Towards four-loop splitting functions in QCD

Tong-Zhi Yang

with Thomas Gehrmann, Andreas von Manteuffel and Vasily Sotnikov

ggF: aN3LO PDFs for Run3 & YR5 26 June, 2024





Swiss National Science Foundation

Established by the European Commission

QCD factorization and Parton densities



Figure by A. Huss

 $\sigma_{AB} = \sum_{ab} \int_0^1 dx_a \int_0^1 dx_b f_{a|A}(x_a) f_{b|B}(x_b) \hat{\sigma}_{ab}(x_a, x_b) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/Q)$

• f(x) is the parton distribution function (PDF)

• $\hat{\sigma}_{ab}$ is the partonic cross section, perturbatively calculable

Uncertainties for $gg \to H \; + X$



Cummulative uncertainties

Dulat, Lazopoulos, Mistlberger, 2018

- PDF+ α_s and PDF-TH form the major uncertainties
- Require (a)N3LO PDF as well as (a)N3LO splitting functions

Evolution of PDFs

• Splitting functions (SFs) govern the DGLAP evolution of PDFs

$$\frac{df_{i|N}}{d\ln\mu} = 2\sum_{k} \frac{P_{ik}}{k} \otimes f_{k|N}$$



Non-singlet

$$\frac{dT_i^{\pm}}{d\ln\mu} = 2\mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{ns}}^{\pm} \otimes T_i^{\pm}, \ \frac{d\sum_{k=1}^{n_f} q_k^{-}}{d\ln\mu} = 2\mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{ns}}^{V} \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n_f} q_k^{-}, \ i = 3, 8, \cdots n_f^2 - 1$$
$$T_3^{\pm} = u^{\pm} - d^{\pm}, T_8^{\pm} = u^{\pm} + d^{\pm} - 2s^{\pm}, \cdots, q_k^{\pm} = q_k \pm \bar{q}_k,$$

• Singlet (relevant to ggF production)

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu} \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma\\ g \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} P_{qq} & P_{qg}\\ P_{gq} & P_{gg} \end{array}\right) \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \Sigma\\ g \end{array}\right), \quad \Sigma = \sum_{k=1}^{n_f} q_k^+$$

Splitting functions & Anomalous dimensions (A.D.)

Mellin transformation

$$f_q(n) = -\int_0^1 dz \ z^{n-1} f_q(z) \,, \quad \gamma_{ij}(n) = -\int_0^1 dz \ z^{n-1} P_{ij}(z)$$

• DGLAP evolution in *n*-space

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}f_q(n,\mu^2) = -2\sum_j \gamma_{qj}(n) f_j(n,\mu^2)$$

• PDFs in *n*-space are hadronic operator matrix elements (OMEs)

$$f_{q}(n) \sim \langle N(P) | \bar{\psi} \Delta (\Delta \cdot D)^{n-1} \psi | N(P) \rangle = \langle N(P) | \mathbf{O}_{q} | N(P) \rangle$$

$$f_{g}(n) \sim \langle N(P) | \Delta_{\mu_{1}} G_{a,\mu}^{\mu_{1}} (\Delta \cdot D)_{ab}^{n-2} \Delta_{\mu_{n}} G_{b}^{\mu_{n}\mu} | N(P) \rangle = \langle N(P) | \mathbf{O}_{g} | N(P) \rangle$$

Towards four-loop splitting functions

• Timeline of the calculation of splitting functions



- Fixed moments Talk by S. Moch and G. Falcioni
 - ▶ Non-singlet $\gamma_{ns}^{(3)}$ with $n \leq 16$ [Moch,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,Vogt,2017]
 - ▶ Pure-singlet $\gamma_{ps}^{(3)}$, $\gamma_{qg}^{(3)}$ and $\gamma_{gq}^{(3)}$ with $n \leq 20$ [Falcioni,Herzog,Moch,Pelloni,A. Vogt,2023, 2024]
 - ▶ $\gamma_{gg}^{(3)}$ with $n \le 10$ [Moch,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,Vogt,2023]

• Exact results with all-n dependence

- ► All γ⁽³⁾_{ij} in the large-N_f limit[Gracey 1994,1996; Davies,Vogt, Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,2016]
- $\gamma_{ns}^{(3)}$ with leading color[Moch,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,Vogt,2017]
- ▶ N_f^2 term for $\gamma_{qg}^{(3)}$ [Falcioni,Moch,Ruijl,Ueda,Vermaseren,Vogt,2023]
- $\blacktriangleright~N_{f}^{2}$ term for $\gamma_{\rm ps}^{(3)}$ and $N_{f}C_{F}^{3}$ term for $\gamma_{\rm ns}^{(3)}$ This talk

DIS method vs OME method



- Off-shell OMEs are not gauge invariant, physical operators mix with gauge-variant (GV) operators under renormalization
- Main goal: find all GV operators or their Feynman rules

A new framework to derive GV operators (Feynman rules)

Generalize the renormalization of Og

$$O_g^{\mathsf{R}} = Z_{gq}O_q^{\mathsf{B}} + Z_{gg}O_g^{\mathsf{B}} + Z_{gA}O_{ABC}^{\mathsf{B}} + [ZO]_g^{\mathsf{GV, B}}$$

O_{ABC}: GV operators, [ZO]^{GV, B}_g: collections of counterterm operators
 Consider all-off-shell multi-loop multi-point OMEs

$$\begin{split} \langle j|O_{g}^{\mathsf{R}}|j+mg\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} &= \langle j|(Z_{gq}O_{q}^{\mathsf{B}}+Z_{gg}O_{g}^{\mathsf{B}})|j+mg\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} \\ &+ \langle j|Z_{gA}O_{ABC}^{\mathsf{B}}|j+mg\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} + \langle j|\left[ZO\right]_{g}^{\mathsf{GV}}|j+mg\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}}, \, j=q,g \text{ or } \mathsf{c} \end{split}$$

• Renormalization conditions to determine counterterm Feynman rules order by order in α_s

Required OMEs to derive four-loop splitting functions

- 2-point OMEs are used to extract splitting functions
- Multi-point OMEs: determine Feynman rules of GV operators

Legs Loops	2	3	4	5	6
0	A.D.	$[ZO]_g^{\mathrm{GV},(3)}$	$[ZO]_g^{\mathrm{GV},(2)}$	O_{ABC}	O_q, O_g (d)
1	$[ZO]_g^{\mathrm{GV},(3)}$	$[ZO]_g^{\mathrm{GV},(2)}$	O_{ABC}	$O_g(d)$	
2	$[ZO]_g^{\mathrm{GV},(2)}$	O_{ABC}	<i>O</i> g (ip)		
3	O _{ABC}	O_g			
4	$O_q, O_g(ip)$				

- 3-loop splitting functions (done)
- 1-loop five-point OMEs to extract Feynman rules of O_{ABC} (done)
- 2-loop four-point OMEs to extract $[ZO]_g^{\text{GV},(2)}$ (in progress)
- 4-loop two-point OMEs: focus on $\langle q|O_q|q\rangle^{(4)}$: N_f^2 and $N_fC_F^3$

Sample results: Feynman rules for O_B with four legs



$$\rightarrow \frac{1 + (-1)^{n}}{-8} g_{s}^{2} \Delta^{\mu_{3}} \Delta^{\mu_{4}} \left(T^{a_{3}} T^{a_{4}} - T^{a_{4}} T^{a_{3}} \right)_{i_{2}i_{1}} \not\Delta \sum_{j_{1}=0}^{n-3} \left(3 \left(\Delta \cdot (p_{1} + p_{2}) \right)^{-j_{1}+n-3} \left[\left(-\Delta \cdot p_{3} \right)^{j_{1}} - \left(-\Delta \cdot p_{4} \right)^{j_{1}} \right] \right. \\ \left. - \left(-\Delta \cdot p_{4} \right)^{j_{1}} \left(\Delta \cdot p_{3} \right)^{-j_{1}+n-3} \right)$$

extracted from



all-*n* Feynman rules

Feynman rules for O_{ABC} with five legs

New



Sample diagrams for N_f^2 contributions at four-loop order

• OMEs with physical operator insertions









• OMEs with GV operator or counterterm insertion



New results for N_f^2 pure-singlet contributions

- New exact result, agree with the $n \leq 20$ results[G. Falcioni et al. 2023]
- Extract small-x result

$$P_{\mathsf{ps}}^{(3)}(x)\big|_{N_f^2} = rac{\log(x)^2}{x} imes 0 + rac{\log(x)}{x}(\mathsf{New results}) + \cdots$$

- Approximation: fitted from $n \leq 20$ results and previously known limits
- Large *x*-region: agree well with the exact result
- $x \sim 10^{-4}$, derivation ${\sim}15\%$



New $N_f C_F^3$ contributions to 4-loop P_{ns}

- No mixture with non-physical operators
- Sample Feynman diagrams



- IBP reduction: 54 thousand integrals \longrightarrow 658 master integrals
- Solve the master integrals by DE method analytically
- New exact result, agree with $n \leq 16$ results from[S. Moch et al. 2017]

Summary

- For off-shell OMEs, renormalization of physical operators mix with unknown GV operators
- Developed a new framework to infer splitting functions
 - Two-point OMEs are used to extract splitting functions
 - ► Multi-point (≥ 3) OMEs are required to determine counterterm Feynman rules of the GV operators
- Applied it to derive 3-loop singlet splitting functions and recovered the well-known results in the literature
- Proof of concept: get *exact results* for $\gamma_{ps}^{(3)}|_{N_{f}^{2}}$ and $\gamma_{ns}^{(3)}|_{N_{f}C_{F}^{3}}$
- New results: Feynman rules for O_{ABC} with 5 legs

Thanks for your attention!

Computation of off-shell OMEs with all-n dependence

- Non-standard terms appearing in the Feynman rules
- Example: Feynman rules for O_q at lowest order

$$\xrightarrow{p_1,i_1} \xrightarrow{p_2,i_2} \rightarrow A(\Delta \cdot p_1)^{n-1}$$

- How to retain all-*n* dependence?
 - Sum non-standard term into a linear propagator using a tracing parameter t[J. Ablinger et al. 2012]

$$(\Delta \cdot p)^{n-1} \to \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n (\Delta \cdot p)^{n-1} = \frac{t}{1 - t\Delta \cdot p}$$

▶ Parameter-*t* space \rightarrow *n*-space, for example

$$H(1,1;t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \left(-\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{S(1,n)}{n} \right)$$

Derive Feynman rules from off-shell OMEs

• Consider all-off-shell OMEs with 2j + m-gluon external states

$$\begin{split} \langle j|O_{g}^{\mathsf{R}}|j+m\,g\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} &= \langle j|(Z_{gq}O_{q}^{\mathsf{B}}+Z_{gg}O_{g}^{\mathsf{B}})|j+m\,g\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} \\ &+ \langle j|Z_{gA}O_{ABC}^{\mathsf{B}}|j+m\,g\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}} + \langle j|\,[ZO]_{g}^{\mathsf{GV}}|j+m\,g\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m}},\,j=q,g\text{ or c} \end{split}$$

Expand OMEs order by order in loops and legs

$$\langle j|O|j+mg\rangle^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left[\langle j|O|j+mg\rangle^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m,\,(l),\,(m)} \right] \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^l g_s^m$$

- Left: UV renormalized and IR finite \rightarrow no poles in ϵ
- Right: Each term is UV divergent, but the sum should be finite
- Requirement of finiteness \longrightarrow counterterm Feynman rules order by order in α_s

Renormalization of O_q to four loops in $q \rightarrow q$ channel

Renormalization of two-point OMEs

$$\begin{split} \langle q | O_q^{\mathsf{R}} | q \rangle &= Z_{qq} \langle q | O_q^{\mathsf{B}} | q \rangle + Z_{qg} \langle q | O_g^{\mathsf{B}} | q \rangle \\ &+ Z_{qA} \langle q | O_{ABC}^{\mathsf{B}} | q \rangle + \langle q | [ZO]_q^{\mathsf{GV}} | q \rangle, \\ Z_{qg} &= \mathcal{O}(a_s), Z_{qA} = \mathcal{O}(a_s^2), [ZO]_q^{\mathsf{GV}} = \mathcal{O}(a_s^3) \end{split}$$

• $\langle q | [ZO]_q^{\mathrm{GV}} | q \rangle = \mathcal{O}(a_s^5)$

- $\blacktriangleright \ \text{Only} \ \langle q | \left[ZO \right]_q^{\text{GV}, \, (4)} | q \rangle^{(0)} \ \text{and} \ \langle q | \left[ZO \right]_q^{\text{GV}, \, (3)} | q \rangle^{(1)} \ \text{are relevant}$
- Other operators (O_q, O_g, O_A, O_B) give all possible Lorentz structures of $q\bar{q}, gg, q\bar{q}g$ vertex Feynman rules

$$ightarrow
ightarrow \langle q | \left[ZO
ight]_q^{
m GV} | q
angle^{(0)} = 0, \ \langle g | \left[ZO
ight]_q^{
m GV} | g
angle^{(0)} = 0,$$

$$\langle q | [ZO]_q^{\mathrm{GV}} | qg \rangle^{(0)} = 0$$

Two-point OMEs with two-loop counterterm insertions

- For a fixed *n*, normal IBP, but need to reduce integrals with very high numerator degree
- All-n, IBP reduction with polylogarithms?
- Consider a general term of two-loop counterterms with 3-gluon vertex

$$ig_s f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} C_A^2 \Delta^{\mu_1} \Delta^{\mu_2} \Delta^{\mu_3} p_1^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} a_{mn} (\Delta \cdot p_1)^m (\Delta \cdot p_2)^{n-3-m} + \cdots$$

where a_{mn} is known only for fixed m, n

• New idea: replace a_{mn} by another tracing parameter t

$$h(x,t) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} t^m (\Delta \cdot p_1)^m (\Delta \cdot p_2)^{n-3-m} = \frac{x^3}{(1 - x t \Delta \cdot p_1)(1 - x \Delta \cdot p_2)}$$

• Insert *h* into two-point diagrams: $\langle g | h(x,t) | g \rangle = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} t^m c_{mn}$ • $\langle g | \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} a_{mn} (\Delta \cdot p_1)^m (\Delta \cdot p_2)^{n-3-m} | g \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{n-3} a_{mn} c_{mn}$

Evaluate OMEs to any fixed n efficiently and reconstruct the full-n results

Three-loop results

- Two-point OMEs with two-loop counterterm insertions
 - Compute OMEs to n = 500 based on two tracing parameters x, t
 - Reconstruct all-*n* result to ϵ^0 using the data with *n* to 440
- Two-point OMEs with the insertion of O_q, O_g, O_{ABC}
 - Compute OMEs with all-x dependence based on a tracing paramter x
 - Expand HPLs to get all-n results in terms of HSs directly
- Results: confirm the ξ-independence explicitly and recover the well known results in the literature
 - non-singlet:

$$\gamma^{(2)}_{
m ns}-\gamma^{(2)}_{
m ns}[{
m MVV}]=0$$

singlet:

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{qq}^{(2)} &- \gamma_{qq}^{(2)} [\mathsf{VMV}] = \mathbf{0} \,, \gamma_{qg}^{(2)} - \gamma_{qg}^{(2)} [\mathsf{VMV}] = \mathbf{0} \\ \gamma_{gq}^{(2)} &- \gamma_{gq}^{(2)} [\mathsf{VMV}] = \mathbf{0} \,, \gamma_{gg}^{(2)} - \gamma_{gg}^{(2)} [\mathsf{VMV}] = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Lorentz structures of a twist-two operator

- Based on the following two properties
 - A twist-two operator has spin-n and mass dimension n+2
 - Propagator-type Feynman rules like $1/p^2$ can not appear in a vertex
- A twist-2 operator involving quarks or ghosts has one Lorentz structure only

$$\langle q|O|q+mg\rangle_{1\mathsf{Pl}}^{\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{m},\,(0),\,(m)}=c_{m}\Delta^{\mu_{1}}\cdots\Delta^{\mu_{m}}$$

• A twist-two operator involving only gluons

- ▶ Only 1 + 3/2m(m-1) Lorentz structures for *m*-gluon Feynman rules
- m = 3: $a_1 \Delta^{\mu_1} \Delta^{\mu_2} \Delta^{\mu_3} + a_2 \Delta^{\mu_1} \Delta^{\mu_2} \frac{p_1^{\mu_3}}{p_1^{\mu_3}} + \dots + a_{10} \Delta^{\mu_3} g^{\mu_1 \mu_2}$
- ▶ 19 for m = 4 and 31 for m = 5
- Count the mass dimension of a_i : $[a_i] = x_i[\Delta \cdot p_j] + y_i[p_j \cdot p_k](y_i \ge 0)$ $[a_1] = n - 3 + y_1[p_j \cdot p_k] = n + 2 - 3 \rightarrow y_1 = 1$ (Linear in $p_1^2, p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots$) $[a_2] + [p_1^{\mu_3}] = n - 2 + y_2[p_j \cdot p_k] + 1 = n + 2 - 3 \rightarrow y_2 = 0$

• Why not $a_{11} \Delta^{\mu_1} p_1^{\mu_2} p_2^{\mu_3}$

 $[a_{11}] + 2 + 3 \ge n - 1 + y_{11}[p_j \cdot p_k] + 2 + 3 = n + 4(\text{if } y_{11} = 0)$

where 3 is mass dimension of the external 3 gluons. Twist-4 operators

Computations of single pole for one-loop multi-leg OMEs

Set all Mandelstam variables p₁², p₂² · · · to numerical numbers and reconstruct their linear dependence



• Only two types of integrals are needed, other integrals are finite

$$I_1 = \int rac{d^d l}{i \pi^{d/2}} rac{1}{(l-q_1)^2 l^2}, \quad I_2 = \int rac{d^d l}{i \pi^{d/2}} rac{1}{(l-q_1)^2 l^2 ig(1-x \Delta \cdot (l+q_2)ig)}$$

• At most x-dependent logarithms appear in the single pole

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\frac{\ln(1 - x\Delta \cdot q_1 - x\Delta \cdot q_2) - \ln(1 - x\Delta \cdot q_2)}{-x\Delta \cdot q_1} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$$

• Logarithms in x-space \rightarrow n-space

$$\ln(1 - x\Delta \cdot p_1 - x\Delta \cdot p_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \left[\frac{-1}{n} (\Delta \cdot p_1 + \Delta \cdot p_2)^n \right]$$

• Factoring out the overall factor $Z_{gA}^{(1)} = -\frac{C_A}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$

Computations of two-loop three-leg OMEs

• Set all Mandelstam variables $p_1^2, p_2^2 \cdots$ to numerical numbers and

$$\Delta \cdot p_1 = 1, \ \Delta \cdot p_2 = z_1$$



- Derive DE with respect to *x*
- Difficult to solve DE in terms of special functions
- Expand DE to x¹⁰⁰ in the limit of x → 0, with the boundary conditions being two-loop three-leg integrals without operator insertions[T. G. Birthwright, E. W. N. Glover, and P. Marquard, 04]

Reconstruct two-loop counterterm Feynman rules

- Obtain two-loop three-leg OMEs to x^{96} or n=96
- For a fixed n, the result is a polynomial in z_1
- Construct full-x or full-n results from data to n = 76 based on ansatz
- Polylogarithms to weight-3, generalized Harmonic sums to weight-2

$$G(1, 1, 1/(1+z_1); x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \left[\frac{S_1(z_1+1; n)}{n^2} + \frac{S_2(z_1+1; n)}{n} - \frac{S_{1,1}(1, z_1+1; n)}{n} - \frac{(z_1+1)^n}{n^3} \right]$$

where $S_{1,1}\left(1, z_1+1; n\right) = \sum_{t_1=1}^n rac{1}{t_1} \sum_{t_2=1}^{t_1} rac{(1+z_1)^{t_2}}{t_2}$

• Due to the generalized Harmonic sums, impossible to disentangle

- renormalization constants (no z₁ dependence)
- ▶ operator Feynman rules (no high-weight (≥ 1) functions)

A counterterm Feynman rule & infinite operator Feynman rules $(N_2 = \infty)$