

Proposal to Collaboration Board

Investigate Possibility of a CB Chair

- N. Arnaud, CNRS, French IPPOG Representative
- S. Goldfarb, University of Melbourne, ATLAS IPPOG Representative

F. Ould-Saada, University of Oslo, Norway IPPOG Representative

CERN, 27 November 2024



History

- When MoU was endorsed, we considered existence of a separate CB Chair
- At the time it seemed like overkill as we were a small collaboration
- We have grown significantly since then

Advantage

- A CB Chair would organise CB meetings and votes
- Ensures true independence and oversight
 - Means to resolve issues
- Removes some of the burden from the Chairs
 - They currently act as Spokespersons and CB Chair
- This is the division in use by our experimental collaborations
 - Collaboration Board acts like a legislative body
 - Management acts like an executive body



Committee

- Volunteer CB representatives meet to investigate and consider implications
 - MoU modification or addendum?
 - Role definition for CB chair
 - Advantages / Disadvantages
- If agreement found, they write up a proposal and inform / iterate with full CB
- The goal is consensus

Vote

• If agreement, vote on proposal in a future CB

3 S. Goldfarb, CERN, 27 November 2024