What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	

Lepton jets in hadron colliders

Adam Falkowski

LPT Orsay

CERN, le 1 Septembre 2011

・ロト・四ト・日本・日本・日本・日本

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End

Who are lepton jets?



- \downarrow LJ is a cluster of collimated light charged particles: e^{\pm} , μ^{\pm} etc.
- ⁴ LJs arise in models with a hidden sector composed of unstable particles with the masses in the MeV to GeV range decaying to SM particles. For light hidden sector particles only the lightest SM states (neutrinos, electrons, maybe muons, pions, kaons) are available
- 4 At high energy colliders (LEP, Tevatron and LHC) light hidden particles are produced with large boosts, causing their visible decay products to form jet-like structures.
- Motivation for those models was recently provided by certain astrophysical anomalies (PAMELA, Fermi). But the existence of light hidden sectors is a more general possibility that can be tested in colliders (the hidden valley, Strassler,Zurke [hep-ph/0604261],Han et al [0712.2041])

What/Why

LJ

 $H \rightarrow LJ$

LJ searches

End

Dark matter via the hypercharge portal

• One way to explain PAMELA is to introduce "dark photon" z_{μ} that mixes with hypercharge, Arkani-Hamed et al [0810.0713], Holdom [1985]



- Dark photon decays into a pair of charged SM states
- Roughly the same coupling to electrons, muons, pions (except at threshold or vector-meson resonance) so roughly democratic decay





- Limits from e+e- colliders, $(g 2)_{\mu,e}$, beam dump, and supernovae, see Bjorken et al [0906.0580] for review
- $m_A \gtrsim 100$ MeV allowed if mixing small enough, typically $\epsilon < 10^{-3}$
- More parameter space currently probed by APEX in JLAB, Abrahamyan et al [1108.2750], and by A1 in MaMi Merkel et al [1101.4091]

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

ъ



What/Why	LJ	LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End

- The rest of this talk: how to search for hidden photons at colliders
- If light dark sector particles are produced in colliders and decay promptly (or at least within detector) to SM states, then spectacular though not sufficiently studied signatures are predicted
- OK, but is it possible th particles in colliders, given that the coupling to the hidden sector is necessarily so small?
- Simplest possibility: from decay of weak scale particles that have a charge (strong, electroweak, ..) under the SM
- The charged particles that can decay to the hidden sector include SUSY particles and the Higgs

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

What/Why

LJ

 $I \rightarrow LJ$

LJ searche

End

How to produce hidden sector particles in colliders

One possibility: from the MSSM to the hidden sector via the bino portal Baumgart et al [0901.0283]

 $-i\epsilon\tilde{\pmb{b}}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\pmb{B}}-i\epsilon\tilde{\pmb{B}}^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{\pmb{b}}$

• Induces dark bino shift $\tilde{b} \to \tilde{b} + \epsilon \tilde{B}$, that leads visible bino mili-coupling to hidden sector

$$\sqrt{2}g_d(\tilde{b}+\epsilon\tilde{B})\left(h_u^{\dagger}\tilde{h}_u-h_d^{\dagger}\tilde{h}_d\right)$$

- Effects of bino mass mixing resulting from the shift are down by another m_{γ_d}/m_Z and can be neglected
- Lightest SM superpartner no longer stable but decays into hidden sector!



Every susy particle produced could lead to one more lepton jets and the second second

What/Why		$H\toLJ$	LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Higgs to lepto	on jets				

4 AA,Ruderman,Volansky,Zupan [1002.2952] proposal: Higgs decays into lepton jets and missing energy, in the MSSM + light hidden sector



Higgs portal is another possible efficient source of LJs at the Tevatron and the LHC $\,$

ヘロン 人間 とくほど 人ほど 一日



Spectacular and relatively easy signatures, but new methods/IDs needed to discover LJs at colliders. Some handles (model dependent):

- Soft lepton multiplicity
- Jet shapes (lepton jets more narrow than QCD jets)
- Invariant mass peaks for close lepton pairs
- Missing energy from escaping hidden sector particles
- Large ECAL/HCAL for electron jets



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Existing sear	ches			

• LJ + MET search in D0, D0 [1008.3356], see also D0 [0905.1478]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Targets narrowness, MET, and resonances
- CDF search for V+ H to LJs, S.Wilbur talk at Boost'11
 - Targets soft lepton multiplicity
- CMS search for LJs, CMS [1106.2375]
 - Targets dimuon resonances inside LJs
- ATLAS search for LJs, ATLAS-CONF-2011-076
 - Targets narrowness of LJs

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
D0 Lepton Je	t Search			

- LJ + MET search at D0 with 5.8 fb-1, D0 [1008.3356] ,
 - Seed track of p_T > 10 GeV matching to EM cluster or to hits in outer muon system
 - At least one companion track of pT > 4 GeV within $\Delta R \leq$ 0.2 of the seed
 - Most events pass single- or di-lepton trigger
 - Isolation in the $0.2 < \Delta R < 0.4$ annulus around the seed
 - Require two such LJ candidates separated by $\Delta R > 0.8$
 - For $H \rightarrow LJs$. we estimate D0 puts a constraint on the Higgs mass up to \lesssim 150 GeV in a subclass of models producing narrow LJs with a small multiplicity of leptons





What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
CDF Lepton	Jet Search			

W/Z + Higgs to LJs search at CDF with 5.1 fb-1

- Trigger on W/Z associated with the Higgs
- Identifies leptons with pT down to 1 GeV for electrons, 3 GeV for muons
- Good sensitivity to muons, worse to electrons due to photon conversion background

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

• For a benchmark with $m_{\gamma_D} = 0.3$ MeV the constraint $\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow VH)$ Br $(H \rightarrow LJ) < 0.06\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow VH)_{SM}$



What/Why LJ $H \rightarrow LJ$ LJ searches LJ using EMF End

CMS Lepton Jet Search

CMS LJ search with 35 pb-1

- Triggers on a muon with $p_T > 15~GeV$ and looks for additional muons with $p_T > 5~GeV$
- Clusters oppositely charged muons with $m_{\mu+\mu-}$ < 9 GeV into jets
- Looks for coincident $m_{\mu+\mu-}$ in one event



What/Why			LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
ATLAS Le	epton Jet S	earch			

ATLAS LJ search with 35 pb-1

- Triggers on dimuons with $p_T > 6 \text{ GeV}$
- Iteratively clusters muons within ΔR < 0.1
- Demands at least 4 muons in at least 2 isolated LJs

	$\geq 2 \text{ muon}$	\geq 4 muons	\geq 4 muons w/ \geq 3 HQ	2 LJets	2 Isolated LJets
data	174450	246	84	3	0
all bkg	200000 ± 15000	200 ± 50	81 ± 20	1.74 ± 0.48	0.20 ± 0.19
QCD	160000 ± 14000	188 ± 50	73 ± 20	1.46 ± 0.42	0.19 ± 0.19
r	2100 ± 120	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
J/Ψ	22100 ± 3700	3.4 ± 1.9	0.95 ± 0.43	0.24 ± 0.23	0.00 ± 0.00
W+Jet	332 ± 11	0.40 ± 0.40	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
Z+Jet	14420 ± 42	2.00 ± 0.50	1.37 ± 0.41	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
tī	357 ± 1.4	4.31 ± 0.16	3.47 ± 0.14	0.041 ± 0.016	0.012 ± 0.008
Diboson	16.577 ± 0.070	1.640 ± 0.013	1.557 ± 0.013	0.00033 ± 0.00019	0.00033 ± 0.00019
Squark Signal Samples					
$\alpha_d = 0.0, m_a = 300$	8.26 ± 0.27	3.52 ± 0.18	2.38 ± 0.15	1.76 ± 0.12	1.38 ± 0.11
$\alpha_d = 0.0, m_a = 500$	6.90 ± 0.25	2.62 ± 0.15	1.87 ± 0.13	1.35 ± 0.11	1.04 ± 0.10
$\alpha_d = 0.1, m_a = 300$	15.16 ± 0.37	9.14 ± 0.28	7.58 ± 0.26	4.77 ± 0.21	2.90 ± 0.16
$\alpha_d = 0.1, m_a = 500$	15.97 ± 0.38	8.38 ± 0.27	6.99 ± 0.25	4.08 ± 0.19	2.33 ± 0.14
$\alpha_d = 0.3, m_a = 300$	9.60 ± 0.38	6.89 ± 0.32	5.99 ± 0.30	3.28 ± 0.22	1.25 ± 0.14
$\alpha_d = 0.3, m_a = 500$	11.75 ± 0.32	7.88 ± 0.26	7.01 ± 0.25	3.29 ± 0.17	1.11 ± 0.10

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ 釣べ⊙

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
LJs: the stor	y so far			

- Several classes of LJ models targeted
- For models predicting narrow lepton jets, or LJs with dimuon resonances, or LJs with high multiplicity of muons, the LHC cross section constrained to be less than $\sim 0.1-0.5~\text{pb}$
- Purely electron LJs less constrained, unless accompanied by large missing energy

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

What/Why

LJ searches

End

ъ

Another idea

AA,Ruderman,Volansky,Zupan [1007.3496] : using electromagnetic fraction (EMF) and charge ratio (CR) to target electron LJs

 $\mathsf{EMF} = \frac{E_{EM}(j)}{E_{tot}(j)} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{CR} = \frac{\sum p_T(j)}{E_{EM}(j)}$

Obviously, for lepton jets we expect EMF \sim 1 and CR \sim 1...

- QCD jets consist mostly of π^{\pm} (who deposit in ECAL and HCAL) and π^{0} 's (who promptly decay to photons, therefore deposit mostly in ECAL)
- Precise particle content of jets varies wildly on event-to-event basis
- EMF distribution further broadens by fluctuations of EM and Hadronic cascade and detector smearing
- Jets with high π^0 content can have EMF \sim 1, much like LJs
- $\bullet\,$ But those jets have few charged particles, therefore CR \ll 1, unlike LJs



What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Methodology				

- Concentrate on W+h and Z+h Higgs production channels (gg → h swamped by dijet background) at Tevatron's D0 and LHC's ATLAS
- Main background from W + 2j, Z + 2j.
- Signal and background generated at parton level in MadGraphv4 and BRIDGE, then showered and hadronized in Pythia 6.4.21
- Track p_T simulated in PGS4.
- PGS is too simplistic for simulating EMF and CR; instead we used a private MC (tomersim), taking into account parametrization for EM and hadronic showers in detector material, non-compensating effects (e/h) and detector smearing

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Simulation is tuned to D0 and ATLAS using dijet EMF data

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Analysis a	nd Cuts			

- Exactly two jets Δ*R*(*j*₁, *j*₂) > 0.7
- **Z+h:** 2 opposite sign same flavor isolated leptons (I = e, μ): $p_T(I) > 10$ GeV, $|m(I_+, I_-) m_Z| < 10$ GeV
- W+h: 1 lepton and missing $p_T : p_T(I) > 20$ GeV, $p_{T,miss} > 20$ GeV
- $N_{track}(j) \ge 4$ (to cut down photon conversions in tracker)
- EMF cut: 0.95 < EMF < 1.05 for D0, while for ATLAS 0.99 < EMF < 1

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

• CR cut: 0.9 < CR < 1.9 for Z+h and 0.95 < CR < 1.25 for W+h.

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Results				

			W + h		Z+h	
$m_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$		Signal(Eff.)	Bckg	Signal(Eff.)	Bckg	
Tevatron	Kinematic	87 (18%)	$4.4 imes10^5$	10.6 (18%)	$2.8 imes10^4$	
(10 fb^{-1})	EMF+CR	14.4 (3%)	5.9	3.5 (6%)	1.4	
LHC	Kinematic	35(17%)	$4.9 imes 10^5$	5.2 (25%)	$3.6 imes10^4$	
(1 fb^{-1})	EMF+CR	4.9 (2%)	0.7	1.5 (7%)	0.7	

In Z+h Higgs mass can be reconstructed assuming missing energy aligned with the jets (much as in $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$)







▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Some wor	k left to do			

- Experimental searches for purely electron lepton jets
- Largely hadronic lepton jets (may occur e.g for dark photon mass close to ρ resonance). Hopeless?

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

• Lepton jets with displaced vertices

What/Why		LJ searches	LJ using EMF	End
Summary				

- Light hidden sectors could be around. They can be probed via astrophysics, atomic physics, high luminosity colliders. High energy colliders provide another possible road to a discovery
- Lepton jets produce a new class signatures in hadron colliders: easy when you're prepared, but easily missed if not specifically targeted
- Searching for electron lepton jets using EMF and CR gives a good sensitivity to a wide class of models with lepton jets.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)