



ANALYSIS OF AN ANCIENT ARTEFACT OF VI-VII CENTURY AD WITH X-RAY FLUORESCENCE

Ramadan FIRANJ¹, Fatos YLLI¹, Brikena SHKODRA², Lotar KURTI¹, Frederik STAMATI²,

¹ University of Tirana, The Institute of Applied Nuclear Physics, Department of Analytical Instrumental Methods, Tirana, Albania ² The Institute of Archeology, The Academy of Sciences of Albania, Tirana, Albania

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Characteristic X-ray emission and other effects
- Energy Dispersive X-ray detection
- Characteristic spectra
- Qualitative analysis
- Quantitative analysis
- Full Fundamental Parameter Method
- Results
- Discussions

Introduction



Application of XRF spectrometry in Cultural Heritage



- Non-Destructive
- Sample preparation prior to study is not necessary



- Works perfectly in room condition (air atmosphere) Does not change the nature of the sample during the analysis Short measurement time
- Portable Instruments



(. .

low Z elements are very difficult to be detected (Z<13, AI)





Fig. 11.3 Photoelectric ionization can be followed by either radiative relaxation, causing the emission of characteristic fluorescent X-rays or non-radiative relaxation, involving the emission of Auger electrons.

Characteristic X-ray emission and other effects

Characteristic X-ray fluorescence Auger electrons





Energy Dispersive X-ray detection



- The X-ray detection is carried out using a silicon drift detector (X-Flash 1000B), which provides a resolution of 146 eV FWHM at 10 kcps.
- This is coupled with a digital signal processor for accurate processing of the collected data.

Detector Resolution

 Date/Time:
 01/10/2024 21:37:39

 Channel count:
 4096

 Energy absolute:
 -1.2383 keV

 Energy linear:
 0.012848 keV/ch

 FWHM Mn-K:
 147.31 eV

 Fano factor:
 0.11



Characteristic spectra



Qualitative analysis





- We first conduct the qualitative analysis of the artefact samples using ARTAX and AXIL software.
- Qualitative analysis using XRF spectrometry gives insights on elemental compounds of materials used in: Pictures, frescoes, miniatures, pigments, artefacts.

Qualitative analysis



- The artifact is recovered from the Acropolis site in the city of Durrës. It represents an earring that was discovered by restoration specialists and archaeologists in an ancient grave.
- Social and economic situation of Dyrrhachium at those times is presented as follows; as part of the Byzantine Empire, the Illyrian-Arbërian lands faced the barbarian invasions. A relative calm was created that lasted until the first decade of the 6 century. This affected the preservation of the compactness of the urban and rural population (Qerimi, 2024). In order to date archaeological findings layer by layer, a great interest has arisen in fortifications and identification of the chronological periods of their construction, which started from the XIX century and has continued without interruption (Shehi, 2016).

Qualitative analysis



Quantitative Analysis



- The XRF instrument used for this study is the ARTAX µ-XRF spectrometer model 800 manufactured by Bruker.
- This device is equipped with a Rhodium (Rh) anode material and operates in direct excitation mode, ensuring precision in the detection process.
- During the measurements the generator was operated at 30 kV and 200µA, and the samples being measured for 50s with a dead time of 6%.

Quantitative Analysis

• The artefact was suspected to be devided on 9 pieces. The analysis was carried out at multiple points across the surface of the artifact.

- Specifically, we analyzed 3-4 points on each of the nine pieces that make up the earring. This thorough examination was essential to ensure that the results were consistent across the entire artifact and to verify whether the different pieces could be conclusively linked.
- For the quantitative analysis, we used the M-Quant software from Bruker, which relies on the fundamental parameter approach for accurate measurements. This method is recognized for its high reliability in determining precise concentrations of elements, particularly in historical artifacts where precision is critical. To ensure the accuracy of our measurements, we calibrated the XRF instrument using a brass standard from the IAEA proficiency test in 2013.
- Each measurement was further processed in the QXAS software provided by the IAEA, using the Full Fundamental Parameters (FFP) approach.

 $I_i \leftrightarrow C_i$

Hypothesis

- Determined angles in the sample's surface
- Collimated beam
- Microscopic cross-section and homogenized surface
- Monochromatic Excitation
- Infinite thickness sample compared with X-rays pinging depth
- No peak overlay, no peak artefacts
- Incidence angle = Take off angle

Full Fundamental Parameters Method

Full Fundamental Parameters Method

$$I_i \leftrightarrow C_i$$

$$I_i = C_i I_0$$

$$I_i = C_i I_0 P_i$$

$$P_i = (\mu_{ph}(E_0)(1 - \Gamma_k^{-1})) w_{k,i} f_i$$

Coeff of Photoelectric occurrence for the i-th element during the radiation with I_0

% of photo-effect occurrence for the K shell

Fluorescence yield of K shell for the i-th element

Relative intensity for K lines on the energy E_i

 P_i is the probability for the production of X-rays that

corresponds with the characteristic line of the *i*

element being excited from the incident radiation

Detector

φ

x-ray source

 $I_0(E_0)$

We are not done yet!



 $I_i = C_i I_0 P_i \varepsilon_i$

The Geometry constant of the system $I_i = C_i I_0 P_i \varepsilon_i G$



Axil X-ray Analysis Package

Quantitative analysis

Full Fundamental Parameters

- * Set-up instrumental parameters
- Specify standard/sample information Calculations of Geometry constants/Analysis of unknown s.

Full Fundamental Parameters

Average Instrumental Constant for fluorecence: 1.2500E-7 for coherent scattering: 0.0000for incoherent scattering: 0.0000

Corrections for the Matrix Effects

Attenuation coefficients

Enhancement coefficients

 $I_{i} = C_{i}I_{0}P_{i}\varepsilon_{i}G[\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{0}}^{*} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{i}}^{*}}]$ Attenuation coefficient of

the excitation caused from Anode (Incident radiation)

Attenuation coefficient of the excitation caused by the i-th element. (consider this for all elements toward each other)

Who is getting bored?

 I_i intensity of characteristic X radiation emitted from the atom of the element "i".

- I_0 incident radiation intensity
- P_i product of atomic factors
- $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}_{i}}$ detector efficiency coefficient at energy E_{i}
- ${m G}$ geometric efficiency coefficient
- C_i concentration of the i element

 μ_i photoelectric absorption coefficient of element "i" for X-ray radiation of energy E_0

- E_0 monochromatic excitation energy
- E_i characteristic emission energy

FFPM for metal alloys

To determine all these coefficients related to experimental conditions, we first measure a standard material with known concentrations and with a similar matrix as the sample.

$$T_{i} = C_{i}I_{0}P_{i}\varepsilon_{i}G\left[\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{0}}^{*} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{i}}^{*}}\right]$$

$$\frac{I_{i}}{I_{0}} = R_{i} = C_{i}\left[\frac{\mu_{i,E_{0}}^{*} + \mu_{i,E_{i}}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{0}}^{*} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{j}\mu_{j,E_{i}}^{*}}\right]$$

Results

This is a representation of AXIL quantitative analysis report designed for DOS systems.

ÉÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍ»		
2	FUND4			09-30	-2024	22:55:0	3	2		
ÈÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍ%		
ÉÍ	ÉTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT									
Q	Sample identity: NO_ID									
2	Spectru	m fittin	g data: C:\S	PECT\VATH	HI\KP	ASR\K2P2.ASR		2		
2	Instrument parameter data: C:\SPECT\BRONZ.FPC 2									
çääääääääääääääääääääääääääääääääääääää										
2	Instrum	ental id	entity: X-Ray	/ Tube				2		
2	Average	Average instrumental constant: 3.4028E-06						2		
<u>0</u>	Tube an	Tube anode: Rh					2			
<u>0</u>	Tube vo	ltage: 3	0. KV	Tube o	curre	ent: .200 mA	1	2		
Q	Measuri	ng time:	200. Sec.	Collin	nator	: No Collimat	or	2		
<u>0</u>	Filter	used: No	Filter	Atmos	phere	e: Air		2		
ÌÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍ1		
<u>0</u>		Rep	ort of Calcul	lated Con	ncent	rations		2		
<u>0</u>								2		
2	Sample [·]	thicknes	<pre>s: infinitely</pre>	/ thick				2		
2	Iterati	ons for	concentration	n: 5				2		
<u>0</u>	Pre-set	converg	ence: .10 %	Last (conve	ergence: .070	%	<u>0</u>		
ÇÄ		ÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄ	ÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄÄ	AAAAAAAA	4ÄÄÄÄ		АААААААААА	ιÄÄIJ		
<u>0</u>	Eleli	ne Const	ituent Conce	en.(elem	.)	Absorption	Enhancemen	nt ⁰		
ÇÄ		AAAAAAAA	AAAAAAAAAAAAA		AAAAA			ιÄÄIJ		
2	P -Ka	Р	22.26ñ	2.869	%	4.0173E-04	1.6523	2		
<u>0</u>	Cl-Ka	C1	2.07ñ	.347	%	6.1851E-04	1.6443	2		
<u>0</u>	Ar-Ka	Ar	1.99ñ	.158	%	8.0711E-04	1.6539	2		
<u>0</u>	K -Ka	K	7605.78ñ	733.339	ppm	9.4309E-04	1.6530	2		
2	Ca-Ka	Са	4.96ñ	.083	%	1.1751E-03	1.6524	2		
2	V -Ka	V	1422.61ñ	124.081	ppm	2.0207E-03	1.7036	2		
<u>0</u>	Cr-Ka	Cr	4447.63ñ	109.338	ppm	2.3695E-03	1.7306	2		
Q	Mn-Ka	Mn	250.06ñ	56.891	ppm	2.7221E-03	1.7783	Q		
2	Fe-Ka	Fe	2671.22ñ	70.515	ppm	3.1092E-03	1.8312	2		
2	Cu-Ka	Cu	61.16ñ	.077	%	4.0563E-03	1.0011	2		
Q	Zn-Ka	Zn	2630.84ñ	87.289	ppm	3.8646E-03	1.0011	2		
2	Rh-La	Rh	3.44ñ	.741	%	6.3700E-04	1.0448	2		
2	Sn-La	Sn	8042.99ñ	2193.543	ppm	9.1656E-04	1.0458	2		
<u>0</u>	Ba-La	Ba	6199.56ñ	695.660	ppm	1.4507E-03	1.0464	2		
Q	La-La	La	3179.21ñ	407.591	ppm	1.5883E-03	1.0478	2		
<u>0</u>	Er-La	En	3000.48ñ	296.056	ppm	3.3660E-03	1.0063	<u>0</u>		
2	W -La	W	289.52ñ	59.548	ppm	5.1065E-03	1.0009	2		
<u>0</u>	Pb-La	Pb	1520.06ñ	95.004	ppm	3.8870E-03	1.0004	<u>0</u>		
ÈÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍÍ	ÍÍ%		

Results

From the analysis, the pieces of the artefacts resulted to have this compounds:

	Elements	Concentration with Stand. dev		
	Ρ	9.5 % ± 2.554 %		
	Са	7.18 % ± 0.095 %		
	Fe	$5.12\% \pm 0.021\%$		
	Ni	587.88 ppm \pm 79.979 ppm		
	Cu	64.1 % ± 0.067 %		
	Zn	4032 ppm ± 99.202 ppm		
	Sn	$12.33\% \pm 0.303\%$		
	Pb	839 ppm ± 93.529 ppm		

Discussions

- The all elements mentioned are part of the composition of the Bronze metal alloys despite of Ca comes from the deposits of layers of soil on the artifact over the years.
- Our results indicate that all the pieces of the earring are indeed part of the same object. This conclusion is based on the fact that the elemental analysis revealed nearly identical chemical compositions across all the pieces. In particular, the ratios of copper (Cu) and tin (Sn), the two primary components of bronze, were consistent across the different parts of the earring. This uniformity in composition strongly supports the hypothesis that the separate pieces were originally part of a single, unified object.
- The only exception is sample nr.4 which seems to be from a different origins as the ratios of Cu/Sn are inversed.
- In conclusion, the use of X-ray fluorescence for both qualitative and quantitative analysis has allowed us to make significant progress in understanding the artifact's origins and structure. This research not only confirms that the earring fragments belong to the same object but also provides valuable insights for future studies on ancient artifacts in Albania.

References

- Afrim Hoti, E. M. (2008). The Early Byzantine Circular Forum in Dyrrachium (Durrës, Albania) in 2002 and 2004-2005: Recent Recording and Excavation. *The Annual of the British School at Athens*, 367-397.
- Hoti, A. (1996). Des données archéologiques sur le christianisme ancien à Dyrrachium (IVe-VIIe siècles). Iliria, 173-181.
- Howell G.M. Edwards, S. E. (2005). FT–Raman spectroscopic study of calcium-rich and magnesium-rich carbonate minerals. *Spectrochimica Acta*, 2273–2280.
- Meksi, A. (1983). Monuments médiévaux de culte et leurs racines dans la basse Antiquité. . Iliria, 217-223.
- Olta Çakaj, N. C. (2023). Preliminary study of two antique Illyrian helmets (V-IV B.C.) excavated in northwest and northeast of Albania. *Metallurgical and Materials Engineering*, 1-15.
- Olta Çakaj, T. D. (2016). STUDIMI ME μ-FRX, MO DHE MES-SDEX I NJË MEDALIONI ANTIK NGA DURRËSI. Buletini i Shkencave te Natyres (pp. 111-121). Tirana: Fakulteti i Shkencave te Natyres.
- Olta Çakaj, T. D. (2017). Archaeometallurgical study of medieval nails excavated in Durrës. *International Conference on Applied Sciences and Engineering 2017.* Tirana: Faculty of Mathematical and Physical Engineering.
- Olta Çakaj, T. D. (2017). RAST STUDIMI ME μ-XRF I DISA OBJEKTEVE ZBUKURIMI, VEGLA PUNE DHE FRAGMENTE OBJEKTESH ANTIKE TË ZBULUAR NË DURRËS. *Buletini i Shkencave të Natyrës* (pp. 85-94). Tirana : Botim i Fakultetit të Shkencave të Natyrës, Universiteti i Tiranës.
- Qerimi, M. (2024). THE CITY DYRRHACHIUM (DURRES) FROM ITS FOUNDATION TO THE 10 CENTURY. *Eminak Scientific Quarterly Journal*, 163-178.
- Rousseau, R. M. (2004). Some considerations on how to solve the Sherman equation in practice. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B Atomic Spectroscopy*, 1491–1502.
- Shehi, E. (2016). Rishikim mbi topografine e Durrësit antik në dritën e të dhënave të reja arkeologjiko-historike. Iliria XLI, 71-131.

Thank you!

Ramadan Firanj

XRF laboratory

Department of Analytical Instrumental Methods Institute of Applied Nuclear Physics University of Tirana ramadan.firanj@unitir.edu.al