Hyperons* at LHCb

* Hyperons are baryons with at least one strange quark

Marian Stahl, RUB September 23, 2024 Annual meeting of the German LHCb groups and affiliated theory community, Bochum

RII

PUHD

LHCD

- LHCb overview
- Reconstruction and Trigger
- Hyperon reconstruction
- Hyperons for spectroscopy
- Outlook



Objective

My goal is to give a feeling for LHCb's reach in physics hyperons with a focus on spectroscopy; showing advantages and limitations of the LHCb detector and its upgrades.





- General purpose detector with forward geometry
 - + ions, fixed target, MOeDAL, CodexB ...
- + VELO close to interaction region moveable!
- + Excellent hadron PID
- + Flexible software trigger (CPUs)

Disadvantages for hyperons:

- Reconstruction of neutrals
- Hardware trigger (30 \rightarrow 1 MHz)



- New tracking detectors
- New RICH photo-detectors
- Detector readout @30 MHz

- Average visible interactions $\sim 1 \rightarrow \sim 5$
- VELO strip \rightarrow pixels; 5 \rightarrow 3.5 mm to beam
- Heterogeneous software trigger



- Timing (VELO, RICH, TORCH, PicoCal)
- Pixel in UT, inner part of Mighty Tracker
- Low *p* track reco in Magnet Stations

- Average visible interactions \sim 50
- Full GPU software trigger(s)? Co-processors?
- Currently under review





- Muons are easiest to reconstruct and select, followed by **charged (stable) hadrons (p**, K, π) Low momentum hadrons, like π from Λ and Ξ^- , as well as downstream tracks suffer from lower $\varepsilon_{\text{reco}}$
- Photons, π^{o} , η , ... are difficult due to ECAL granularity; electrons OK, but poor $\delta p/p$ (due to γ_{Brem})
- **Neutron** and K_L^o reconstruction is hopeless
- Most discrimination against backgrounds from geometrical information, driven by VELO tracking E.g. Impact parameter (IP or χ^2_{IP}), displacement of secondary vertices from PV (FD, DLS)
- b hadron lifetimes ideal: decay in VELO, good separation from PV c hadron lifetimes shorter \rightarrow lower $\varepsilon_{\text{selection}}$ (especially baryons); Most hyperons decay downstream of VELO or even UT
- Kinematics and hadron PID further improve signal purity

bold: decay products of hyperon weak decays





- Charm signal rate is **O MHz** in the LHCb acceptance ٠
- We can only store ~ 50 kHz in total if we store the raw event ٠
- Need **fast**, efficient and precise reconstruction in a flexible trigger

More on the trigger in Alessandro's and Florian's talks

2020

LHCh Run 5

ATLAS HL-LHO

DUNE SuperNova

2030 2040

LHCb Run 4



Dataflow (Upgrade

- Hyperons have huge rates; How can they be triggered efficiently given the constraints?
- New: Reconstruct charged hyperon from downstream tracks and match it to VELO track
 - RAPIDSIM: ~ 70 % of Ξ^- from $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay downstream of VELO $z > 600 \text{ mm}, \rho > 32 \text{ mm}.$
 - Studied performance with 2018 $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ data and simulation





- Improved IP resolution \Rightarrow inclusive Ξ^- and Ω^- HLT2 lines including raw data for Run 3
 - In Run 2: Equivalent downstream Turbo lines kept 1 in 20 events due to bandwidth constraints
- Also measured improvements on mass resolution in $\Xi^-\pi^+$ subsystem, and signal-to-noise ratio as function of pileup

reconstruction

Hyperon

- Why should we do light hadron spectroscopy at LHCb?
 - Absence of light exotic (QCD) states? Many candidates, e.g. Λ (1405)
 - Tool and benchmark for theory in non-perturbative regime e.g. [EPJC 74, 2981]
 - Great potential to study light spectrum in particular S = -2 and S = -3 baryons where data is scarce
- Focus on Ξ^* in the $\Xi^-\pi^+$ system
 - *P*-wave excitations elusive: Ξ (1620) and Ξ (1690) candidates for $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ states, but \gtrsim 100 MeV lighter than quark model predictions
 - Ξ (1620) and Ξ (1690) close to $\Lambda \overline{K}$ and $\Sigma \overline{K}$ thresholds \rightsquigarrow molecular component?
 - Strong production dependence of $\Xi^-\pi^+$ spectrum \rightsquigarrow describe $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^-\pi^+\kappa^+$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^-\pi^+\pi^+$ simultaneously?













- Using K matrix model and helicity formalism.
 Cross check with covariant tensors, and including the Ξ⁻ and Λ decays
- Need poles in $1/2^-$ K matrix close to $A\overline{K}$ and $\Sigma\overline{K}$ thresholds
 - Measuring their positions and residues is one of the main goals
- Model building, efficiency correction and fitting is hard work. Still, it's one of the easiest systems to study:
 - No resonances in the crossing channels that would hamper model building
 - Only few thresholds to consider
 - Resonances narrow enough to not overlap
- Not much sensitivity to $3/2^-$ and $5/2^-$ states \rightarrow need $\Lambda \overline{K}$, $\Sigma \overline{K}$ or $\overline{\Xi}(1530)\pi$ channels
- \Rightarrow Need to be able to efficiently reconstruct Σ^+ ($\rightarrow p\pi^{\circ}/n\pi^+ \sim 50/50$) and $\Sigma^- \rightarrow n\pi^-!$
 - Not only for spectroscopy isospin partners of P_{CS} , but also searches for CPV in charm: U spin symmetry cancels hadronic effects, but $p \leftrightarrow \Sigma^+$ and $\Xi^- \leftrightarrow \Sigma^-$ [PRD 99 033005]

- Proof of principle for two methods
 - 1. $\Sigma^- c\tau \approx 4.4 \text{ cm}$ as long track. Survival probability ~ 2 - 3% beyond T stations in LHCb acceptance.Not much hope for Σ^+ as $c\tau \approx 2.5 \text{ cm}$
 - 2. Σ as VELO track. Infer momentum from PV constraint of $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Sigma \pi$
- $\bullet\,$ 1. can be used to develop (RICH) PID for $\Sigma\,$
- 2. can be extended in various ways
 - Use upstream tracks (in combination with RICH ID)
 - Use downstream decay products. Advantage $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\pi^{o}$: proton ID, ECAL info and kinematics Armenteros-Podolanski
 - Search for kink topologies in the VELO should work better for $\Sigma \to n\pi$
 - Combine upstream and T tracks? Can HCAL info for neutrons improve reconstruction? ...





• Feasibility studies need to be selective and fast to write Run 3 trigger selections

which need to be fast, discriminating selections; store the information needed to improve method offline

LHCb has a unique potential for physics with hyperons due to large production cross-sections and high instantaneous luminosity.

On the other hand, this also means that we can't afford to store every event; and we need to be flexible in what part of the event we save!

Much work has been invested to select and reconstruct decays with hyperons, and we are in an excellent position to make a significant impact on light hadron spectroscopy and other topics with Run 3 data.

We can improve by developing new methods to reconstruct hyperons with which we can explore decay modes which are currently not accessible at LHCb or elsewhere.



Support material

Fundamental parameters Polarization - In direct production \rightarrow anchor points for OCD - Branching fractions and lifetimes [IHEP 11 (2015) 067][EPIC 69, 657] - Decay asymmetry parameters - In decay chain \rightsquigarrow use as polarimeter [JHEP 12 (2019) 148] - New decay modes Spin precession \rightarrow magnetic/electric dipole moments - New excited and/or multi charm baryons [PRD 103, 072003] Spectroscopy - SM: CKM complex phase, QCD θ -term baryons - Spectroscopy of light hadrons - Barvons more difficult than mesons from c decays \rightarrow non-perturbative QCD - ΔA_{CP}-like observables [1206.4554] [PRD 99, 033005] [EPJC 79, 429] - Need better models and coupled channel analyses - SCS decays or time-dependent CPV in CF and DCS modes via neutral kaons [IHEP 03 (2018) 066] • The four main topics are deeply connected.

- Polarization and CPV measurements are sensitive to physics beyond the standard model. However: They cannot be fundamentally understood without proper decomposition of the contributing amplitudes (involves Fundamental parameters and Spectroscopy)
- LHCb collects samples of charm baryon decays that are unique in statistics and fidelity
 - Unrivalled for many modes, but struggling with others see "Selections"; discuss concrete prospects during the workshop?

- Strange physics with VELO matching:
 - VELO matching allows to close kinematics for decays like $\Xi^- \to \Lambda \mu^- \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$
 - Improve $\Sigma^+ \to p \mu^- \mu^+$ double stats relative to [PRL 120, 221803]; K^+ mass with $K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
- Feasible to reconstruct Σ^+ and Σ^- from c or b?
- Use T tracks e.g. A from T tracks matched to a Ξ^- VELO track
- Use upstream tracks → hyperons in RICH1 ~ 25% of Ξ⁻ decay downstream of TT/UT
- Hyperons can decay after SciFi; RAPIDSIM: 2.8 % of Σ^- from $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \Sigma^- \pi^+$, 2.7 % of Ξ^- from $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$.
- Downstream tracking available in HLT1 now: VELO matching would become main method to reconstruct charged hyperons if VELO matching is implemented in HLT1.



• $\Xi^-\pi^+$ and $\Xi^-\kappa^+$ S waves modelled by GenericKmatrix lineshape (à la PDG).

P vector approach derived from unitarity relations of the S matrix to build production amplitudes:

 $F_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - i\rho K)_{ik}^{-1} P_k$ with P vector P, phase-space ρ , K matrix K

• $K_{jk} = \sum_{\text{poles } \alpha} \frac{g_{\alpha j} g_{\alpha k}}{m_{\alpha}^2 - s} + \psi_{jk} \mathcal{B}(s)$ in channels *j*, *k*, where $g_{\alpha j}$ is the *K* matrix coupling of pole α to channel *j*, m_{α} is the *K* matrix mass of pole α ,

 ψ_{jk} is bkg matrix and $\mathcal{B}(s)$ a smooth function in Mandelstam s (here $\mathcal{B}(s) = \frac{1+s_0}{s+s_0}$ with parameter s_0). Couplings and bkg terms real $\leftarrow CP$ is conserved

- The P vector is given by $P_k = \sum_{\text{poles } \alpha} \frac{\beta_{\alpha} g_{\alpha k}}{m_{\alpha}^2 s} + \phi_k \mathcal{B}(s)$ with $\beta_{\alpha} = \sum_{\text{channels } \alpha} a_q g_{\alpha q}$, $\phi_k = \sum a_q \psi_{qk}$ where a_q are real coefficients and the couplings, bkg matrix and m are the same as in the K matrix
- $\Xi^-\pi^+ K$ matrix contains $\Xi\pi$, $\Lambda \overline{K}$, $\Sigma \overline{K}$ and $\Xi\eta$ channels and 2 poles or more.
 - Isospin channels taken into account, couplings shared.
- $\Xi^- K^+$ isoscalar K matrix contains $\Xi^- K^+$ and $\Lambda n'$ channels.
- Ensure analyticity of K matrix with Chew-Mandelstam phase-space.





- The majority of lines persists only the reconstructed objects that define a signal candidate ("TURBO" dominated by charm physics)
- Objects written to tape/disk , including encoded data from the detectors ("raw banks") are configurable for each line individually
- Inclusive lines select signals partially, and persist further objects → build decays that involve the partial signal offline e.g. detached J/y → µ⁺µ⁻ for b decays
- Exclusive lines select the full decay of interest online
- TURBO reduces event size by order of magnitude w.r.t. raw event
 → more signal offline!



Persistency

Particle	Lifetime [ps]	Decay	\mathcal{B} [%]	"reconstructability"		Biased selection and round numbers.
π^{o}	10 ⁻⁴	γγ	99	**(*)		"reconstructability" can be understood as a measure for efficiency and purity of a
Kso	90	$\pi^+\pi^-$	69	****		
Λ	260	$p\pi^-$	64	***(*)		typical trigger selection.
Σ^{-}	150	$n\pi^{-}$	100	(*)		<u></u>
Σ^{o}	10 ⁻⁷	Λγ	100	*(*)	Most $K_{\rm S}^{\rm o}$ and Λ decays happen downstream of VELO. Their decay products are reconstructed as downstream tracks, with drawbacks in resolution and efficiency. The same applies to other long lived hyperons.	
Σ^+	80	$p\pi^{\circ}$	52	*(*)		
Ξ°	200	$\Lambda\pi^{\circ}$	100	*		
Ξ-	170	$\Lambda\pi^-$	100	***		
$arOmega^-$	80	$\Lambda \kappa^-$	68	***		
D ^o	O.41	$\kappa^{-}\pi^{+}$	4	****(*)		
D^+	1.0	$\kappa^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$	9.4	****(*)		
Λ_c^+	0.2	$ ho K^- \pi^+$	6.3	****	Short	lifetimes of charm hadrons lower selection
D_{s}^{+}	0.5	$K^{-}K^{+}\pi^{+}$	5.4	****(*)	efficie	ncies significantly.
Ξ_c°	0.15	$pK^-K^-\pi^+$	0.5	***(*)	Golde	n modes of Ξ_c^+ , Ω_c^0 are Cabibbo suppressed.
Ξ_c^+	0.45	$pK^{-}\pi^{+}$	0.6	****		
Ω_c°	0.27	$pK^-K^-\pi^+$?	***(*)		
J/ψ	10 ⁻¹⁰	$\mu^+\mu^-$	6	****		
B ^o	1.52	$D^+\pi^-$	0.25	****(*)		
B^+	1.63	J/ψ K ⁺	0.1	*****		
$\Lambda_b^{\rm o}$	1.47	$\Lambda_c^+\pi^-$	0.5	****(*)		22 / 15