## aN3LO PDFs for Run3 & YR5

### **Conveners:**

(EXP) Alessandra Cappati, Robin Hayes (TH) Stephen Jones, Alexander Huss

**Contact:** Ihc-higgs-ggf-convener@NOSPAMPLEASEcern.ch

## WG1 ggF: Current Tasks

### Run 3 Update (Now)

Goal: Update ggF Cross Section for Run 3

Timeline: End of Summer 2024

Location: <a href="https://github.com/bmistlbe/InclusiveHXSUpdate">https://github.com/bmistlbe/InclusiveHXSUpdate</a> (currently template)

N<sup>3</sup>LO QCD HTL Dulat, Lazopoulos, Mistlberger 18 NNLO QCD Quark Mass Corrections Czakon et al. 21, 23 Mixed QCD-EW Corrections Becchetti et al 20 PDF Uncertainties

### Yellow Report 5 (Next)

**Goal:** Update ggF Cross Section for Run 3 & HL-LHC + Boosted Higgs + ... **Timeline:** General Assembly 2025 **Location:** <u>https://scipost.org/SciPostPhysCommunityRep</u> (currently empty)

### Room for other topics of exp/th interest — please propose them!

## Parameter Choices

**Most parameters fixed:** (thanks to Karlberg, Mistlberger, Malcles, Di Nardo) <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/LHCPhysics/LHCHWG136TeVxsec</u> Each group asked if they can produce full or reduced scan Each group asked if  $m_H! = 125$  BSM scan is possible

### Additional parameters/choices:

Central Scale set to  $\mu_0 = \frac{m_H}{2}$ Requested 7-point scale variation

No omissions/ambiguities yet identified in above settings

## 1. iHixs2 Run 3 Parameter Update

<b>Old:</b> $\delta \sigma_{PP \to H+X} = \delta(PDF + \alpha_s) + \delta(theory) =$	$\left[\delta(\text{PDF} + \alpha_s)\right] +$	$\left[\delta(\text{scale}) + \delta(\text{EWK}) + \delta(t, b, c) + \delta(1/m_t) + \right]$	$-\delta(\text{PDF} - \text{TH})$
New: $\delta \sigma_{PP \to H+X} = \delta(PDF + \alpha_s) + \delta(theory) + \delta(PDF - TH) =$	$\left[\delta(\text{PDF} + \alpha_s)\right] +$	$\left[\delta(\text{scale}) + \delta(\text{EWK}) + \delta(t, b, c) + \delta(1/m_t)\right]$	+ $\left[\delta(\text{PDF} - \text{TH})\right]$

### Running iHixs2 with recommended parameters:

$\sqrt{s}  [\text{TeV}]$	$M_{\rm H}[{\rm GeV}]$	$\sigma  [{ m pb}]$	$\delta$ (theory)	$\delta(\text{scale})$	$\delta(\text{EWK})$	$\delta({ m t,b,c})$	$\delta(1/m_{ m t})$	$\delta(\text{PDF} + \alpha_s)$	$\delta(\text{PDF})$	$\delta(lpha_s)$	$\delta(\text{PDF} - \text{TH})$
13.6	120.00	56.03	$^{+3.16}_{-5.42}\%$	$^{+0.31}_{-2.57}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.85\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67% -2.27%	$^{+1.65}_{+1.65}\%$	$^{+2.11}_{-1.56}\%$	$\pm 1.21\%$
13.6	122.00	54.40	$^{+3.14}_{-5.37}\%$	$^{+0.30}_{-2.53}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.84\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$+2.67\ \%$	$^{+1.65}_{+1.65}\%$	$^{+2.10}_{-1.55}\%$	$\pm 1.20\%$
13.6	124.00	52.87	$+3.12 \\ -5.33 \%$	$+\overline{0.29}_{-2.50}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	$^{+2.10}_{-1.55}\%$	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	124.60	52.43	$^{+3.11}_{-5.32}\%$	$+0.28 \\ -2.49 \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	$^{+2.10}_{-1.54}\%$	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	124.80	52.28	$+3.11 \\ -5.32\%$	$+0.28 \\ -2.49\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.00	52.13	+3.11 -5.31%	$+\bar{0}.\bar{28}_{-2.48}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.09	52.07	$+3.11\\-5.31\%$	$+0.28 \\ -2.48 \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.20	51.99	$+3.11 \\ -5.31\%$	$+0.28 \\ -2.48 \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.67%	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.30	51.92	$+3.10 \\ -5.30\%$	$+\bar{0}.\bar{28}_{-2.48}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.66 $%$	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.38	51.86	$+3.10 \\ -5.30 \%$	$+0.28 \\ -2.48 \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.66 $%$	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.18\%$
13.6	125.60	51.70	$+3.10 \\ -5.30 \%$	+0.28 -2.47%	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.82\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.66 $%$ $-2.25$ $%$	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.17\%$
13.6	126.00	51.41	$+3.10 \\ -5.29\%$	$+\bar{0}.\bar{27}_{-2.47}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.82\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.66 $%$	+1.64%	+2.10 -1.54%	$\pm 1.17\%$
13.6	128.00	50.00	$+3.07\ -5.24\%$	$+0.26 \\ -2.43 \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.81\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$+\bar{2}.\bar{6}\bar{6}\%$	+1.64%	$+2.09 \\ -1.54 \%$	$\pm 1.16\%$
13.6	130.00	48.65	$+3.05 \\ -5.19\%$	$^{+0.25}_{-2.39}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.80\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	+2.66% -2.24	$^{+1.64}_{+1.64}\%$	$+2.09 \\ -1.53 \%$	$\pm 1.15\%$

**Note:** Numbers here do not include (t, b, c) or (EWK) update

### c.f. previous extrapolated numbers: (differences: PDF4LHC $15 \rightarrow 21$ )

2	2 ggF (N3LO QCD + NLO EW)									
3	МН	XS		Uncertainty						
4		Interpolation		Theory		PDF+αs	PDF	αs		
5	[GeV]	[pb]	pos [%]	neg [%]	Gauss [%]	[%]	[%]	[%]		
6	120.00	5.611E+01	+4.7	6.9	±4.0	±3.2	±1.9	±2.6		
24	125.00	5.223E+01	+4.6	6.7	±3.9	±3.2	±1.9	±2.6		
25	125.09	5.217E+01	+4.6	6.7	±3.9	±3.2	±1.9	±2.6		
26	125.10	5.216E+01	+4.6	6.7	±3.9	±3.2	±1.9	±2.6		
43	130.00	4.875E+01	+4.5	6.6	±3.8	±3.2	±1.8	±2.6		

## 2. Estimate for PDF-TH & aN<sup>3</sup>LO PDFs

numbers for  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6 \,\text{TeV} \& M_{\text{H}} = 125.09 \,\text{GeV}$ 

**baseline:** 
$$\delta(\text{PDF-TH}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left| \sigma_{PP \to H+X}^{(2), \text{ EFT, NNLO}} - \sigma_{PP \to H+X}^{(2), \text{ EFT, NLO}} \right|$$

PDF4LHC21 — no NLO set available

- $\Rightarrow$  switch to PDF4LHC15 *just* for  $\delta$ (PDF-TH) estimate
- $\rightarrow$  PDF4LHC15  $\pm 1.18\%$

Robust w.r.t. PDF var.

- $\hookrightarrow$  MSHT20  $\pm 1.43\%$
- $\hookrightarrow$  CT18  $\pm 1.03\%$
- $\hookrightarrow$  NNPDF3.1  $\pm 0.92\%$
- $\hookrightarrow$  NNPDF4  $\pm 0.18\%$

## 2. Estimate for PDF-TH & aN<sup>3</sup>LO PDFs

numbers for  $\sqrt{s} = 13.6 \,\mathrm{TeV} \& M_{\mathrm{H}} = 125.09 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 

alternative: 
$$\delta(\text{PDF} - \text{TH}) = |\sigma_{PP \to H+X}^{(3),\text{EFT},\text{aN3LO}} - \sigma_{PP \to H+X}^{(3),\text{EFT},\text{NNLO}}|$$



**Proposal:** stick with baseline using PDF4LHC15, report numbers for aN<sup>3</sup>LO set(s)?

## Summary



### **Goals of Meeting:**

 Decide path to producing a contribution (text and/or plots) to be presented alongside the Run 3 recommendations regarding PDF-TH uncertainties
 Brainstorm/kick-off YR5 activities surrounding the latest PDFs

**Finally:** Please join the new\* ggF mailing list for future meetings/updates: <u>lhc-higgs-ggf@cern.ch</u> (via <u>http://cern.ch/egroups</u>)



# Warning: The following slides are from from GA 2023 and are partially outdated

**iHixs:** 
$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{LO}} C^2 \left[ \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{LO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NNLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{N^3LO, EFT}} \right] + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{LO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NLO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NNLO, (t)}} + \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{LO}} C^2 \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{Res}}.$$

- start with iHixs prediction and systematically incorporate new results
- exact top mass at NNLO Czakon, Harlander, Klappert, Niggetiedt 21

$$\delta\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, (t)}} = \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, approx.}} - \left[C_{\text{QCD}}^2 R_{\text{LO}} \sigma_{ij}^{\text{EFT}}\right]_{\alpha_S^4} \quad \text{for} \quad (ij) \in \{(gg), (gq)\}$$

iHixs gives access to each part:

 $\hookrightarrow$  substitution  $\sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, approx}} \rightarrow \sigma_{ij}^{\text{NNLO, exact}}$  straightforward (computation of "exact" already as a difference to EFT  $\rightsquigarrow$  compatibility checks)

**Predictions from** Czakon, Harlander, Klappert, Niggetiedt 21 + Tom Schellenberger, Felix Eschment

channel		$\sigma_{ m HEFT}^{ m NNLO}$ [pb	$\sigma_{\mathrm{exact}}^{\mathrm{NNLO}}$ -	$-\sigma_{\rm HEFT}^{\rm NNLO}$ [pb]	
	$\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{e})$	$(\alpha_s^2) + \overline{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha_s^3) +$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_s^4)$	
		$\sqrt{s}$ =	$= 13.6 \mathrm{TeV}$		
gg	+17.114	+20.750(5)	+9.216(23)	+0.0238	+0.2644(6)
qg		+1.613(2)	+0.916(6)	-0.4034	-0.0004(47)
qq		+0.026(1)	+0.109(1)	+0.0335	-0.0551(1)
total	+17.114	+22.389(6)	+10.241(23)	-0.3461	+0.2090(47)

- 1. predictions employ the pole mass scheme
  - $\leftrightarrow$  default so far: MS scheme
  - → numerical impact? need to include as uncertainty?
- 2. predictions  $\forall \sqrt{s}$  (7, 8, 13, 13.6, 14 TeV)
  - $\leftrightarrow$  single Higgs mass  $M_{\rm H}^{\rm ref} \equiv 125.09 \, {\rm GeV}$
  - → how to extrapolate? what are the uncertainties?

### $m_{\rm t}$ scheme dependence (pole v.s. MS) [%]

$M_H$ [GeV]	7  TeV	8 TeV	13 TeV	13.6 TeV	14 TeV
120	0.168	0.164	0.128	0.115	0.115
122	0.165	0.159	0.164	0.165	0.166
124	0.159	0.155	0.154	0.150	0.148
124.60	0.157	0.155	0.147	0.143	0.142
124.80	0.157	0.155	0.145	0.142	0.141
125	0.156	0.154	0.143	0.141	0.140
125.09	0.156	0.154	0.143	0.140	0.139
125.20	0.155	0.152	0.142	0.139	0.139
125.30	0.155	0.151	0.133	0.132	0.128
125.38	0.155	0.151	0.133	0.132	0.128
125.60	0.154	0.151	0.133	0.132	0.127
126	0.154	0.150	0.132	0.130	0.130
128	0.147	0.142	0.127	0.125	0.121
130	0.139	0.137	0.123	0.121	0.119

- scheme dependence
  - ~ 0.15%
  - $\leftrightarrow \text{ c.f. } \delta(1/m_{\mathrm{t}}) = 1 \%$  (negligible before)
  - → matters now:
     new uncertainty

• new default: pole mass scheme in iHixs2

### $M_{\rm H}$ extrapolation

- use  $M_{\rm H}$  dependence of HTL to extrapolate

$M_H$ [GeV]	13.6 TeV	13.6 TeV					
120	0.984	1.038					
122	0.985	1.037					
124	0.985	1.036					
124.60	0.985	1.035					
124.80	0.985	1.035					
125	0.984	1.035					
125.09	0.984	1.035					
125.20	0.984	1.035					
125.30	0.984	1.035					
125.38	0.984	1.035					
125.60	0.984	1.035					
126	0.984	1.034					
128	0.984	1.033					
130	0.984	1.032					

$\left. \delta \sigma^{\text{exact}}(M_H) \right _{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)}$	$\left. \delta \sigma^{\operatorname{approx.}}(M_H) \right _{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)}$
$\left. \delta \sigma^{\mathrm{HTL}}(M_H) \right _{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)}$	$\left. \delta \sigma^{\mathrm{HTL}}(M_H) \right _{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)}$

• very stable ratio  $\leftrightarrow$  use extrapolation

$$\frac{\left. \delta \sigma^{\text{HTL}}(M_{H}) \right|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4})}}{\left. \delta \sigma^{\text{HTL}}(M_{H}^{\text{ref}}) \right|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4})}} \times \left. \delta \sigma^{\text{exact}}(M_{H}^{\text{ref}}) \right|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{4})}$$

$$\delta^{\text{extrap}}(M_H) = \left| 1 - \frac{\delta \sigma^{\text{HTL}}(M_H)|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)}}{\delta \sigma^{\text{HTL}}(M_H^{\text{ref}})|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)}} \right|$$

### Final impact from NNLO $m_{\rm t}$

$\sqrt{s}  [\text{TeV}]$	$M_{\rm H}  [{\rm GeV}]$	$\sigma[{ m pb}]$	$\delta(\text{scale})$	$\delta(\mathrm{EWK})$	$\delta({ m t},{ m b},{ m c})$	$\delta^{\sum}(m_{ m t})$	$\delta(\text{theory})$
13.6	120.00	55.90	$^{+0.56}_{-3.32}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.85\%$	$\pm 0.15\%$	+2.56 % -1.32 $\%$
13.6	122.00	54.31	$^{+0.56}_{-3.31}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.84\%$	$\pm 0.19\%$	$^{+2.59}_{-1.28}\%$
13.6	124.00	52.79	$^{+0.56}_{-3.31}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.16\%$	$^{+2.55}_{-1.32}\%$
13.6	124.60	52.35	$^{+0.56}_{-3.31}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.15\%$	$^{+2.53}_{-1.33}\%$
13.6	124.80	52.20	$^{+0.56}_{-3.31}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.53}_{-1.33}\%$
13.6	125.00	52.06	$+0.56\ \%$ $-3.31\ \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.53}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	125.09	51.99	$+0.56\ \%$ $-3.31\ \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.52}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	125.20	51.91	$+0.56\ \%$ $-3.31\ \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.52}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	125.30	51.84	$+0.56\ \%$ $-3.30\ \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.13\%$	$^{+2.52}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	125.38	51.78	$^{+0.56}_{-3.30}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.13\%$	$^{+2.52}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	125.60	51.62	$^{+0.55}_{-3.30}\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.83\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.52}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	126.00	51.33	+0.55% -3.30%	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.82\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.51}_{-1.34}\%$
13.6	128.00	49.94	$+0.55\ -3.29\%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.81\%$	$\pm 0.14\%$	$^{+2.51}_{-1.33}\%$
13.6	130.00	48.60	$+0.55\ \%\ -3.28\ \%$	$\pm 1.00\%$	$\pm 0.80\%$	$\pm 0.15\%$	+2.51 $%$ $-1.33$ $%$

- linearly add uncertainties from (m<sub>t</sub> scheme dependence) + (extrapolation)
   ↔ dominant piece: scheme dependence
  - $\rightarrow$  net effect: previous 1% reduced to ~ 0.15%
- full set of numbers in "table\_comp.pdf" (attachment on indico)

## Inclusion of mixed QCD-EW

**iHixs:** 
$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{LO}} C^2 \left[ \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{LO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NNLO, EFT}} + \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{N^3LO, EFT}} \right] + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{LO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NLO, (t,b,c)}} + \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{NNLO, (t)}} + \operatorname{R}_{\operatorname{LO}} C^2 \delta \sigma_{ij}^{\operatorname{Res}}.$$

- start with iHixs prediction and systematically incorporate new results
- inclusion of EW corrections by Becchetti, Bonciani, Del Duca, Hirschi, Moriello, Schweitzer 20 iHixs formula based on *factorization hypothesis*:

$$C = C_{\text{QCD}} + \lambda_{\text{EWK}} (1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} C_{1w} + \dots).$$

- $\hookrightarrow$  iHixs uses  $C_{1w} = 7/6$  as estimated from the  $M_V \to \infty$  limit
- ← full result gives:  $C_{1w} = -1.7 \ (\mu_R = M_H/2) \ C_{1w} = -2.1 \ (\mu_R = M_H)$ **but note:**  $\delta(\text{EW}) \sim \pm 1 \% \iff \text{vary } C_{1w}$  by factor in range [-3, 6]

**Initial proposal:** incorporate new result with an additional correction term (1st step)

$$\delta \sigma_{ij}^{\rm EW} = \sigma_{ij}^{\rm EW} - \left[ C^2 R_{\rm LO} \sigma_{ij}^{\rm EFT} \right]_{\alpha_s^3 \alpha^2}$$

and define error estimates on correction factor (beyond light quarks, gg channel, ...)

## Inclusion of mixed QCD-EW

### E [TeV] $M_{\rm H}$ [GeV] $\sigma$ [pb] $\Delta$ [%] $\sigma|_{C_{1w} \to -1.7} \text{ [pb]}$ 16.757 0.530 7.0125.0916.668 0.5308.0 125.0921.326 21.213 125.090.530 48.497 13.048.240 13.6125.0952.14051.864 0.52914.0125.0954.611 54.321 0.529 $\Delta$ [%] E [TeV] $M_{\rm H}$ [GeV] $\sigma$ [pb] $\sigma|_{C_{1w} \to -1.7} \text{ [pb]}$ 55.812 0.512 13.6120.00 56.099 13.6122.00 54.2110.51954.494 13.6124.00 52.675 0.52652.953 13.6124.6052.504 52.227 0.52813.6124.80 52.078 0.52952.35513.6125.0051.930 0.52952.206 13.6125.09 51.8640.52952.140 13.6125.20 52.05951.783 0.530 125.30 0.530 13.651.986 51.710 125.38 0.53013.651.652 51.927 13.6125.6051.766 51.491 0.53113.6126.00 51.476 51.202 0.5330.53913.6128.00 50.062 49.792 13.6130.00 48.706 48.440 0.546

### Impact from naive replacement $C_{1w} \rightarrow -1.7$

Becchetti, Bonciani, Del Duca, Hirschi, Moriello, Schweitzer 20

- approx. constant impact  $(\sqrt{s} \& M_{\rm H} \text{ variation}) \sim 0.5 \%$
- well within the  $\delta(EWK) = \pm 1\%$  uncertainty estimate
- caveats:
  - $\hookrightarrow$  only gg channel

### **Current Proposal (for discussion):**

- Stick with baseline using  $C_{1w} = 7/6$
- Keep 1% error