



21 May 2024 Nashville, Tennessee, USA

# Analysis of the performance in the 2023 LHC Pb-Pb run

R. Bruce, S. Redaelli, N. Triantafyllou

#### With the valuable contributions from:

R. Alemany Fernandez, F. Alessio, T. Argyropoulos, H. Bartosik, N. Biancacci, F. Boattini, C. Bracco, E. Bravin, R. Cai, M. Calviani, M. D'Andrea, H. Damerau, R. De Maria, R. Denz, M. DiCastro, Y. Dutheil, S. Fartoukh, A. Frasca, N. Fuster-Martinez, R. Garcia Alia, S. Gilardoni, C. Hernalsteens, P. Hermes, M. Hostettler, G. Iadarola, M.A. Jebramcik, J.M. Jowett, S. Kostoglou, D. Kuchler, A. Lechner, G. Lerner, K. Li, E. Matheson, D. Mirarchi, F. Moortgat, S. Morales Vigo, N. Mounet, D. Nisbet, F-X. Nuiry, S. Paiva, Y. Papaphilippou, T. Persson, B. Petersen, G. Rumolo, B. Salvachua, R. Scrivens, D. Soderstrom, M. Solfaroli, J. Steckert, R. Steerenberg, G. Sterbini, H. Timko, R. Tomas, J. Uythoven, F. van der Veken, J. Wenninger, C. Wiesner, D. Wollmann

#### Outline

- Introduction
  - Heavy-ion program in the LHC
  - 2023 Pb-Pb run overview
- Achieved performance and challenges
- Performance comparison for configurations for future runs
- Summary and outlook



#### LHC and heavy ions

- The LHC accelerates and collides 2 counterrotating beams in its 4 main experiments
  - ATLAS / ALICE / CMS / LHCb
- Mainly protons but also heavy ions
  - Ion collisions in all 4 experiments
  - ALICE is specialised in ion collisions



Courtesy of B. Lindstrom

#### **Ions in the LHC timeline**

- Ion run typically at the last month of each operational year
- Initially fully stripped **Pb-Pb**; later **p-Pb**; but also short pilot runs with other species:
  - Xe-Xe (completed in 2017)
  - O-O and p-O (planned for 2025)



#### **Ions in the LHC timeline**

- The 2023 Pb-Pb run was the first in LHC Run 3
- At a record beam energy of 6.8 Z TeV (557.6 TeV/Pb ion)
  - Focus of this talk

CÉRN

• Plans for future Pb-Pb runs in 2024, 2025 and in Run 4 (2029-2033)



# Key beam and machine upgrades

- Increase of total number of bunches;
  - 1240b vs 733b (50 ns vs 75 ns spacing)
  - This upgraded beam production scheme was achieved for the first time
- **Crystal collimation** to manage the higher intensity; Talk by S. Redaelli: **FRXN3**
- New orbit bumps in IR2/8, with dispersion suppressor collimators in IR2, to mitigate the risk of magnet quenches due to collisional losses
- Major ALICE upgrades in the last long shutdown to accept factor 6 higher luminosity

#### Dispersion suppression collimator in LHC tunnel

direction



\* Deployed as a part of the High Luminosity LHC project



#### Silicon-strip crystal

# Key beam and machine upgrades

- Increase of total number of bunches;
  - 1240b vs 733b (50 ns vs
  - This upgraded beam pro for the first time
- Crystal collimation to mana Talk by S. Redaelli: FRXN3
- New orbit bumps in IR2/8, with dispersion suppressor collimators in IR2, to mitigate the risk of magnet quenches due to collisional losses
- **Major ALICE upgrades** in the last long shutdown to accept factor 6 higher luminosity

The 2023 ion run was the first one to include all

foreseen ion upgrades for High Luminosity LHC



#### Silicon-strip crystal



#### on collimator in LHC tunnel



\* Deployed as a part of the High Luminosity LHC project

### **Performance highlights**

- Integrated luminosity: key indicator of accelerator performance;
  ∝ to the number of collisions in a given amount of time
- Integrated higher luminosity in 2023 than in any past run in all experiments
  - Similar performance for ATLAS/ALICE/CMS integrating ~2/nb
- Achieved more than a factor 6 higher peak luminosity (6.4x10<sup>27</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) in ALICE than before
- Let all the second states of the second states of the second states with the second states of the sec

#### Collision optics in 2023

	IP1/5	IP2	IP8
β* [m]	0.5	0.5	1.5
Net half crossing [urad]	170	± 98	-274





## **Performance highlights**

- Integrated luminosity: key indicator of accelerator performance;
  ∝ to the number of collisions in a given amount of time
- Integrated higher luminosity in 2023 than in any past run in all experiments
  - Similar performance for ATLAS/ALICE/CMS integrating ~2/nb

Clear benefits in ALICE from upgrades

2023 vs 2018 performance 2.5 + 138% 2018 + 6.2% + 12.3% 2023 2.0 Total integrated Juminosity [1/nb] 0 တ -1 Higher than physics fill total any past run 0.5 + 6% 0.0 ATLAS ALICE CMS LHCb 27 Fill 9285, 961b, 40-b trains 2.0 ALICE luminosity Beam 1 intensity 1.5 108 Pb/bunch] 6 Record peak luminosity  $[10^{27} s^{-1} cm^{-1}]$ 4 Highest 2023 intensity, 0.0 Intensity 1.82x108 Pb/b 3 2 Typical 2023 fill duration: 4-6 h 0.0 1

S<sup>-1</sup>

Ac

ℒ<sub>daily</sub> = 100/μb in ATLAS/ALICE/CMS during periods w/o long faults

#### Collision optics in 2023

	IP1/5	IP2	IP8
β* [m]	0.5	0.5	1.5
Net half crossing [urad]	170	± 98	-274

N. Triantafyllou | IPAC24, Nashville, USA

2

Ŝ.

Time [h]

1

5

4

# **Performance highlights**

- Integrated luminosity: key indicator of accelerator performance;
  ∝ to the number of collisions in a given amount of time
- Integrated higher luminosit experiments
  - Similar performance for A
- Achieved more than a factor 6 higher peak luminosity (6.4x10<sup>27</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) in ALICE than before
- Let all the second state of the s

#### Collision optics in 2023

	IP1/5	IP2	IP8
$\beta^{\star}[m]$	0.5	0.5	1.5
Net half crossing [urad]	170	± 98	-274



#### CERN

#### **Run overview**





### **Unforeseen challenges**

- Significant time lost due to:
  - Strong background in ALICE  $\rightarrow$  1.6 days mitigation campaign
  - Transverse losses in the ramp (6.1-6.7 Z TeV)  $\rightarrow$  beam dumps
  - Single Event Upsets on quench protection system, likely from collisional radiation  $\rightarrow$  beam dumps, quenches
  - Horizontal orbit oscillations in beam 1 at ~10 Hz for high-intensity beams → beam dumps





## **Unforeseen challenges**

- Significant time lost due to:
  - Strong background in ALICE  $\rightarrow$  1.6 days mitigation campaign
  - Transverse losses in the ramp (6.1-6.7 Z TeV)  $\rightarrow$  beam dumps
  - Single Event Upsets on quench protection system, likely from collisional radiation → beam dumps, quenches
  - Horizontal orbit oscillations in beam 1 at ~10 Hz for high-intensity beams  $\rightarrow$  beam dumps



1/3 of the time spent in physics collisions

2023 availability

Collisions 34%

Operation

34%

Fault

32%

### **Mitigation measures impacting performance**

- Luminosity levelling @ 3.5x10<sup>27</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> to mitigate the Single Event Upsets from collisional losses
- Different filling schemes with 40-b trains I/o 56 b-trains to lower total intensity and intensity per injection





## **Mitigation measures impacting performance**

- Luminosity levelling <u>@ 3.5x10<sup>27</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> to mitigate the Single Event Upsets from collisional losses</u>
- Different filling schei

Luminosity levelling: used to control peak luminosity / number of collisions per bunch

Achieved with **transverse offset** between the two **colliding beams** 

• Local orbit bump





injection

960b

0-b trains

1080b

40-b trains

### **Mitigation measures impacting performance**

- Luminosity levelling @ 3.5x10<sup>27</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> to mitigate the Single Event Upsets from collisional losses
- Different filling schemes with 40-b trains i/o 56 b-trains to lower total intensity and intensity per injection





#### **Beam quality**

- Bunch intensity at the start of collisions
  - 56-b trains: 1.5x10<sup>8</sup> Pb/bunch; limitations on injected intensity<sup>\*2</sup> for machine protection reasons
  - 40-b trains: 1.67x10<sup>8</sup> Pb/bunch; no limitations on injected intensity
  - **Target 1.8x10<sup>8</sup> Pb/bunch** achieved only in a few fills with 40-b trains
- Emittance similar for 56-b vs 40-b trains ~2 µm at the start of collisions
  - Computed from ATLAS luminous region
  - Higher than the target value 1.65 µm, mainly in horizontal



\*1 3-b, 28-b trains used for special fills, out of scope here

\*<sup>2</sup> Intensity limitations for 56-b trains to protect injection protection absorber operating in degrading mode due to vacuum leaks, 1 less injection in LEIR



#### **Quantifying the performance**

- To understand the encountered limitations and further increase the performance in future runs a detailed analysis of the performance impact will be shown
- For issues that mainly resulted in **lost time** we estimated the impact on performance as  $\mathscr{L}_{daily} \ge T_{lost}$
- For other factors such as **levelling** and **beam quality** we estimated the performance impact using **simulations**



### **Luminosity evolution in simulations**

• **Simulations** with **CTE**\* (Collider Time Evolution) tracking code:





- Simulations used as initial conditions the typical (average) parameters measured in 2023
- "Performance and luminosity models for heavy-ion operation at the CERN Large Hadron Collider", R. Bruce et al, EPJ Plus link



#### **Simulations vs measurements in 2023**

• **Good agreement** between **measurements** and **CTE** for ATLAS/ALICE/CMS, for the different fills with and without levelling



Fill 9246: 1240b, 56-b trains Fill 9291: 1080b, 40-b trains



#### Impact on performance from levelling

- No impact on integrated luminosity from the levelling for ATLAS/CMS after 5-6h; but ~2-4% for fills lasting <4h</li>
- For ALICE a 7-10% loss per fill is predicted even for 5h fills



#### **Potential additional performance**

#### **Computation of performance impact**

- Single Event Upsets, 10 Hz, losses in ramp, ALICE background Lally x TLoss
- Levelling, beam quality from simulations considering actual fill times and achieved parameters, independent of other performance loss factors





#### **Potential additional performance**

- Possible total additional gain ~1.5/nb
- Dominant factors: Single Event Upsets, suboptimal beam quality and losses in the ramp
- Focus of mitigation campaign



CERN

### **Performance comparison of different configurations**

#### With 2023 operational configurations

luminosity levelling and injected intensity limitations for 56-b trains

- Very similar performance for all filling schemes
- For ALICE best: 56-b trains w/o levelling
- Worse performance predicted for 40-b trains
  - Achieved the highest luminosity of the 2023 run due to better beam quality by chance



### **Performance comparison of different configurations**

Without 2023 limitations On luminosity and injected intensity for 56-b trains

- Best performance for 56-b trains; design case
  - Baseline for 2024 assuming successful mitigations of 2023 challenges



### **Summary and outlook**

- The 2023 Pb-Pb run relied on several new concepts that were successfully deployed
- Higher luminosities were recorded than in any previous run
- Performance could have been even higher without several unforeseen challenges
  - Highest impact on 2023 performance: Single Event Upsets and sub-optimal beam quality
  - Ongoing campaigns to mitigate the 2023 limitations
- Filling schemes with different train lengths, 40-b vs 56-b, were used
  - Similar operational efficiency was observed
  - Assuming successful mitigation of the 2023 limitations, better performance is predicted with 56-b trains; baseline for 2024
- These results are crucial for selecting machine configuration to optimise integrated luminosity in the 2024 ion run and future ion operations



#### Thank you for your attention!





home.cern