath at 1200 hPa, 1.8 K



Industrial Production Line Developed, Built, Installed & Operated at LNCMI



Innovative developments have been achieved based on induction heating for soft-soldering of 12 km of RCOCC with strict control of R_a & AC losses

P. Pugnat, R. Pfister *et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* **26**, 4302405 (2016) https://indico.cern.ch/event/659554/contributions/2714073/





Crimping, soft-soldering, calibration & winding in single pancakes (260 m & 4 m hight) for delivery to the magnet manufacturer <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cp5NIR2cN5s</u>

Some Assembly Steps



coil inside the He vessel





Welding of the He vessel



Assembly of the thermal shields



Installation of the last MLI sheet



Installation of the OVC

IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1-7, Sept. 2022, Art no. 4300607, doi: 10.1109/TASC.2022.3151838

Integration of the Grenoble Hybrid Magnet with its Cryogenic Plant



SC Magnet Seismicity* during Powering up to the Nominal Field of 8.5 T

IEEE TASC 34, 1 (2023)

*Pioneering work in 2001: DOI 10.1109/77.920111



No training quenches but Kaiser effect observed

Most of the spikes are located at the interface between flanges and first & last DP

Sc magnet fully operational at 8.5 T IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON APPLIED SUPERCONDUCTIVITY, VOL. 30, NO. 4, JUNE 2020

Conceptual Design Optimization of a 60 T Hybrid Magnet

Pierre Pugnat^D and Hans J. Schneider-Muntau^D

doi: 10.1109/TASC.2020.2972498

See also the more detailed presentation of H. J. Schneider-Muntau at MT26 https://indico.cern.ch/event/763185/contributions/3416454/

Results of our Optimization Study 1)

Conductors:

Results based on precisely defined conductors with achievable realistic values Have to be developed, characterized, confirmed Coils:

Each coil contributes 20 T seems to be close to optimum LTS maximum: 20 T in 1.1 m Insert limited by materials

No show-stoppers !

1) P. Pugnat and H. J. Schneider-Muntau, Conceptual Design Optimization of a 60 T Hybrid Magnet, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 30, no. 4, June 2020 Art. ID. 4300507.



Superconducting Conductors

LTS conductor 2) for the Outsert

LTS:

- J_{e strand}: > 200 A/mm² (20 T)
- J_e: 80 A/mm² (20 T)
- S_m: 1050 MPa





2) M. Field et al., Bruker OST, Nb3Sn strand designs and heat treatments for high field magnet applications, presentation at MT-25, 2017

3) D.C. van der Laan et al, A CORC[®] cable insert solenoid: the first hightemperature superconducting insert magnet tested at currents exceeding 4 kA in 14 T background magnetic field, Superconductor Science and Technology, Volume 33, Number 5

HTS conductor 1 3) for the Midsert

HTS:

- J_e: 90 A/mm² (40 T) 109 A/mm² (30 T) 148 A/mm² (20 T)
- S_m: 930 MPa





The Nightmare for the Midsert Quench Protection

Optimized resistive insert with Cu-7Ag-0.12Zr discs



Fig. 5. Sketch (vertical cut) of a layout of a high-efficiency hybrid insert, consisting of two groups of a few parallel Florida-Bitter coils (three and two, in red) connected in series via (e). The two groups are separately hydraulically clamped (blue elements). The clamping force is transmitted via the central Zylon cylinder (brown) between top (a), (c) and bottom flanges (e). It serves also as insulation.

From doi: 10.1109/TASC.2020.2972498

Principle for the Midsert Protection

Quench detection will trigger a trip of the resistive insert to spread the quench via inductive coupling M_{im} driving AC losses



Next Steps toward a 60 T Hybrid Magnet

Proposed technical program

- a) Parameter study of optimized conductor lay-out by choice of materials, geometry, cooling, protection, manufacturability...
- b) Define conductor HTSFinalize conductor LTSResistive conductor ?Goal: 40 T in 400 mm bore20 T in 1 m boreYS = 1060 MPa, 60 % IACS
- c) Make test coils
- d) Develop industrial manufacturing technology for conductors
- e)

...

Rough first cost estimate

Studies, prototyping, tests 5 MEuros during 5 – 10 years

Construction

50 MEuros during 10 – 15 years

Conclusion / Summary

- There is an exciting opportunity to discover a new BSM physics at the low energy frontier, *i.e.* Sub-eV, thanks to very high field & high flux magnets.
- Despite significant progresses in HTS technologies, uttermost high field/flux magnets still requiere resistive insert(s) only limited by the available electrical power.
- Today & considering user magnets only, the highest DC fields produced vs. technologies are :
 - 45.2 T/32 mm with hybrid magnet combining LTS and resistive magnets; 2 pre-conceptual studies have been proposed to reach 60 T with LTS, HTS and resistive magnets ¹; The development of 60 T hybrid is included in the new US road map ²;
 - 41.4 T/32 mm with resitive magnets alone (Florida Bitter+) and 33 MW; presently under discussions at NHMFL if this energy-intensive way will be maintained...
 - 28.5 T/54 mm fully superconducting (LTS + HTS) from Bruker (1.2 GHz NMR magnet).

 ¹⁾ M D Bird, I Dixon and J Toth, IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity 25 1–6 (2014); P Pugnat and H J Schneider-Muntau IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity 30 1–7 (2020)
 ²⁾ <u>https://www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/the-current-status-and-future-direction-of-high-magnetic-field-science-in-the-united-states-phase-ii</u>

More Information / Outline



Grenoble Axion Haloscopes



Few references

- "High magnetic fields for fundamental physics": <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1803.07547.pdf</u>
- OSQAR: <u>https://ep-news.web.cern.ch/content/osqar-experiment-sheds-light-hidden-sector-cerns-</u> scientific-heritage, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.08082</u>
- GrAHal: <u>https://bib-pubdb1.desy.de/record/395493</u>; <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14406</u>; <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2024.1358810/full</u>
- VMB@CERN: https://cds.cern.ch/record/2649744

EU COST Action : COSMIC WISPers in the Dark Universe: Theory, astrophysics and experiments

- <u>https://www.cost.eu/actions/CA21106/</u> (MoU, Organization, Objectives)
- You can apply to working groups of the network from <u>https://www.cost.eu/actions/CA21106/#tabs+Name:Working%20Groups%20and%20Membership</u>
- Kick-off Meeting at Rome 23-24 February 2023 https://agenda.infn.it/e/CosmicWispersKickOff



High Field Magnet Proposal submission open twice a year: <u>https://emfl.eu/apply-for-magnet-time/</u>