Cold nuclear matter effect on charmonium($c\bar{c}$) production in fixed target pA collisions



Speaker:Sourav Kanti Giri Collaborators: Partha Pratim Bhaduri, Biswarup Paul, Santosh K. Das



Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata-700064

10th ASIAN TRIANGLE HEAVY-ION CONFERENCE, 13 – 16th Jan,2025

Gopalpur, Berhampur, Odisha

15th January,2025



S.K.Giri (VECC)

Asian Triangle Heavy-Ion Conference ,2025



Introduction

- 2 Brief Theoretical formalism to measure CNM effect in J/ψ
- (3) Experimental Data on J/ψ
- 4 Results and Discussion
- 5 Prediction for future experiment





Motivation

- T.Matsui and H.Satz first predicted J/ψ suppression as a signature of QGP,1986.
- Several experiments were done at CERN SPS like NA38 followed by NA50,NA60,... after the successful discovery of QGP in laboratory.
- The Charmonia production cross section in pA collisions increases less than linearly with the number of binary collisions => Normal nuclear absorption or CNM effect CNM effect is a convolution of several effect a.Initial state effect b.Final state effect
- Among several other effects, initial state energy loss would have a significant contribution as partons lose energy in QCD matter, as suggested by M. Gyulassy et al. and R. Baier et al.



 Aim is to introduce initial state parton energy loss in charmonia(J/ψ) production as one of the prominent contribution to cold nuclear matter(CNM).

- Aim is to introduce initial state parton energy loss in charmonia(J/ψ) production as one of the prominent contribution to cold nuclear matter(CNM).
- Decouple the energy loss effect from the effective absorption(σ_{abs}^{eff}) and separate the **final state effect**.

- Aim is to introduce initial state parton energy loss in charmonia(J/ψ) production as one of the prominent contribution to cold nuclear matter(CNM).
- Decouple the energy loss effect from the effective absorption(σ_{abs}^{eff}) and separate the **final state effect**.
- Useful for studying the effect of energy loss in hot and dense deconfined medium which is produced by relativistic heavy-ion collision.

- Aim is to introduce initial state parton energy loss in charmonia(J/ψ) production as one of the prominent contribution to cold nuclear matter(CNM).
- Decouple the energy loss effect from the effective absorption(σ_{abs}^{eff}) and separate the **final state effect**.
- Useful for studying the effect of energy loss in hot and dense deconfined medium which is produced by relativistic heavy-ion collision.
- And also very crucial for identifying genuine QGP-specific suppression in charmonia production.

How Charmonia(here. J/ψ) interact with nuclear matter??

- charmonia are produced by two step factorizable process in a nuclear collision.
 - \Longrightarrow Color $c\bar{c}$ production by perturbative process
 - \Longrightarrow Color-neutral resonance state formation by non-perturbative soft gluon emission

How Charmonia(here. J/ψ) interact with nuclear matter??

- charmonia are produced by two step factorizable process in a nuclear collision.
 - \implies Color $c\bar{c}$ production by perturbative process
 - \Longrightarrow Color-neutral resonance state formation by non-perturbative soft gluon emission
- Three different kinematical region can be categories
 - a. Color octet Region
 - b. Resonance region
 - c. Transition region

How Charmonia(here. J/ψ) interact with nuclear matter??

- charmonia are produced by two step factorizable process in a nuclear collision.
 - \implies Color $c\bar{c}$ production by perturbative process
 - \Longrightarrow Color-neutral resonance state formation by non-perturbative soft gluon emission
- Three different kinematical region can be categories
 - a. Color octet Region
 - b. Resonance region
 - c. Transition region
- Depending on the collision energy and the system size, each region would have its contribution for the charmonia production.

Brief Theoretical Formalism of J/ψ production

- The frame work employed to derive the J/ψ absorption cross-section have two factorizable contributions:
 - 1. Charmonia production cross-section

 \Longrightarrow CEM

$$\frac{d\sigma_{i}}{dx_{F}} = 2F_{i} \int_{2m_{c}}^{2m_{D}} m \frac{d\sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dx_{F}dm^{2}} dm$$
(1)
$$\frac{d\sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dx_{F}dm^{2}} = \int_{0}^{1} \delta(x_{1}x_{2}s_{NN} - m^{2}) \,\delta(x_{F} - x_{1} + x_{2}) \\ \left\{ f_{g}^{A}(x_{1}, m^{2}) f_{g}^{B}(x_{2}, m^{2}) \sigma_{gg}(m^{2}) + \sum_{q=u,d,s} \left[f_{q}^{A}(x_{1}, M^{2}) \right. \\ \left. f_{\bar{q}}^{B}(x_{2}, M^{2}) + f_{\bar{q}}^{A}(x_{1}, M^{2}) f_{q}^{B}(x_{2}, M^{2}) \right] \sigma_{q\bar{q}}(m^{2}) \right\} dx_{1}dx_{2}$$
(2)

Introduction of energy loss

• In presence of energy loss: $x'_{1q(g)} \longrightarrow x_{1q(g)}$

$$x_{1q(g)}' = x_{1q(g)} + \Delta x_{1q(g)}$$



Introduction of energy loss

• In presence of energy loss: $x'_{1q(g)} \longrightarrow x_{1q(g)}$

$$x_{1q(g)}' = x_{1q(g)} + \Delta x_{1q(g)}$$

• Now:
$$\Delta x_{1q} = rac{\Delta E_q}{E_h} \quad \Delta x_{1g} = (9/4)\Delta x_{1q}$$

• BH formalism:
$$\Delta x_{1q} pprox rac{lpha}{E_h} < L_A >$$

• BDMPS formalism:
$$\Delta x_{1q} pprox rac{eta}{E_h} < L_A^2 >$$

2.Survival probability of the nascent charmonium($c\bar{c}$)states \Rightarrow Glauber Model

$$\sigma_{PA}^{J/\psi} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi}} \int db_A [1 - (1 - T_A(b_A)\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi})^A]$$
(3)

-dE/dx

7 / 15

• J/ψ data from NA50,NA60 at CERN SPS and E866 at FERMILAB .

Expt. $E_{LAB}(GeV)$	Collision system	Phase space
NA50 450	p-Be, AI, Cu, Ag, W	$-0.5 < y_{cms} < 0.5$
NA50 400	p - Be, AI, Cu, Ag, W, Pb	$-0.425 < y_{cms} < 0.575$
NA60 400	p-Be, Cu, In, W, Pb, U	$-0.17 < y_{cms} < 0.33$
NA60 158	p-Be, AI, Cu, Ag, W	0.28 < y _{cms} < 0.78
E866 800	p-Be, Fe, W	$-0.34 < x_F < 0.14$

Analysis Results



Discussion

- EPPS21 nuclear PDFs has been taken to account shadowing-antishadowing effect
- Three parametrization along with final state absorption has been employed

$Experiment(E_{LAB}(GeV))$	Model	$\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi}(mb)$
	No Eloss	4.56±1.0
NA50 (450)	BH Eloss	2.23±0.9
	BDMPS Eloss	2.17±0.9
	No Eloss	5.13±0.4
NA50 (400)	BH Eloss	2.68±0.4
	BDMPS Eloss	2.52±0.4
	No Eloss	6.85±0.4
NA60 (158)	BH Eloss	3.44±0.4
	BDMPS Eloss	3.12±0.4

• In presence of energy loss, final state absorption $\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi}$ decreasing

Prediction for future experiment

- A linear fit for $\sigma_{abs}^{J/\psi}$ vs E_{LAB}
- Extrapolate it to the energies of upcoming experiments *NA*60+ at CERN SPS and CBM at FAIR



• At CBM beam energy 30 GeV J/ψ absorption cross-section around 4.2 mb and that is around 3.8 mb for 80 GeV beam energy of NA60+ experiment.

- We Introduce the initial state energy loss of incoming partons on J/ψ production process in proton induced nuclear collision.
- Decouple the initial state energy loss effect convoluted in the final state absorption
- With lowering the beam energy final state J/ψ absorption increasing.
- Some quantitative prediction has been given for the upcoming experiments *NA*60+ at CERN SPS and CBM at FAIR.
- Our model is unable to explain the data of E866 from FERMILAB.
- Need to be improvement for higher energy experiments.....

References

- T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 178, 416-422 (1986)
- B. Alessandro et al. [NA50], Eur. Phys. J. C 33, 31-40 (2004)
- B. Alessandro et al. [NA50], Eur. Phys. J. C 39, 335-345 (2005)
- R. Arnaldi et al. [NA60], Conf. Proc. C 060726, 430-434 (2006)
- M. J. Leitch et al. [NuSea], Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3256-3260 (2000)
- S. J. Brodsky and P. Hoyer, Phys. Lett. B 298, 165-170 (1993)
- R. Baier, Y. L. Dokshitzer, A. H. Mueller, S. Peigne and D. Schiff, Nucl. Phys. B 484, 265-282 (1997)
- K. J. Eskola, P. Paakkinen, H. Paukkunen and C. A. Salgado, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, no.3, 163 (2017)
- M. Gyulassy and M. Plumer, Phys. Lett. B **243**, 432-438 (1990)

13 / 15



For your kind attention!

Backup Slide

- Δx_1 Characteristic Length of gluon
- Δx_1 The broadening of squared transverse momentum

•
$$-\Delta E = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_s C_R \hat{q} L^2$$

- $\hat{q} \approx 0.8 \hat{q_0}, \hat{q_0} \approx 0.07 0.09 GeV^2/fm.$
- Baier-Dokshitzer-Mueller-Peigné-Schiff(BDMPS)
- ratio has been taken to reduce the systematic uncertainty as well as the experiment does no give individual cross section rather give ratio
- \bullet formation legth of the J/ψ

$$d_{0(R)} = \beta \gamma c \tau_{0(R)} = \frac{p_L}{M} \tau_{0(R)}$$

 $au_0 pprox 0.25 fm$ $au_R pprox 0.35 fm$ (4)