Spinning Light: Searching for Axion Dark Matter with Magnetic Haloscopes

Asher Berlin, Alex Millar, Tanner Trickle and Kevin Zhou arXiv:2312.11601

Outline

- Axion-electron interactions
- Mechanical Forces
- Absorption
- Electric Dipole Moments
- Magnetic Haloscopes

- Solution to the Strong CP problem: make θ a dynamical field so it can minimise the energy and send θ to zero
- Need a new anomalous U(1) chiral symmetry (Peccei-Quinn), which is broken at high temperature $-f_a$ (around 10¹² GeV)

Axions

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{stand mod }+ \text{ axion }} = \dots + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a$
 $+ \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{a(x)}{f_a} G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}$

Axions

 $\it a$

 $\Theta = 0$

- The "axion" is the angular degree of freedom: goldstone mode!
- At the QCD scale the potential tilts as the axion acquires a mass – axion rolls down to a CP conserving minimum
- Can be produced by misalignment or topological defects

Axion DM: Scenario 1

- Scenario 1: PQ broken after inflation
- \cdot θ_i has random values in every casual region, with the dark matter density determined by the average
- Topological defects such as strings and domain walls exist in the early universe

- Scenario 2: PQ broken before inflation
- \cdot θ_i has a single random value which determines the dark matter density
- No topological defects

Axion DM: Scenario 2

Vacuum Misalignment Decay of topological defects

Axion Production Mechanisms

Vacuum Misalignment Decay of topological defects

Axion Production Mechanisms

arXiv:1809.09241

How Do You Find a Wave?

- Can't just look for scatterings
- Exploit the coherence of the field to increase the signal
- Analogue: finding the right radio station
- Currently in an experimental boom: lots of new ideas and experiments

10

• Lots of details depend on the model but we will only talk about two interactions

Magnetic Field Axion Photon MMM

Axion Interactions

Axion Interactions

- Lots of details depend on the model but we will only focus on two interactions
	- Coupling to matter (mostly spin)

12

Coupling to electromagnetism

• Need to be very careful and self consistent, depending on which Lagrangian one

Non-relativistic Hamiltonian

- starts with there can be non-trivial operator redefinitions
- Lowest order terms

13

$$
H \supset -g_{af} (\nabla a) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{g_{af}}{m_f} \dot{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} ,
$$

Wind

$$
\boldsymbol{\pi} \equiv \mathbf{p} - q_f \mathbf{A}
$$

 $\overline{}$

Axion-Induced Torques

- Most well known effect of axion-fermion couplings
- Acts on spins similarly to a B-field

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \langle 2 \mu_f \, \mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{B} + \boxed{2g_{af} \, \mathbf{S} \times (\nabla a + \dot{a} \, \mathbf{v})} \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}} = (g_{af} / \mu_f) \, (\nabla a + \dot{a} \, \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle)
$$

- Most exploited fermion coupling
- Can use nuclear magnetic resonance techniques
- Includes CASPER WIND and ferromagnet haloscopes like QUAX
- Tends to be most important for low axion masses

Axion-Induced Forces

- How does the axio-electric term act on the electron?
- Need to generalize the Lorentz force law

$$
\mathbf{F} \equiv m_f \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} \simeq q \mathbf{E} + q (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \mu_f (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) - g_{af} \frac{d}{dt} (\dot{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma})
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{E}_{\text{eff}} \simeq -(g_{af}/q) \frac{d}{dt} (\dot{a} \boldsymbol{\sigma})
$$

16

Axion-Induced Forces

- This looks like an E-field, but it couples to spin rather than to charge • Spin polarized case not well studied in the literature!
-

17

Mechanical Forces

- Axio-electric term accelerates electrons
- What about bulk motion?
- Can use mechanical detectors like torsion balances to search for accelerations of spinpolarised materials
- Doesn't seem to be competitive

$$
\Delta a_{af} \simeq \frac{g_{af} \,\omega_{\rm sig}\,\sqrt{\rho_{\rm DM}}}{m_n}\,\frac{2 f_s}{A}
$$

arXiv:1512.06165

Mechanical Forces

19

Absorption

- More generally one can consider the absorption of an axion
- What if the system is polarized or magnetic?
- Can solve for the total losses of the axion field from the EOM
- Imaginary part of ω gives the energy lost by the axion
- Only comes from medium losses

$$
(\partial^{2} + m_{a}^{2}) a = -g_{ae} (\partial_{t} j_{\sigma} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\sigma})
$$

$$
\partial_{t} E_{\text{eff}} + (\varepsilon_{\sigma e} - 1) \partial_{t} \langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} \rangle
$$

Axio-electric Wind

$$
(\partial^2 + m_a^2) a = -g_{ae} (\partial_t j_\sigma + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}_\sigma)
$$

$$
e j_\sigma = (\varepsilon - 1) \partial_t E_{\text{eff}} + (\varepsilon_{\sigma e} - 1) \partial_t \langle \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}} \rangle
$$

Also-electric Wind

Alex Mi

 $R \simeq \frac{g_{ae}^2 m_a^2}{e^2} \; \frac{\rho_{\text{\tiny{DM}}}}{\rho_{\text{det}}} \times \begin{cases} 3 \, \text{Im}\left[\, \varepsilon(m_a)\,\right] & \text{(unpolarized target)} \[0.1cm] \text{Im}\left[\frac{-1}{\varepsilon(m_a)}\right] & \text{(polarized target)} \; , \end{cases}$

Absorption: Axio-Electric

- Polarized targets haven't been considered before!
- Two advantages
- Can spin polarize a system to remove background
- Absorption higher on resonances

Absorption: Wind

- Axion absorption onto magnons is not new (arXiv:2005.10256)
- Only been done from first principles calculations
- More generally one can just consider an arbitrary magnetized medium
- Magnetic equivalent of the "energy loss function"

$$
R\simeq \left(\frac{g_{ae}\,v_{\rm DM}}{\mu_B}\right)^2\,\frac{\rho_{\rm DM}}{\rho_{\rm det}}\,\,\text{Im}\!\left[\frac{-1}{\mu}\right]\,,
$$

• Anything with μ close to zero may be an interesting detector!

22

Quasiparticle Haloscopes

- Resonances in epsilon have been exploited in the photon coupling for EM readout
- Plasma haloscopes, TOORAD, phonon-polaritons…
- Im[$-i/\epsilon$] and Im[$-i/\mu$] dependence should allow for similar devices
- I.e., spin polarized plasma haloscopes or QUAX

23

Spurious EDMs

• Often the axion induced electronic EDM is overestimated (or assumed constant).

-
- You can do a field redefinition to get

$$
\mathscr{L} \supset -2 \, m_f \, g_{af} \, a \, \overline{\Psi} i \gamma^5 \Psi.
$$
\n• With non-relativistic Hamiltonian

\n
$$
H_{\text{alt}} \simeq \frac{\pi^2}{2m_f} + q_f \, \phi - \frac{q_f}{2m_f} \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - g_{af} \left(\nabla a \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{g_{af}}{4m_f} \left\{ \dot{a}, \pi \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \right\} + \frac{q_f \, g_{af}}{2m_f} \, a \, \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L} \supset -2 m_f g_{af} a \overline{\Psi} i \gamma^5 \Psi.
$$

Lambda
lambtonian

$$
\int_{n_f}^{f} \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - g_{af} (\nabla a) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \frac{g_{af}}{4 m_f} {\{\dot{a}, \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}\}} + \frac{q_f g_{af}}{2 m_f} a \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}
$$

$$
=\mathbf{x}+\left(d/q\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}
$$

Spurius EDMs

- But axion is derivatively coupled: can't have a constant EDM
- Actually the field redefinitions to get the non-relativistic Hamiltonian also redefine the position operator shifting the COM

$$
\mathbf{x}_q = \mathbf{x}, \qquad \mathbf{x}'_q
$$

- Doesn't reappear at higher order (unlike Schiff's theorem)
- Need to be very careful with non-relativistic derivations
- Actual EDMs are suppressed by $(m_a/m_e)^2$, see arXiv:1312.6667

25

Electromagnetic Effects

- Effective E-field causes charges to move: generates a polarization!
- ^Effective B-field causes spins to torque: generates magnetization!

$$
\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 + \mathbf{P}_a = (\varepsilon - 1) \mathbf{E} + (\varepsilon_{\sigma e} - 1) \mathbf{E}_{\text{eff}}
$$

$$
\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{M}_a = (1 - \mu^{-1}) \mathbf{B} + (1 - \mu^{-1}) \mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}.
$$

$$
\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 + \mathbf{P}_a = (\varepsilon - 1) \mathbf{E} + (\varepsilon_{\sigma e} - 1) \mathbf{E}_{\text{eff}}
$$

$$
\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0 + \mathbf{M}_a = (1 - \mu^{-1}) \mathbf{B} + (1 - \mu^{-1}) \mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}.
$$

- ^Effective E-field requires a spin polarised sample (where both epsilons are almost equal)
- ^Effective magnetization requires magnetic materials

26

 $\big(\partial_t \mathbf{E}_{\text{eff}} + \nabla \times \big((1-\mu^{-1}) \, \mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}\big) \big)$

 $n^2\,\partial_t^2{\bf E}=-\mu\,\partial_t{\bf J}_{a}\;,$

Axion Induced Currents

• New currents to source Maxwell equations

$$
\mathbf{J}_a = \mathbf{J}_a^P + \mathbf{J}_a^M = (\varepsilon_{\sigma e} - 1)
$$

- $\varepsilon_{\sigma e}$ is spin version of dielectric constant *εσe*
- Generates a inhomogeneous wave equation

$$
\nabla \times \nabla \times {\bf E} + \imath
$$

Example: QUAX

- Small balls of YIG generate currents which ring up a cavity
- Hasn't been analyzed in the language of currents
- YIG has high Q but very hard to get large samples
- Most of the cavity is empty
- Requires near perfect samples
- What about other geometries or materials?

28

arXiv:2001.08940

$$
\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \mathbf{E}) \simeq \rho_f ,
$$

\n
$$
\nabla \times (\mathbf{B}/\mu) - \epsilon \dot{\mathbf{E}} \simeq \mathbf{J}_f + g_{a\gamma} \mathbf{B}_e \dot{a} ,
$$

\n
$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 ,
$$

\n
$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \dot{\mathbf{B}} = 0 ,
$$

Axion-Electrodynamics

29

- Easiest to just think of the axion as modifying Maxwell equations
- External B-field B_e induces small effective current \mathbf{B}_{e}
- Use the coherence to resonantly excite E-fields
- Induced E-fields depend on the medium

Looks like a current!

Dish Antenna

- E_a depends on the medium, so changing media causes a discontinuity (arXiv:1212.2970).
- EM won't tolerate discontinuities in the parallel E and H fields
- Regular EM waves are emitted to compensate
- No resonance!
- Completely broadband response

Dielectric Haloscopes

• Introduce a series of dielectric layers

• Boundary radiation emitted from each slab

31

Magnetic FieldPhoton

Dielectric Haloscopes

- Idea from the photon coupling (Caldwell, Dvali, Majorovits, AM, Raffelt, Redondo, Reimann, Simon, Steffen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118 (2017))
- Arrange layers for constructive interference
- Tune frequencies by controlling disk spacings
- Many disks = strong signals

- Two versions being pursued: movable disks, GHz version (MADMAX, DALI)
- Thin film optical version (MuDHI, LAMPOST)

Dielectric Haloscopes

Stefan Knirck

$$
\mathbf{E} = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_{\sigma e}}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{E}_{\text{eff}}
$$

Case One: Axio-Electric

• The effective E-field moves charges which generate a "real" E-field

- Can be discontinuous at boundaries!
- Details depend on how spin polarized the materials are

34

Case One: Axio-Electric

- Spin polarized slab emits propagating radiation
- $g_{ae} \leftrightarrow g_{a\gamma\gamma} (e B_0/m_a^2)$
- Can directly map from the photon case • Tends to be best for optical frequencies

35

Spins

- No bulk currents! $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}} \propto \nabla \times (\nabla a/\mu)$
- Discontinuity in μ leads to boundary currents
- Doesn't directly map onto the photon coupling
- Better at lower frequencies

$$
\omega_M \equiv \sqrt{\omega_0 \left(2 \mu_B \, M_0 + \omega_0\right)}
$$

- Full behavior needs a dedicated analysis
- Simple estimate extrapolated from N transparent slabs
- High frequency μ needs an applied B-field (Landau-Liftshitz-Gilbert equation)

$$
1-\mu^{-1}=-\frac{2\mu_B\,M_0\,\omega_0}{\omega^2-\omega_M^2+i\,\omega\,\omega_M/Q_M}
$$

$$
\omega_0 \equiv 2\mu_B \left(H_0 + \beta M_0 \right) ,
$$

Case Two: Wind

37

- Can use larger size, lower Q materials than NMR
- Ferrites ideal!
- Magnon resonance tunable with B-field!
- Uses a solenoidal magnet
- Doesn't need large and high field at the same time

Magnetic Haloscope

• Introduce a series of magnetic layers

• Boundary radiation emitted from each slab

Projections

- Axio-electric is easy: recast a high frequency haloscope like MuDHI or LAMPOST • Axion wind is better at lower frequencies
-
- For the wind term we assume a MADMAX-like setup ignoring O(1) factors and daily modulation

$$
\text{SNR} \sim \sqrt{\frac{Q_a}{Q_M}} \frac{t_e}{m_a} \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}} A}{T_n} N^{3/2} \left(\frac{g_{ae} v_{\text{DM}} \eta}{\mu_B} \right)^2
$$

$$
\eta = \left| \frac{1 - \mu^{-1}}{1 + i \sqrt{\varepsilon / \mu} \cot \left(n \, m_a \, d/2 \right)} \right|
$$

$$
\sqrt{\frac{Q_a}{Q_M}} \frac{t_e}{m_a} \frac{\rho_{\text{DM}} A}{T_n} N^{3/2} \left(\frac{g_{ae} v_{\text{DM}} \eta}{\mu_B} \right)^2
$$

$$
\eta = \left| \frac{1 - \mu^{-1}}{1 + i \sqrt{\varepsilon / \mu} \cot(n m_a \, d/2)} \right|
$$

40

Sensitivity

Conclusions

- Axion-fermion couplings still have lots to explore
- Absorption can just be related to ϵ and μ
- The language of currents allows for much more general experimental designs
- Need to be careful! Lots of spurious effects
- Magnetized dielectric haloscopes have interesting new phenomenology to explore

42

- The Strong force should violate time reversal symmetry!
- Governed by an angle *θ*
- In principle can be $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$
- Should give a large electric dipole moment!
- Limit from neutron EDM is $\theta \lesssim 10^{-10}$

• θ =0 minimizes the vacuum energy, but θ is not a dynamical term

44

- Coherent oscillations persist as dark matter
- Much lighter than wimps: $-\mu$ eV
- Acts like a classical wave!
- Looking for dark matter is like tuning a radio to find the right station (axion mass)
- Lots of new experiment ideas!

Axion Dark Matter

Artwork by Sandbox Studio in Symmetry Magazine

