# Unveiling Initial State Fluctuation Using $[p_T]$ Cumulants with ATLAS

## Somadutta Bhatta Stony Brook University







## Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions



## **Understanding Initial State**



## **Understanding Initial State**



## History : Components of $p_T$ from particle spectra

For radially expanding medium at temperature T, total energy (non-relativistic)

$$E_{tot} = E_{th}(T_{kin}) + \frac{1}{2}m\beta^2$$

Where,  $T_{kin}$ : Freezeout temperature (Kinetic).

Thermal motion

 $\beta$  : Radial-Flow velocity of the surface of source. Collective Radial Flow

Final State p<sub>T</sub> arises from a combination of contributions from Radial Flow as well as Thermal motion of particles.

> Traditionally,  $\beta$ ,  $T_{kin}$  extracted by simultaneous Blast-Wave fits to  $p_T$  spectra of identified hadrons.



## History : Components of $p_T$ from particle spectra

For radially expanding medium at temperature T, total energy (non-relativistic)

$$E_{tot} = E_{th}(T_{kin}) + \frac{1}{2}m\beta^2$$

Where,  $T_{kin}$ : Freezeout temperature (Kinetic).

Thermal motion

 $\beta$  : Radial-Flow velocity of the surface of source. Collective Radial Flow

Final State p<sub>T</sub> arises from a combination of contributions from Radial Flow as well as Thermal motion of particles.

> Traditionally,  $\beta$ ,  $T_{kin}$  extracted by simultaneous Blast-Wave fits to  $p_T$  spectra of identified hadrons.



Effectively provides average value of β and T<sub>kin</sub>.
Does not capture `Event-by-Event' fluctuations in initial state geometry or energy deposition.

On an event-by event basis, categorize two sources of fluctuations influencing final state measured  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$ 



#### **Geometrical:**

Hydrodynamic response to the size fluctuations



#### "Geometrical Component"

#### **Intrinsic:**

Fluctuations arising from Initial state, medium evolution.



"Intrinsic Component"

Event-by-Event  $[p_T]$  Fluctuations = Geometrical + Intrinsic

Distinguishing Geometric and Intrinsic fluctuations is important to constrain both initial state and medium evolution.









> Use standard cumulant method used in flow analysis to measure Event by Event fluctuations in  $[p_T]$ :

$$c_{n} = \frac{\sum_{i_{1} \neq \dots \neq i_{n}} w_{i_{1}} \dots w_{i_{n}} (p_{\mathrm{T},i_{1}} - \langle [p_{\mathrm{T}}] \rangle) \dots (p_{\mathrm{T},i_{n}} - \langle [p_{\mathrm{T}}] \rangle)}{\sum_{i_{1} \neq \dots \neq i_{n}} w_{i_{1}} \dots w_{i_{n}}}$$

> Variance,  $\langle c_2 \rangle$  and skewness,  $\langle c_3 \rangle$  are further normalized to obtain dimensionless quantities

$$k_2 = \frac{\langle c_2 \rangle}{\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle^2}$$

Scaled Variance

$$k_3 = \frac{\langle c_3 \rangle}{\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle^3}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{\langle c_3 \rangle}{\langle c_2 \rangle^{3/2}}$$

Normalized Skewness



► Use standard cumulant method used in flow analysis to measure Event by Event fluctuations in  $[p_T]$ :  $\sum_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_n} (p_{T,i_1} - \langle [p_T] \rangle) \dots (p_{T,i_n} - \langle [p_T] \rangle)$ 

$$c_n = \frac{\sum_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_n} (p_{T,i_1} - \langle [p_T] \rangle) \dots (p_{T,i_n} - \langle [p_T] \rangle)}{\sum_{i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n} w_{i_1} \dots w_{i_n}}$$

> Variance,  $\langle c_2 \rangle$  and skewness,  $\langle c_3 \rangle$  are further normalized to obtain dimensionless quantities

$$k_{2} = \frac{\langle c_{2} \rangle}{\langle [p_{T}] \rangle^{2}} \qquad \qquad k_{3} = \frac{\langle c_{3} \rangle}{\langle [p_{T}] \rangle^{3}} \qquad \qquad \gamma = \frac{\langle c_{3} \rangle}{\langle c_{2} \rangle^{3/2}}$$

**Scaled Variance** 

**Scaled Skewness** 

Normalized Skewness



> Measured  $k_n$  approximately follow power-law dependence with  $N_{ch}$ .

- Independent Superposition Scenario:  $\langle c_2 \rangle \propto \frac{1}{N_{ch}}, \quad \langle c_3 \rangle \propto (\frac{1}{N_{ch}})^2$
- > Explains approximate power-law dependence of  $k_n$  with  $N_{ch}$ .





> In UCC, (after  $N_{ch}$  corresponding to 5% Centrality),

- A sharp drop is observed for  $k_2$ ,
- A small rise followed by drop is observed for  $k_3$ .
- $\succ$  Expected from narrowing of Geometrical fluctuations as  $b \rightarrow 0$

### **Constraining Geometrical and Intrinsic Fluctuations**



- In Ultra-Central Collisions:
  - 1. Fall of  $k_2$  explained entirely by diminishing variance of Geometrical fluctuations.
  - 2. Increase in  $\gamma$  due to truncation of distribution of event-wise  $[p_T]$ .

#### **Constraining Geometrical and Intrinsic Fluctuations**



> Experimental measurement of the cumulants of  $P([p_T])$  is effective towards disentangling "Geometrical fluctuations" from "Intrinsic fluctuations" in HIC.



• In UCC,  $b \rightarrow 0$ , evident from gradual narrowing of  $k_2$ .



- In UCC,  $b \rightarrow 0$ , evident from gradual narrowing of  $k_2$ .
- Within approximately fixed geometry (b), selecting larger  $N_{ch}$  chooses events with larger entropy density  $\rightarrow$  arising from intrinsic component.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- In UCC,  $b \rightarrow 0$ , evident from gradual narrowing of  $k_2$ .
- Within approximately fixed geometry (b), selecting larger  $N_{ch}$  chooses events with larger entropy density  $\rightarrow$  arising from intrinsic component.
- Larger entropy density within a fixed geometry leads to larger radial push or  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$ .

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

• The slope of this rise of  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$  in UCC is related to speed of sound of QGP:

$$c_s^2 = \frac{dP}{d\epsilon} = \frac{d(lnT)}{d(lns)} = \frac{d(ln\langle p_T \rangle)}{d(lnN_{ch})}$$

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Both ATLAS and CMS have observed the steep increase in slope of  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$  in UCC.  $\Rightarrow$  Evidence of overlap area reaching its maximum and Thermalization of system.
- CMS extracted the slope of this rise: claimed the speed of sound of QGP,  $c_s^2 \approx 0.241$ .

#### Caveat: Dependence of UCC Slope of $\langle [p_T] \rangle$ on Evolution Dynamics 13

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$c_s^2(T_{\rm eff}) \propto \frac{d \ln(\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle)}{d \ln(N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec})} \approx \frac{\Delta p_{\rm T}/\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle}{\Delta N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec}/\langle N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec} \rangle}$$

> ATLAS: slope of this rise depends on the  $p_T$ -range of the particles.

#### Caveat: Dependence of UCC Slope of $\langle [p_T] \rangle$ on Evolution Dynamics 13

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$c_s^2(T_{\rm eff}) \propto \frac{d \ln(\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle)}{d \ln(N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec})} \approx \frac{\Delta p_{\rm T}/\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle}{\Delta N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec}/\langle N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec} \rangle}$$

- > ATLAS: slope of this rise depends on the  $p_T$ -range of the particles.
- Models without hydro evolution (or mechanisms to relate initial entropy densities to number of particles) fail to describe this UCC slope.

#### Caveat: Dependence of UCC Slope of $\langle [p_T] \rangle$ on Evolution Dynamics 13

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$c_s^2(T_{\rm eff}) \propto \frac{d \ln(\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle)}{d \ln(N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec})} \approx \frac{\Delta p_{\rm T}/\langle [p_{\rm T}] \rangle}{\Delta N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec}/\langle N_{\rm ch}^{\rm rec} \rangle}$$

- > ATLAS: slope of this rise depends on the  $p_T$ -range of the particles.
- Models without hydro evolution (or mechanisms to relate initial entropy densities to number of particles) fail to describe this UCC slope.

Slope for both  $p_T$ -ranges well described by MUSIC using  $c_S^2 \approx 0.23$ , corresponding to a  $T_{eff} \approx 222$  MeV.

## Conclusion

- > Using precise measurement of  $[p_T]$  cumulants in heavy-ion collisions with ATLAS, we show:
- 1.  $[p_T]$  cumulants provide novel experimental handle to disentangle and constrain: Geometrical Fluctuations (Initial state overlap Size) Intrinsic fluctuations (Other non-geometrical sources)
- 2. Slope of  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$  vs  $N_{ch}$  in UCC provides direct constraint on speed of sound of QGP.

## Outlook I: Effect of Decorrelation on extracted $c_S^2$

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\succ$  Extracted  $c_S^2$  differs between event-classification based on rapidity selection.
- Might be due to decorrelation of radial flow.
- > Hydro model calculation: Radial flow displays decorrelation, just like flow decorrelations, Better reflects longitudinal evolution of energy deposition in  $\eta$ .

#### Outlook I: Effect of System Size

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

> Smaller System  $\Rightarrow$  Larger Smearing in Nch  $\Rightarrow$  UCC behavior from Geometrical suppression milder

#### Outlook I: Effect of System Size

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

> Smaller System  $\Rightarrow$  Larger Smearing in Nch  $\Rightarrow$  UCC behavior from Geometrical suppression milder

Similar analysis in smaller systems would test the limits on experimental disentanglement of Geometrical and Intrinsic components.

# Prospects to study $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ evolution of Geometrical and Intrinsic components of $[p_T]$ fluctuations at STAR?

And many more.....

Exciting times ahead with using this novel approach to understand initial state fluctuations better!!

## Thank You..

## Backup

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

The value of  $c_s^2$  extracted by CMS is consistent with Lattice QCD calculations at an effective temperature of about 220 MeV with small systematic error.

• UCC measurement of  $\langle [p_T] \rangle$  provides direct information on  $c_s^2$  of QGP.

## Caveat: Dependence of extracted $c_s^2$ on Event-Class

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

> ALICE: The extracted  $c_s^2$  depends on  $\eta$  selection of particles and event-class.  $c_s^2$  for  $E_T$  based measurements larger

- Particle production in mid-rapidity differs from those in forward-rapidity ⇒ Centrality Fluctuations
- In addition, measured  $[p_T]$  also expected to have decorrelation effects.