

High Field Magnets

Nb₃Sn Conductors for HFM

Simon Hopkins, Jerome Fleiter, Thierry Boutboul

TE-HFM Workshop, CERN, 19th of September 2024



TE-HFM Workshop, Nb₃Sn conductors for HFM, T. Boutboul, 19/09/2024

Introduction

- One of the two main objectives for the HFM programme, as identified by the update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics, is to demonstrate Nb₃Sn magnet technology for large-scale use.
- In terms of conductor development, this includes:
 - 1. Overcoming the current limitations linked to stress/strain sensitivity and resulting performance degradation,
 - Pushing the Nb₃Sn performance to its ultimate limits, towards the 16 T/4.2 K target required for the FCC-hh dipoles (i.e. 1500 A/mm²) and
 - 3. Driving the industrialization of improved superconductors.
- Due to challenging needs in both conductor and coil performance, requiring serious technological breakthrough, the HFM programme is divided into two sub-programmes:
 - the 12 T value-engineered (VE) dipole and
 - the 14+T dipole.



Nb₃Sn Conductor: approach for 12 T dipole

- For the conductor of 12 T VE dipole sub-programme, the approach is:
 - Continue partnership with Bruker OST for R&D and in synergy with HL-LHC;
 - 2. Procure and characterize strands for HFM needs of both CERN and collaborators;
 - **3. Manufacture** and **characterize cables** for HFM needs of both CERN and collaborators;
 - 4. To pursue efforts to qualify additional suppliers on top of Bruker OST for the production at industrial scale of wires starting with MQXF-type requirements (i.e. non-Cu J_c ≥ 1000 A/mm², 16 T and 4.2 K, technical requirements to be potentially reviewed in due time). This is of crucial importance in order to mitigate risk and high cost of sole supplier.



Nb₃Sn Conductor: approach for 14+T dipole

- The non-Cu J_c target for 14+ T is at least 1500 A/mm² at 16 T/4.2 K: a salient breakthrough vs ~ 1100 A/mm² for current wire designs, e.g. 108/127 (MQXF).
- This target will not be achievable within 2-3 years, therefore there is a need to select conductor based on known designs.
- For the short term, existing wire/cable designs to be used for collaborators to develop 14+ T technology (e.g. CEA to use RRP 162/169 for R2D2 HF).
- The idea is to review different strand/cable designs and see if one could be more promising as 14+T wire for medium term. Designs RRP 162/169, 132/169, 150/169, 120/127 and 108/127 to be considered and tested for:
 - V-I stability measurements made on strands extracted from existing cables,
 - Virgin strands measured under compressive stress at UNIGE,
 - Heat treated/impregnated cable samples submitted to various levels of transverse stress and cable cross-sections examined for defects/broken sub-elements.
 - Combined study to allow selecting adequate strand/cable design.

HEM

In parallel, continue ongoing heat treatment optimization of BOST wires (e.g. to optimize balance of stability, J_c and RRR) and ongoing R&D efforts to achieve 1500 A/mm² at 16 T/4.2 K, e.g. by the Internal Oxidation process.



Progress update: needs of Nb₃Sn wire for HFM (1)

- Cabling machine of B103 to manufacture Rutherford cables for both CERN and collaborating institutes (CEA, CIEMAT, INFN, PSI) in coming months/years (more details on cables to be produced later on).
- Coil designs may evolve (e.g. CIEMAT) thus wire quantities as well. For 12 T, original estimates (based on MQXF cable for 12 T) were of ~15 km of cable needed, corresponding to about 600 km of wire. On this basis, on top of ~360 km of RRP 108/127 wire at longer term (2028), it was needed to order ASAP from BOST:
 - 1. 33 km of RRP 162/169, 1.1 mm,
 - 2. 41 km of RRP 60/91, 0.7 mm,
 - 3. 80 km of MQXF wire, RRP 108/127, 0.85 mm.
- However, intention of HFM Programme to align 12 T VE dipole with FalconD
- It is worthwhile to compare the wire/cable types used in each case (next slides):
 - RRP 162/169 at 1.0 mm (FalconD)
 - RRP 108/127 at 0.85 mm (MQXF)



Progress update: needs of Nb₃Sn wire for HFM (2)

- The ERMC-1 wire has a J_c higher than that of MQXF by up to 9 %, but poorer stability
 - J_c difference of ~2 % if heat treatment adjusted for improved stability
- In addition, it has a low Cu/non-Cu ratio of ~0.9 as compared to ~1.2
- Altogether, higher J_e by **up to ~27%**
- In terms of production maturity:
 - 21 tons of MQXF wire produced versus 2 tons for 162/169 wire
 - Production yield higher for MQXF wire
 - Recent production at BOST has shown degraded yield, I_c and RRR for 162/169, hence 40% higher cost (by weight!)



| | 108/127 | 162/169 |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Yield | 97 % | 90 % |
| Price per kg | Ref. | + 40 % |
| Mean piece length (m) | 2907 | 1386 (1.1 mm) 2243 (1.0 mm) |

Progress update: needs of Nb₃Sn wire for HFM (3)

- From **cable** point of view:
 - >50 km of MQXF cable produced at CERN vs
 ~0.7 km for FalconD.
 - Mean I_c degradation due to cabling < 3 % for MQXF, cf.
 5 % for FalconD (but limited statistics).

| Layout | <i>d</i> (mm) | Cable | I _c degradation (%) |
|---------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| 108/127 | 0.85 | MQXF | 2.6 ± 1.1 |
| | 4.0 | FalconD | 5.8 ± 0.8 |
| 162/169 | 1.0 | cf. eRMC | 4.3 ± 1.4 |
| | 1.1 | cf. R2D2 HF | 3.3 ± 1.4 |

- Therefore, MQXF wire and cable designs appear to be more standard and reproducible as compared to FalconD wire (still under development, riskier choice).
- Intensive discussions held with HFM PL and TE-MSC GL and GL deputy.



Progress update: needs of Nb₃Sn wire for HFM (4)

• It was agreed to order from BOST:

| Description | Quantity [km] | Quantity [kg] | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| 1.1 mm 162/169 | 74 | 605 | |
| 0.7 mm 60/91 | 110 | 397 | |
| 1 mm 150/169 | 20 | 136 | |
| 1.1 mm 108/127 | 2 | 17 | |
| 1.0 mm 108/127 | 2 | 14 | |
| 0.85 mm 180/217 scale-up | non-recurring charges and one restack (up to 60 kg) | | |

- This provides strategical reserve for 60/91 (0.7 mm) and ~2 km margin for 162/169 (1.1 mm).
- 20 km of 150/169 (1 mm) ordered for cabling trials and backup option to 162/169.
 162/169 and 150/169 to have an option to be drawn down to 1 mm as well.
 108/127 wire (1/1.1 mm) to be ordered for cabling trials as another backup option.
- In case both 162/169 and 150/169 options would fail, we have the possibility to use part of 108/127 (BOST amendment #7) for HFM.

Progress update: needs of Nb₃Sn wire for HFM (5)

- This order provides some agility with a few backup options.
- Final offer expected from BOST imminently.
- Last but not least, due to very high tension in Nb market, lead-time of ~2 years, i.e. delivery expected Q4 2026.
- Therefore, **important** to give **priorities** between **various** HFM project **needs** (more details to be provided later on).



Progress update: Nb₃Sn cabling for HFM (1)

12 T VE dipole (RRP 108/127, 0.85 mm):

• 9 ULs of 200 m each already manufactured – but is it *still relevant*?

| | Deliver | Delivery dates | | | | |
|---------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Bare conductor for insulationActual complex date | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 70 m + 1 unit | Feb 24 | Dec-23 | | | | |
| 1 unit | Feb 24 | Dec-23 | | | | |
| 2 units | Jun-24 | Dec-23, Apr-24 | | | | |
| 2 units | Jun-24 | Apr-24 | | | | |
| 2 units | Jan-25 | May-24 | | | | |
| 2 units | Jan-25 1 unit in Ma | | | | | |
| 4 units | March 25 | June 25 | | | | |

Associated development

| winding coil trials |
|---------------------|
| Practise coil |
| First of series AP |
| Mirror AP |
| Single AP Model 1 |
| Single AP Model 2 |
| Double AP Model 3 |



Progress update: Nb₃Sn cabling for HFM (2)

FalconD (RRP 162/169, 1.0 mm):

- Originally, FalconD cables only for INFN Genoa but now interest for CERN as well (see tables below).
- Wire for the last two units (140 m each) available only end of 2026.

| | Bare conductor <u>for</u> <u>insulation</u> | Actual completion date |
|----------------|--|------------------------|
| Dummy Cu cable | done acc. to INFN | Sep-22 |
| 2 units + 50 m | end April 24 | Apr-24 |
| 2 units | end April 24 | Apr-24 |
| 2 units | Oct-24 | On time |
| 1 unit | Oct-24 | On time |

Delivery dates (INFN)



Delivery dates (CERN)

| | Bare conductor <u>for</u> <u>insulation</u> | Actual completion date | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Dummy Cu cable | Mar-25 | On time | | | |
| 2 units (practise) | Sep-25 | On time | | | |
| 3 units | Jan-26 | On time | | | |
| 2 unite | lup 26 | Strand available end | | | |
| 2 units | Juli-26 | 01 2026 | | | |



Progress update: Nb₃Sn cabling for HFM (3)

RRP 162/169, 1.1 mm:

Cable of RRP 162/169 1.1 mm wire is needed for:

- CEA (R2D2, FD, F2D2) 200 m of R2D2 HF cable produced
 - No further need before 2nd half of 2026, TBC
- PSI (14 T stress managed) 170 m of R2D2 HF cable produced
 - No further need before 2nd half of 2026, TBC
- CIEMAT (14 T common coil) needs not yet finalised, ~15 km of strand expected around 2nd half of 2026
 - Could wait for new strand delivery Q4 2026, TBC

HFM

- CERN (14 T block) planning 8 × 180 m ULs (1440 m) of 44-strand cable, to be cabled at LBNL, corresponding to ~70 km of strand.
 - The idea is to get 4 ULs of 180 m cabled at LBNL in Q1 2025 (~35 km of wire). This is defined as the highest priority and it will deplete completely the stock of RRP 162/169 (1.1 mm) before the new strand delivery (Q4 2026).







Progress update: Nb₃Sn wire R&D (1)

1. <u>R&D at BOST</u>

- The new strand order from BOST includes an R&D billet with more sub-elements (SEs), RRP 180/217, to be produced in a larger billet (~60 kg versus ~45 kg).
- As well as a step towards up-scaling, increasing billet mass/diameter should facilitate assembly of higher count of SEs into the re-stack billet, for strand with smaller filament diameter.
- 2. KEK/CERN collaboration
- A new collaboration agreement signed on 19/08/24 with KEK for the development of advanced Nb₃Sn wires for high-field magnets. The KoM will take place on 29-30 October 2024 in Japan.
- The scope is:

HEM

- a. To qualify a new wire supplier (JASTEC) for wire performance equivalent to that of MQXF wire (i.e. $J_c \ge 1100 \text{ A/mm}^2$, 16 T and 4.2 K),
- b. Reinforcement of wire to increase mechanical strength and improve electromechanical characteristics of wire, and
- c. To further enhance J_c performance towards 1500 A/mm², 16 T/4.2 K.

Progress update: Nb₃Sn wire R&D (2)

- 3. <u>R&D at UNIGE (Internal Oxidation)</u>
- Studies have:

HFM

High Field Magnets

- demonstrated J_c enhancement from internal oxidation, with Zr and Hf alloying and alternative SnO₂ oxygen source locations
- distinguished the pinning contributions of precipitates and grain refinement
- Two billets of sub-elements with alternative oxygen source layouts are currently being processed. Extrusion, drawing and restack attempts are planned to be completed by end of the year.
- Based on results, an oxygen source layout will be selected and the optimized sub-element will be designed and produced in 2025.



Progress update: 14+ T conductor selection

- V-I stability measurements on strands extracted from various strand/cable designs :
 - First measurements (1 heat treatment) completed for each wire layout
 - Second heat treatment cycle early in 2025, with additional tests to follow by end 2025
- UNIGE critical current measurements under transverse compressive stress on virgin strands on-going and expected to be completed by early 2025.
- **CERN** heat treated and impregnated **cable** samples submitted to transverse stress and cable cross-sections examined for cracks:
 - Tooling in production, due in 2025
 - Preparatory studies starting now:
 - Method development for image analysis
 - Microstructure comparison of reacted wires (without stress) vs. heat treatment
 - Initial results by end 2025.





Conclusions

- The main tasks of the WP1.1 are to procure, cable and characterize the Nb₃Sn strand for both CERN and Collaborators, while further developing the Nb₃Sn wire towards the challenging performance targets of FCC.
- There is absolutely <u>no problem</u> with the Rutherford cabling capacity of the cabling line of B103.
- The issue is about the current stock of HFM strand (in particular RRP 162/169 and 60/91) and the long lead-time (~ 2 years) for wire procurement due to global Nb market under stringent tension.
- An HFM strand order of ~200 km of wire will be soon placed to BOST to cope with the needs of HFM. This order will provide some flexibility on the design of the 1.1 mm strand.
- It is very important to communicate ASAP any change in the program or any new need for cable lengths due to mentioned long lead-time.



Spare Slides



TE-HFM Workshop, Nb₃Sn conductors for HFM, T. Boutboul, 19/09/2024

Spare slide: RRP® Strand Characteristics

- BOST (NJ, USA) can produce Nb₃Sn wire by RRP[®] (Restacked Rod Process) with different designs.
- Various designs of interest are given, showing the various important parameters, in the following table.

| | Comparisons | | | | HFM | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| | MQXF | ERMC#101 | FRESCA 2 | ERMC#102 | ERMC-1 | DEM-1.1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| <i>d</i> (mm) | 0.85 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | |
| Layout | 108/127 | 120/127 | 132/169 | 150/169 | 162/169 | 162/169 | |
| <i>d_s</i> (μm) | 54 | 64 | 58 | 57 | 58 | 64 | |
| Cu/non-Cu | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 1.06 ± 0.1 | 1.25 ± 0.1 | 1.08 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | | |
| Nb:Sn | 3.6 (red. Sn) | M-grade | 3.4 (std. Sn) | 3.6 (red. Sn) | 3.4 (std. Sn) | | |
| Ref. HT | 665 °C 50 h | 665 °C 50 h | 650 °C 50 h | 665 °C 50 h | 650 °C 50 h | 665 °C 50 h | |



Spare slide: Wire Characteristics and Specification

• The wire designs and the technical specification, for both RRP 108/127 (MQXFB) and RRP 162/169 (FalconD), can be found in the tables below.

| | | MQXF | FalconD |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | | |
| <i>d</i> (mm) | | 0.850 ± 0.003 | 1.000 ± 0.003 |
| Layout | | 108/127 | 162/169 |
| <i>d</i> s (µm) | | < 55 | < 60 |
| Cu/non-Cu | I | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.2 |
| Nb:Sn | | 3.6 (red. Sn) | 3.4 (std. Sn) |
| Heat treat. | | 665 °C 50 h | 650 °C 50 h |
| <i>I</i> _c (A) | 4.22 K, 12.0 T | > 590 | |
| | 4.22 K, 15.0 T | > 331 | > 508 |
| | 4.22 K, 16.0 T | | > 395 |
| <i>n</i> -value | | > 30 | > 30 |
| RRR | Round | > 150 | > 150 |
| | Rolled | > 100 | > 100 |



Spare slide: Wire performance variability

- For RRP **108/127** wire, J_c is stable over production
- For RRP 162/169, J_c was up to 9% higher than 108/127 early in production (CERN procurement), but over time, a decrease in J_c of several % was observed at BOST (see graph at bottom right):



No explanation was found so far



Spare slide: Magneto-thermal stability 108/127 vs. 162/169 at 1 mm





• At 1.0 mm diameter:

HFM

High Field Magnets

- 108/127 (Cu/non-Cu ~1.2):
 - no stability limitation at 4.3 K (similar to 0.85 mm)
 - at 1.9 K, limitations at 9 T and below only, quench current > 1600 A
- 162/169 (Cu/non-Cu ~0.9):
 - premature quenches significantly below I_c
 - significant variability between samples, see full reports:
 - 1.0 mm FalconD: <u>EDMS 3064867</u>, 1.1 mm R2D2: <u>EDMS 3064865</u>

Spare slide: Magneto-thermal stability 162/169 1.0 mm with heat treatment optimisation



- Significant variability between samples (coloured bands), but...
- Reducing heat treatment duration significantly improves stability at the expensive of ~5 % in I_c

| | $I_c (B_p=12)$ | 2.5 T, 4.3 K) | | RRR |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----|----------|
| | $I_c(A) \qquad \Delta(\%)$ | | RRR | Δ (%) |
| 665 °C 50 h | 1243 | + 3.5 % | 108 | - 33.8 % |
| 650 °C 50 h | 1189 | (ref.) | 205 | (ref.) |
| 650 °C 30 h | 1136 | - 5.1 % | 324 | + 21.4 % |

Spare slide: Cable comparison (1)

- Both MQXF and FalconD cables are made of 40 strands (see more details in the table below).
- Between 2019 and 2024, CERN fabricated 53 MQXFB series ULs for HL-LHC use (~760 m each); this represents altogether more than 40 km.
- This needs to be compared to FalconD for which only 7 cable lengths have been produced for HFM use: three short lengths (10, 30 and 60 m) and 4 lengths of 150 m each), altogether around 700 m only.

| Туре | Strands × diameter (mm) | Width (mm) | Mid-thickness (mm) | Pitch (mm) | Keystone | Core |
|----------|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|----------|---------------------------|
| MQXF | 40 × 0.85 | 18.15 | 1.525 | 109 | 0.4 ° | 1.4404 SS, 12×0.025 mm |
| FRESCA 2 | 40 × 1.0 | 20.95 | 1.82 | 120 | None | None |
| ERMC | 40 × 1.0 | 20.95 | 1.82 | 120 | None | 1.4404 SS, |
| FalconD | 40 × 1.0 | 20.95 | 1.800 | 110-120 | 0.5 ° | 14×0.025 mm |
| R2D2 HF | 21 × 1.1 | 12.579 | 1.969 | 84 | None | None |

Spare slide: Cable comparison (2)

- All cable geometrical parameters for the 53 MQXF cable lengths produced to date were well within specification and under tight control.
- For FalconD, geometrical parameters well within specification but quite low statistics.
- For MQXFB, moderate *I_c* degradation at 4.2 K and 12 T due to cabling in 1.3-3.7% range, well below the 5% specification limit. Wires extracted from MQXFB cables show very high RRR values: 291 as averaged over 48 series ULs (minimum value measured: 244).
- For FalconD, much less statistics (single cable so far tested), typical I_c degradation of 5-7%, higher than for MQXFB cable and borderline, and RRR for extracted strand around 206 in average (lower as well than MQXFB).

Spare slide: HFM cables already produced

| Cable type | Description | Cable reference | Cable length [m] | Unit length | Production completed date |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| R2D2 HF | 21x1.1 mm (DEM1.1, RRP 162/169) | C02OC0442A | 200 | R2D2 coil v1+v2, HF | 16/05/2023 |
| R2D2 HF | 21x1.1 mm (DEM1.1, RRP 162/169) | C02OC0442B | 220 | Not known yet | 16/05/2023 |
| R2D2 LF | 34x0.7 mm (DEM0.7, RRP 60/91) | C03OC0448A | 410 | R2D2 coil v1+v2, LF | 27/06/2023 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0459A | 200 | UL1, Practise coil | 18/12/2023 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0459A | 200 | UL2, First of series AP 1/2 | 18/12/2023 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0459A | 100 | winding coil trials | 18/12/2023 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0459B | 104 | winding coil trials | 19/12/2023 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm, copper wire | C01UC0424A | 155 | Dummy copper cable | 28/09/2022 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm, copper wire | C01UC0424B | 100 | Dummy copper cable | 28/09/2022 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm (ERMC1.0, RRP 162/169) | C01OC0466E | 53 | Additional length | 15/04/2024 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm (ERMC1.0, RRP 162/169) | C01OC0466A | 152 | UL1 | 15/04/2024 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm (ERMC1.0, RRP 162/169) | C01OC0466B | 152 | UL2 | 15/04/2024 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm (ERMC1.0, RRP 162/169) | C01OC0466C | 152 | UL3 | 15/04/2024 |
| Falcon D | 40x1.0 mm (ERMC1.0, RRP 162/169) | C01OC0466D | 152 | UL4 | 15/04/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0467A | 200 | UL3, First of series AP 2/2 | 25/04/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0467A | 200 | UL4, Mirror AP 1/2 | 25/04/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0467A | 200 | UL5, Mirror AP 2/2 | 25/04/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0468A | 200 | Single AP Model 1 (1/2) | 06/05/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0468A | 200 | Single AP Model 1 (2/2) | 06/05/2024 |
| 12 T VE short | MQXF-type cable, 40x0.85 mm | C05OC0468A | 200 | Single AP Model 2 (1/2) | 06/05/2024 |

Spare slide: HFM strand current stock

| Strand type | Design | Diameter (mm) | Stock (km)* |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| ERMC-1 | 162/169 | 1.0 | 60.4 |
| DEM-1.1 | 162/169 | 1.1 | 36.1 |
| DEM-0.7 | 60/91 | 0.7 | 14.5 |
| ERMC-0.7 | 78/91 | 0.7 | 41.0 |

* on 18/09/2024, in piece lengths exceeding 150 m

