The Higgs Boson & Beyond

Exploring Higgs couplings Motivations for physics beyond the SM Higgs mysteries SM Effective Field Theory to scan for new physics Status of $g_{\mu} - 2$ Searches for dark matter





Summary of the Standard Model

• Particles and SU(3) × SU(2) × U(1) quantum numbers:

L_L E_R	$ \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu^- \end{pmatrix}_L, \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau^- \end{pmatrix}_L \\ e_R^-, \mu_R^-, \tau_R^- \end{pmatrix} $	(1,2, -1) (1,1, -2)	
Q_L U_R D_R	$ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_{L} $ $ u_{R}, c_{R}, t_{R} $ $ d_{R}, s_{R}, b_{R} $	$(\mathbf{3,2,+1/3})$ $(\mathbf{3,1,+4/3})$ $(\mathbf{3,1,-2/3})$	

• Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{a} F^{a \ \mu\nu}$ gauge interactions + $i\bar{\psi} / D\psi + h.c.$ matter fermions + $\psi_i y_{ij} \psi_j \phi + h.c.$ Yukawa interactions + $|D_{\mu} \phi|^2 - V(\phi)$ Higgs potential Tested < 0.1% before LHC Testing now in progress

LHC Measurements



It Walks and Quacks like a Higgs

Couplings scale ~ mass, with scale ~ v



ATLAS & CMS, arXiv:2309.03501

Quevillon, Tancredi, arXiv:2312.12384

Chen, Chen, Qiao & Zhu, arXiv:2404.114441

Emerging Decay Mode: $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$



Signal strength $\mu = 2.2 \pm 0.7$ times Standard Model value

Negligible change in NLO QCD Higher-order EW unimportant Statistics? BSM physics?

QCD Corrections to $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$



Higher-Order Higgs Couplings

- Standard Model Lagrangian contains <u>HHH</u>, <u>VVHH</u> couplings in Higgs potential V(H), Higgs kinetic term $|D_{\mu}H|^2$, respectively
- Directly related to (m_H, m_W) and VVH, respectively
- Absence/modification would destroy consistency (renormalizability) of Standard Model
- Could be modified by, e.g., higher-order terms in effective field theory, e.g., H⁶ or |H|²|D_µH|²
- Parameterized by κ_{λ} , κ_{2V} , respectively

Measuring them is next frontier in Higgs measurements



Search for Triple-H Coupling



Diagrams for double-Higgs production

Loop corrections to single Higgs production



Search for HHH Coupling

Limit on double-Higgs production



Limits on triple-Higgs coupling



Evidence for VVHH Coupling



 $5 - \sigma$ exclusion of $\kappa_{2\nu} = 0$ if other Higgs couplings have Standard Model values

Evidence for VVHH Coupling



$\kappa_{2V} = 1.02 \pm 0.23$ if other Higgs couplings have Standard Model values

Future Prospects



Coupling modifiers κ_i : strengths relative to SM predictions

R.K. Ellis et al (European Strategy), arXiv:1910.11775

... to make an end is to make a beginning. The end is where we start from. T.S. Eliot, Little Gidding





« Empty » space is unstable LHC

LHC

LHC

LHC

- Dark matter
- Origin of matter
- Sizes of masses
- Masses of neutrinos
- Inflation
- Quantum gravity

Everything about Higgs is Puzzling

$$\mathcal{L} = yH\psi\overline{\psi} + \mu^2|H|^2 - \lambda|H|^4 - V_0 + \dots$$

• Pattern of Yukawa couplings y:

• Flavour problem

- Magnitude of mass term μ :
 - Naturalness/hierarchy problem
- Magnitude of quartic coupling λ :
 - Stability of electroweak vacuum
- Cosmological constant term V₀:

 Dark energy Higher-dimensional interactions?

What lies beyond the Standard Model?

Supersymmetry?

Stabilize electroweak vacuum

New motivations from LHC

- Successful prediction for Higgs mass
 - Should be < 130 GeV in simple models
- Successful predictions for couplings
 - Should be within few % of SM values
- Naturalness, GUTs, string, dark matter, $g_{\mu} 2?...$,

Loop Corrections to Higgs Mass²

• Consider generic fermion and boson loops:





Each is quadratically divergent:

 [^]
 d⁴k/k²

$$\Delta m_H^2 = -\frac{y_f}{16\pi^2} [2\Lambda^2 + 6m_f^2 \ln(\Lambda/m_f) + ...]$$

$$\Delta m_H^2 = \frac{\lambda_S}{16\pi^2} [\Lambda^2 - 2m_S^2 \ln(\Lambda/m_S) + \dots]$$

• Leading divergence cancelled if

$$\lambda_S = y_f^2 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{2}$$



Is "Empty Space" Unstable?



Is "Empty Space" Unstable?

- Dependence of instability scale on masses of Higgs boson and top quark, and strong coupling: $Log_{10}\frac{\Lambda}{GeV} = 10.5 - 1.3\left(\frac{m_t}{GeV} - 172.6\right) + 1.1\left(\frac{m_H}{GeV} - 125.1\right) + 0.6\left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_Z) - 0.1179}{0.0009}\right)$
- New LHC value of m_t :

 $m_t = 172.52 \pm 0.33 \text{ GeV}$

Franceschini et al, 2203.17197

ATLAS & CMS, CERN-LPCC-2023-02

• Latest experimental values: $m_H = 125.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}, \ \alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.1183 \pm 0.0009$ • Instability scale: $\log_{10} \frac{\Lambda}{\text{GeV}} = 10.9 \pm 0.8$ • Dominant uncertainties those in α_s and m_t

Looking Beyond the Standard Model with the SMEFT

France

"...the direct method may be used...but indirect methods will be needed in order to secure victory...."

"The direct and the indirect lead on to each other in turn. It is like moving in a circle...."

Who can exhaust the possibilities of their combination?"

Sun Tzu

Effective Field Theories (EFTs) a long and glorious History

- 1930's: "Standard Model" of QED had d=4
- Fermi's four-fermion theory of the weak force
- Dimension-6 operators: form = S, P, V, A, T?
 Due to exchanges of massive particles?
- V-A → massive vector bosons → gauge theory
- Yukawa's meson theory of the strong N-N force
 − Due to exchanges of mesons? → pions
- Chiral dynamics of pions: $(\partial \pi \partial \pi)\pi\pi$ clue \rightarrow QCD









Global SMEFT Fit to Top, Higgs, Diboson, Electroweak Data

JE, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz & You, arXiv:2022.02779

- Global fit to dimension-6 operators using precision electroweak data, W+W- at LEP, top, Higgs and diboson data from LHC Runs 1, 2
- Search for BSM
- Constraints on BSM
 - At tree level
 - At loop level

341 measurements included in global analysis



Dimension-6 Constraints with Flavour-Universal SU(3)⁵ Symmetry

- Individual operator coefficients
- Marginalised over all other
 operator
 coefficients

No significant deviations from SM

JE, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz & You, arXiv:2022.02779



Single-Field Extensions of the Standard Model



JE, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz & You, arXiv:2022.02779

Single-Field Extensions of the Standard Model



Mass limits (in TeV)

JE, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz & You, arXiv:2022.02779



 $g_{\mu} - 2$: Dawn of new physics or its sunset?

Quo Vadis $g_{\mu} - 2$?



 New Fermilab result confirms previous measurements, uncertainty reduced by factor ~ 2

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Reports

y

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physrep

Theory Initiative

- Comprehensive review of calculations of the Standard Model contributions to $g_{\mu} 2$
- Including discussion of the uncertainties
- Particularly in calculation of leading-order vacuum polarisation



Aoyama et al, arXiv:2006.04822

The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model

T. Aoyama ^{1,2,3}, N. Asmussen ⁴, M. Benayoun ⁵, J. Bijnens ⁶, T. Blum ^{7,8}, M. Bruno⁹, I. Caprini¹⁰, C.M. Carloni Calame¹¹, M. Cè^{9,12,13}, G. Colangelo^{14,*}, F. Curciarello ^{15,16}, H. Czyż ¹⁷, I. Danilkin ¹², M. Davier ^{18,*}, C.T.H. Davies ¹⁹, M. Della Morte ²⁰, S.I. Eidelman ^{21,22,*}, A.X. El-Khadra ^{23,24,*}, A. Gérardin ²⁵, D. Giusti ^{26,27}, M. Golterman ²⁸, Steven Gottlieb ²⁹, V. Gülpers ³⁰, F. Hagelstein ¹⁴, M. Hayakawa ^{31,2}, G. Herdoíza ³², D.W. Hertzog ³³, A. Hoecker ³⁴, M. Hoferichter 14,35,*, B.-L. Hoid ³⁶, R.J. Hudspith ^{12,13}, F. Ignatov ²¹, T. Izubuchi ^{37,8}, F. Jegerlehner ³⁸, L. Jin ^{7,8}, A. Keshavarzi ³⁹, T. Kinoshita ^{40,41}, B. Kubis ³⁶, A. Kupich ²¹, A. Kupść ^{42,43}, L. Laub ¹⁴, C. Lehner ^{26,37,*}, L. Lellouch ²⁵, I. Logashenko²¹, B. Malaescu⁵, K. Maltman^{44,45}, M.K. Marinković^{46,47} P. Masjuan^{48,49}, A.S. Meyer³⁷, H.B. Meyer^{12,13}, T. Mibe^{1,*}, K. Miura^{12,13,3} S.E. Müller⁵⁰, M. Nio^{2,51}, D. Nomura^{52,53}, A. Nyffeler^{12,*}, V. Pascalutsa¹², M. Passera⁵⁴, E. Perez del Rio⁵⁵, S. Peris^{48,49}, A. Portelli³⁰, M. Procura⁵⁶, C.F. Redmer¹², B.L. Roberts^{57,*}, P. Sánchez-Puertas⁴⁹, S. Serednyakov²¹, B. Shwartz²¹, S. Simula²⁷, D. Stöckinger⁵⁸, H. Stöckinger-Kim⁵⁸, P. Stoffer⁵⁹ T. Teubner^{60,*}, R. Van de Water²⁴, M. Vanderhaeghen^{12,13}, G. Venanzoni⁶¹, G. von Hippel¹², H. Wittig^{12,13}, Z. Zhang¹⁸, M.N. Achasov²¹, A. Bashir⁶², N. Cardoso⁴⁷, B. Chakraborty⁶³, E.-H. Chao¹², J. Charles²⁵, A. Crivellin^{64,65}, O. Deineka¹², A. Denig^{12,13}, C. DeTar⁶⁶, C.A. Dominguez⁶⁷, A.E. Dorokhov⁶⁸, V.P. Druzhinin²¹, G. Eichmann^{69,47}, M. Fael⁷⁰, C.S. Fischer⁷¹, E. Gámiz⁷², Z. Gelzer²³, J.R. Green⁹, S. Guellati-Khelifa⁷³, D. Hatton¹⁹, N. Hermansson-Truedsson¹⁴, S. Holz³⁶, B. Hörz⁷⁴, M. Knecht²⁵, J. Koponen¹, A.S. Kronfeld²⁴, J. Laiho⁷⁵, S. Leupold⁴², P.B. Mackenzie²⁴, W.J. Marciano³⁷, C. McNeile⁷⁶, D. Mohler^{12,13}, J. Monnard¹⁴, E.T. Neil⁷⁷, A.V. Nesterenko⁶⁸, K. Ottnad ¹², V. Pauk ¹², A.E. Radzhabov ⁷⁸, E. de Rafael ²⁵, K. Raya ⁷⁹, A. Risch ¹², A. Rodríguez-Sánchez⁶, P. Roig⁸⁰, T. San José^{12,13}, E.P. Solodov²¹, R. Sugar⁸¹, K. Yu. Todyshev²¹, A. Vainshtein⁸², A. Vaquero Avilés-Casco⁶⁶, E. Weil⁷¹, J. Wilhelm¹², R. Williams⁷¹, A.S. Zhevlakov⁷⁸

¹Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan
²Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan

³ Kobayashi–Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe (KMI), Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan ⁴ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton S017 1BJ, United Kingdom ⁵ LPNHE, Sorbonne Université. Université de Paris, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

E-mail address: MUON-GM2-THEORY-SC@fnal.gov (G. Colangelo, M. Davier, S.I. Eidelman, A.X. El-Khadra, M. Hoferichter, C. Lehner, T. Mibe, A. Nyffeler, B.L. Roberts, T. Teubner).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2020.07.006

0370-1573/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



⁸ Corresponding authors

Hadronic Vacuum Polarization

- Most important contribution is from low energies ≤ 1 GeV, dominated by ρ and ω peaks, taking account of interference effects
- Uncertainties dominated by ρ and ω region, and by region between 1 and 2 GeV (ϕ , etc.)
- High energies under good control from perturbative QCD

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{HVP, LO}} = 693.1(2.8)_{\text{exp}}(2.8)_{\text{sys}}(0.7)_{\text{DV+QCD}} \times 10^{-10}$$

= 693.1(4.0) × 10⁻¹⁰.

Aoyama et al, arXiv:2006.04822





Lattice Calculations of Hadronic Vacuum Polarization

$$\left[a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HVP}} + \left[a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{QED}} + a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{Weak}} + a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{HLbL}}
ight]
ight> a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{SM}}$$



Aoyama et al, arXiv:2006.04822

Recent Lattice Calculations



Updated CMD-3 Measurement of HVP

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$$
 form factor



CMD-3 Collaboration, arXiv:2309.12910

Comparison with previous results



 $(g_{\mu} - 2) - \text{HVP}$ discrepancy $\Delta a_{\mu} = (49 \pm 55) \times 10^{-11}$ Consistent with no BSM signal

LHC vs Supersymmetry

- LHC favours squarks & gluinos > 2 TeV (but loopholes)
- Does not exclude lighter electroweakly-interacting particles, e.g., sleptons



• Most models have $m_{\tilde{\mu}_L} > m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$ but $m_{\tilde{\mu}_R} \simeq m_{\tilde{e}_R}$: relevant constraint

ATLAS Collaboration

$g_{\mu} - 2$ in Benchmark SUSY Scenarios



 Δa_{μ} (×10¹⁰): experimental and theoretical estimates vs supersymmetric model calculations

JE, Olive, Spanos, in preparation

Smuon & Neutralino Masses in Benchmarks



JE, Olive, Spanos, in preparation

The Dark Matter Hypothesis

- Proposed by Fritz Zwicky, based on observations of the Coma galaxy cluster
- The galaxies move too quickly
- The observations require a

stronger gravitational field

than provided by the visible matter

• Dark matter?



The Rotation Curves of Galaxies

- Measured by Vera Rubin
- The stars also orbit 'too quickly'
- Her observations also required a stronger gravitational field than provided by the visible matter



- Further strong evidence for dark matter
- Also:
 - Structure formation, cosmic background radiation,

•••

Searches for Dark Matter Annihilation Standard Model **Dark Matter** to particles in cosmic rays Annihilation Production **New Physics** in the early \rightarrow 🗲 at particle Universe colliders Standard Model **Dark Matter Direct dark matter** detection

Classic Dark Matter Signature



Missing transverse energy carried away by dark matter particles

Nothing (yet) at the LHC

No supersymmetry

Nothing else, either



Direct Dark Matter Detection

Electrons

Scattering of dark matter particle in deep underground laboratory

> Incoming Particle

→ Outgoing Particle

Direct Dark Matter Searches



Summary

Visible matter

Higgs physics? Muon magnetic moment? Dark Matter?

Standard Model