

Complementarity between Muon Colliders and Precision Experiments

Discovering lepton flavor violation within a SMEFT approach

Katherine Fraser

Department of Physics & Miller Institute

University of California, Berkeley

kfraser@berkeley.edu

Early Career Researchers & Muon Colliders 2024 [Based on Work in Progress w/ P. Asadi, H. Bagherian, S. Homiller, and Q. Lu]

Why Lepton Flavor Violation?

The Standard Model contains three flavors of charged

leptons: e, μ, τ . Most interactions within the Standard Model **conserve lepton flavor** - the only exception is neutrino masses, but they are very small.

- **Measurable LFV** is a smoking gun **signal of new physics**, with several different potential new models/particles potentially leading to it.
- **Examples** where new physics generated LFV include **supersymmetry**, when SUSY breaking causes nondiagonal interactions between sleptons, and **leptoquark** models

Types of Searches

- New physics searches can either by **model specific** or **model agnostic**.
- Model specific searches add potential new particles to the Standard Model, make a detailed hypothesis, and test this hypothesis. Most new physics searches that are performed in practice are model specific.
- Example: [Homiller et al: 2203.08825, 2103.14043] **studied LFV in Supersymmetric models** at a muon collider versus in low energy experiments.

• In model agnostic searches, **new physics is parameterized in more general ways** that many different models can be matched on to. Model agnostic approaches often use **effective field theories**.

- **SMEFT** (Standard Model Effective Field Theory) is an example. In SMEFT, new physics is parameterized by **all higher dimensions operators which respect the SM symmetries**.
- We work to dimension 6, considering only operators with no quarks

Low Energy Constraints vs. Muon Colliders

 Precision experiments are currently our most sensitive probe of LFV. These experiments **look for the processes µ → eγ , µ → 3e , and µ → e**. Current constraints include BaBar, MEG, Belle, and SINDRUM; future ones include Mu3e, Mu2e, and MEG-II.

An advantage of **muon colliders** is that a **wider combination of operators can be probed**. For our study, we focus on *μ* → *τ* conversion because $\mu \to \tau$ conversion is more weakly constrained by precision experiments than $\mu \to e$.

Muon Collider Studies

 For **each operator**, we consider the full set of possible $\mu \rightarrow \tau$ processes and study **processes with the largest cross section** in more detail using MadGraph.

$$
C_{eB}: \mu^{-}\gamma \to \tau^{-}h \qquad C_{He}: \mu^{-}Z \to \tau^{-}h
$$

With 10 ab⁻¹ of data:
1.2 × 10⁴ Events

$$
(1.53 × 10^{2} events)
$$

For each of these, **consider the SM backgrounds**. An example:

$$
Z/\gamma W \to h\tau \nu_{\tau}
$$

This appears to have the same final states, but can be separated because of kinematic distributions.

A **muon collider** could explore **new physics beyond** the current reach of **low energy experiments**.

The **combination** of muon colliders and low energy experiments allows us to **probe specific flavor ansatz**, which can be studied using 2D plots.

Another advantage of muon colliders is that they can probe "**flat directions**" that low energy observables are insensitive to.

Katherine Fraser, kfraser@berkeley.edu