### Two-loop amplitude reduction with HELAC

Costas G. Papadopoulos

#### in collaboration with G. Bevilacqua, D. Canko, A. Spourdalakis

INPP, NCSR "Demokritos", 15310 Athens, Greece





INPP Demokritos-APCTP meeting and HOCTOOLS-II mini-workshop, 30/9-4/10 2024

1	DS recursive equations	ightarrow LO & AO
2	Review of the OPP approach	ightarrow NLO
3	Constructing the 2-loop integrand	ightarrow NNLO
1	Integrand reduction: $d=4$ versus $d=4-2\epsilon$	ightarrow NLO & NNLO
5	Summary & Outlook	

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

#### DS recursive equations

#### How to avoid Feynman diagrams

 $\rightarrow$  a highly subjective point of view

### LO - Dyson-Schwinger Recursive Equations

From Feynman Diagrams to recursive equations: taming the n!

• 1999 HELAC: The first code to calculate recursively tree-order amplitudes for (practically) arbitrary number of particles

→A. Kanaki and C. G. Papadopoulos, Comput. Phys. Commun. 132 (2000) 306 [arXiv:hep-ph/0002082].

 $\rightarrow$ F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, Nucl. Phys. B 306 (1988) 759.

→ F. Caravaglios and M. Moretti, Phys. Lett. B 358 (1995) 332.



Unfortunately not so much on the second line !

→Integrals and Integrands

From Feynman graphs ...

to Dyson-Schwinger recursion! Helac-Phegas

# NLO

#### Don't make integrals, make integrands !

C.G.Papadopoulos (INPP)

HOCTools-II mini-workshop 2024

Athens 6 / 57

< 円∛

- 4 ∃ ▶

basis of scalar integrals:

known already before NLO-R; remember this is not the case for higher orders

→G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 153 (1979) 365.

 $\rightarrow$  Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 412 (1994) 751

 $\rightarrow$ G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.

→Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 217.

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{I \subset \{0,1,\cdots,m-1\}} \int \frac{\mu^{(4-d)} d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\bar{N}_I(\bar{q})}{\prod_{i \in I} \bar{D}_i(\bar{q})}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum d_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} + \sum c_{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \sum b_{i_1 i_2} + \sum b_{i_1 i_2} + \sum a_{i_1} + R$$

 $a, b, c, d \rightarrow$  cut-constructible part

 $R \rightarrow$  rational terms

Image: Image:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} \to \int \frac{\bar{N}(\bar{q})}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}} &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1} \bar{D}_{i2} \bar{D}_{i2}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1} \bar{D}_{i2}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0}} \\ &+ \text{ rational terms} \end{split}$$

#### OPP "MASTER" FORMULA - I

General expression for the 4-dim N(q) at the integrand level in terms of  $D_i$ 

 $\rightarrow$  G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, [arXiv:hep-ph/0609007 [hep-ph]].

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

→G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 05 (2008), 004 [arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]].

$$ar{D}_i = (ar{q} + p_i)^2 - m_i^2 \,, \quad p_0 
e 0 \,,$$

$$\bar{D}_i = D_i + \tilde{q}^2$$

$$m_i^2 
ightarrow m_i^2 - \tilde{q}^2.$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} d(ijkl; \tilde{q}^2) &=& d(ijkl) + \tilde{q}^2 d^{(2)}(ijkl) + \tilde{q}^4 d^{(4)}(ijkl) \,, \\ c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) &=& c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk) \,, \\ b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) &=& b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij) \,. \end{array}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

OPP  $R_1$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} d^{(4)}(ijkl)&=&\lim_{ ilde q^2 o\infty}rac{d(ijkl;\, ilde q^2)}{ ilde q^4}\,,\ c^{(2)}(ijk)&=&\lim_{ ilde q^2 o\infty}rac{c(ijk;\, ilde q^2)}{ ilde q^2}\,,\ b^{(2)}(ij)&=&\lim_{ ilde q^2 o\infty}rac{b(ij;\, ilde q^2)}{ ilde q^2}\,, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} d^{(4)}(ijkl) &=& \displaystyle \frac{d(ijkl;1) + d(ijkl;-1) - 2d(ijkl)}{2} \,, \\ c^{(2)}(ijk) &=& c(ijk;1) - c(ijk) \,, \\ b^{(2)}(ij) &=& b(ij;1) - b(ij) \,. \end{array}$$

C.G.Papadopoulos (INPP)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\begin{split} \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[ m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,. \end{split}$$

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}_{1} &= -\frac{i}{96\pi^{2}}d^{(2m-4)} - \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2}}^{m-1}c^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}) \\ &- \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{m-1}b^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1})\left(m_{i_{0}}^{2} + m_{i_{1}}^{2} - \frac{(p_{i_{0}} - p_{i_{1}})^{2}}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

≣ ▶ ≣ •∕२... Athens 13/57

•

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

→P. Draggiotis, M. V. Garzelli, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 04 (2009), 072 [arXiv:0903.0356 [hep-ph]].

 $\rightarrow$  M. V. Garzelli, I. Malamos and R. Pittau, JHEP 01 (2010), 040 [erratum: JHEP 10 (2010), 097]

$$ar{N}(ar{q}) = N(q) + ar{N}(ar{q}^2,q,\epsilon)$$
 .

$$ar{m{q}} = m{q} + m{ ilde{m{q}}}\,, \ ar{\gamma}_{ar{\mu}} = m{\gamma}_{\mu} + m{ ilde{\gamma}}_{m{ ilde{\mu}}}\,, \ ar{m{g}}^{ar{\mu}ar{
u}} = m{g}^{\mu
u} + m{ ilde{m{g}}}^{ar{\mu}ar{
u}}\,.$$

$$\mathrm{R}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{N}(\tilde{q}^2, q, \epsilon)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}} \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, \bar{q} \, \mathcal{R}_2 \, .$$

メロト メポト メモト メモト



 $\bar{Q}_{1} = \bar{q} + p_{1} = Q_{1} + \tilde{q}$  $\bar{Q}_{2} = \bar{q} + p_{2} = Q_{2} + \tilde{q}$  $\bar{D}_{0} = \bar{q}^{2}$  $\bar{D}_{1} = (\bar{q} + p_{1})^{2}$  $\bar{D}_{2} = (\bar{q} + p_{2})^{2}$ 

Figure 1: QED  $\gamma e^+e^-$  diagram in *n* dimensions.

## $\mathrm{OPP}\ R_2$

 $\epsilon\text{-dimensional }\gamma$  matrices freely anti-commute with four-dimensional ones:  $\{\gamma_\mu,\tilde\gamma_\nu\}~=0$ 

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}(\bar{q}) &\equiv e^3 \left\{ \bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\beta}} \left( \bar{Q}_1 + m_e \right) \gamma_\mu \left( \bar{Q}_2 + m_e \right) \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} \right\} \\ &= e^3 \left\{ \gamma_\beta (Q_1 + m_e) \gamma_\mu (Q_2 + m_e) \gamma^\beta \\ &- \epsilon (Q_1 - m_e) \gamma_\mu (Q_2 - m_e) + \epsilon \tilde{q}^2 \gamma_\mu - \tilde{q}^2 \gamma_\beta \gamma_\mu \gamma^\beta \right\} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,, \\ &\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \bar{D}_2} &= -\frac{i\pi^2}{2\epsilon} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(1) \,, \end{split}$$

gives

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = -\frac{ie^3}{8\pi^2}\gamma_\mu + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,,$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Computing 1PI contributions to $R_2 ightarrow R_2$ for any 1-loop amplitude

#### $R_2$ vertices in full analogy with renormalization CT

- Determining the on-shell momenta through  $D_i = 0$  and computing all coefficients.
- Obtermining the on-shell momenta through D<sub>i</sub> = µ and µ dependence of certain coefficients, namely R<sub>1</sub>.
- Using new Feynman rules to compute with tree-like DS the rest of R contribution, namely R<sub>2</sub>.

 $\rightarrow$  G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, [arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]].

 $\rightarrow$  M. V. Garzelli, I. Malamos and R. Pittau, [arXiv:0910.3130 [hep-ph]].

Towards higher precision:

NNLO and beyond

I have a dream ...

What do we need for an NNLO calculation ?

 $p_1, p_2 \rightarrow p_3, ..., p_{m+2}$ 



#### PERTURBATIVE QCD AT NNLO

What do we need for an NNLO calculation ?

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{NNLO} &\to \int_{m} d\Phi_{m} \left( 2Re(M_{m}^{(0)*}M_{m}^{(2)}) + \left| M_{m}^{(1)} \right|^{2} \right) J_{m}(\Phi) \quad VV \\ &+ \int_{m+1} d\Phi_{m+1} \left( 2Re\left( M_{m+1}^{(0)*}M_{m+1}^{(1)} \right) \right) J_{m+1}(\Phi) \quad RV \\ &+ \int_{m+2} d\Phi_{m+2} \left| M_{m+2}^{(0)} \right|^{2} J_{m+2}(\Phi) \qquad RR \end{aligned}$$

 $RV + RR \rightarrow$  antenna-S, colorfull-NNLO, sector-improved residue subtraction, nested soft-collinear, local analytic sector subtraction, projection to born,  $q_T$ , N-jetiness

→A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann and M. Ritzmann, JHEP 1210 (2012) 047

→ P. Bolzoni, G. Somogyi and Z. Trocsanyi, JHEP 1101 (2011) 059

→ M. Czakon and D. Heymes, Nucl. Phys. B 890 (2014) 152

→S. Catani and M. Grazzini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 222002

→ R. Boughezal, C. Focke, X. Liu and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) no.6, 062002

→ M. Cacciari, F. A. Dreyer, A. Karlberg, G. P. Salam and G. Zanderighi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, no. 8, 082002 (2015)

→ F. Caola, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, no. 4, 248 (2017)

→ L. Magnea, E. Maina, G. Pelliccioli, C. Signorile-Signorile, P. Torrielli and S. Uccirati, arXiv:1806.09570 [hep-ph].

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (つ)

Amplitude construction

∃ ▶ ∢

- Standard approach:  $Q_{GRAF} \rightarrow$  symbolic manipulation, dimensionally regularized amplitudes  $\rightarrow$  IBP: FIRE, Kira or numerical pySecDec
- Numerical unitarity  $\rightarrow$  dimensionally regularized amplitudes by gluing tree amplitudes in different integer dimensions  $\rightarrow D_s$

 $\rightarrow$  S. Abreu, J. Dormans, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Kraus, B. Page, E. Pascual, M. S. Ruf and V. Sotnikov, CPC 267 (2021), 108069

• OpenLoops  $\rightarrow$  Feynman graph  $\rightarrow$  opening the loops  $\rightarrow$  amplitudes in  $d = 4 \rightarrow$  coefficients of tensor integrals

 $\rightarrow$  S. Pozzorini, N. Schär and M. F. Zoller, [arXiv:2201.11615 [hep-ph]].

 $\rightarrow$  talk by Max Zoller

Colour flow or colour connection representation

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_{2},...,j_{k}}^{a_{1},i_{2},...,i_{k}} \boldsymbol{t}_{i_{1}j_{1}}^{a_{1}} \to \mathcal{M}_{j_{1},j_{2},...,j_{k}}^{i_{1},i_{2},...,i_{k}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2},j_2} \ldots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k},j_k} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma} \to \mathsf{n}!$$

gluons, ghosts  $\rightarrow$  (i, j), quark  $\rightarrow$  (i, 0), anti-quark  $\rightarrow$  (0, j), other  $\rightarrow$  (0, 0)

$$\sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} A^*_{\sigma} \mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\sigma'} A_{\sigma'}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \equiv \sum_{\{i\},\{j\}} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2},j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k},j_k} \delta_{i_{\sigma'_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma'_2},j_2} \dots \delta_{i_{\sigma'_k},j_k} = N_c^{m(\sigma,\sigma')}$$

Colour-flow Feynman rules





≣▶ ≣ ৩৭ে Athens 25/57

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ



≣▶ ≣ ৩৭ে Athens 26/57

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ



Athens 27 / 57

A (1) > A (2) > A

INFO	NUM	1		110	of			33	2			7						
INFO	===		====	=====	===	====		====	====	===								
INFO	4	80	35	9	1	1	16	35	5	64	35	7	0	0	0	0	1	2
INFO	4	12	35	10	1	1	4	35	3	8	35	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	4	92	35	11	1	2	12	35	10	80	35	9	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	5	92	35	11	2	2	4	35	3	8	35	4	80	35	9	0	1	5
INFO	4	124	35	12	1	1	32	35	6	92	35	11	0	0	0	0	1	2
INFO	4	126	35	13	1	1	2	35	2	124	35	12	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	4	254	35	14	1	1	128	35	8	126	35	13	0	0	0	0	1	2
INFO	6	1	12	1	2	12	35	35	35	35	35	35	0	0	0	0	5	9

Remark: Skeleton knows nothing about *d*: it can be used in d = 4 or any other dimension including  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ .



C.G.Papadopoulos (INPP)

Athens 29 / 57

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Process	#	Loop-Flavors	Color	Size	Crea. Time	Nums
gg  ightarrow gg	2	$\{g, c, \overline{c}\}$	Lead.	8.9 MB	15.017s	4560
gg  ightarrow gg	2	$\{g,q,\bar{q},c,\bar{c}\}$	Full	110.6 MB	6m 54.574s	89392
$gg  ightarrow qar{q}$	2	$\{g,q,\overline{q},c,\overline{c}\}$	Full	16.1 MB	3m 14.509s	13856
gg  ightarrow ggg	2	$\{g, c, \overline{c}\}$	Lead.	300.0 MB	21m 42.609s	81480
gg  ightarrow q ar q g	2	$\{g,q,\overline{q},c,\overline{c}\}$	Full	686.1 MB	400m 31.591s	318964
gg  ightarrow gg	1	$\{g,q,\overline{q},c,\overline{c}\}$	Full	537.8 kB	2.386s	768
gg  ightarrow ggg	1	$\{g,q,\overline{q},c,\overline{c}\}$	Full	15.1 MB	8m 53.349s	11496
gg  ightarrow gggg	1	$\{g, c, \overline{c}\}$	Lead.	394.0 MB	104m 14.95s	19680

TABLE: Table containing information for the skeleton of some QCD processes at one- and two-loop. Therein, the column # refers to the number of loops, *Loop-Flavors* denotes the flavor of the particles included in the loops, and *Color* indicates the color order, with Lead. and Full referring to leading- and full-color approximation, respectively. The columns *Size* and *Crea.Time*, indicate the size of the skeleton and the real-time consumed for its construction, respectively. The last column (*Nums*) signifies the number of separate contributions (numerators) to the amplitude. These results have been obtained running 1-core on a laptop (i7 processor, 8-core, 24GB RAM).

#### Integrand reduction

 $\rightarrow$  Talk in GGI 2024:  $\rightarrow$  click to link

メロト メポト メモト メモト

A generic 2-loop integrand can be written using the following scalar product set:

$$\{p_i \cdot p_j, k_i \cdot k_j, k_i \cdot p_j, k_i \cdot \eta_j\}$$
$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\sum_a c_a(z_1^{(a)})^{\beta_1} \dots (z_{n_a}^{(a)})^{\beta_N}}{D_1 \dots D_{N_p}}$$

where the  $z_i$  are any of the scalar products in the set.

Define  $\bar{z}_i$  as the scalar products that cannot be eliminated by being written as linear combinations of  $D_i$  appearing in the denominator, known as irreducible scalar products (ISPs) and the transverse  $k_i \cdot \eta_j$ , if any.

$$\mathcal{N} = P_{max-cut} + \sum_{i} P_{n-to-max-cut} D_i + \sum_{ij} P_{n-n-to-max-cut} D_i D_j + \dots$$

where all the P are polynomials in the so-called irreducible and transverse scalar products.

## OPP@2L WITH HELAC

 Identify at each step the set of loop propagators we have to set to zero and solve the equations that put all of them on shell simultaneously (cut equations) For instance the 7-cut:

$$\left\{k_1.k_1 \to 0, k_1.k_2 \to 0, k_1.p_1 \to 0, k_1.p_2 \to -\frac{s}{2}, k_2.k_2 \to 0, k_2.p_2 \to \frac{s}{2} - k_2.p_1, k_2.p_3 \to -\frac{s}{2}\right\}$$

• Write the equations of the coefficients

$$N|_{cut} = \sum_{i} c_{i}m_{i}, \quad m_{i} = \prod \left(k_{1} \cdot p_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{ij}} \left(k_{2} \cdot p_{j}\right)^{\beta_{ij}} \left(k_{1} \cdot \eta\right)^{\gamma_{i}} \left(k_{2} \cdot \eta\right)^{\delta_{i}}$$

- Solve the system of equations for  $\vec{c}$ , subtract the on-shell expression from the original off-shell one, and move on to the next cut(s), where one less propagator is put on shell, AKA a sub-topology.
- Do this for all sub-topologies (usually up to 2 propagators ones for massless QCD), and the reduction is complete

C.G.Papadopoulos (INPP)

#### This is an algebraic procedure that holds for any loop order.

#### - What do we expect at the end?

$$\mathcal{A}=\sum_i c_i F_i$$

 $c_i$  depends on the external world

 $F_i$  are Feynman integrals of the form

$$F_{i} \equiv F_{a_{1}\dots a_{N}} = \int d^{d}k \underbrace{\frac{(D_{m+1})^{a_{m+1}}\cdots(D_{N})^{a_{N}}}{(D_{1})^{a_{1}}\cdots(D_{m})^{a_{m}}}}_{RSP}$$

 $a_1, \ldots, a_m \to 1$  (2)  $a_{m+1}, \ldots, a_N \to R_{cut} < R$ : tensor rank that through IBP tables will be expressed in terms of Master Integrals.

 $\rightarrow$  full numerical evaluation of pole and finite-remainder terms

Let's look at a specific  $2 \rightarrow 2$  topology example, all gluons:



In  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ , there are 11 degrees of freedom: 8 from the components of 2 loop 4-momenta, and 3 for  $\mu_{11}, \mu_{22}, \mu_{12}$  the  $\epsilon$  part of  $k_1^2$ ,  $k_2^2$  and  $k_1 \cdot k_2$  respectively.

With 7 cut equations, we have a remainder of 4 free parameters.

The right hand side of the OPP equation for the maximal cut has a total of 70 monomials, i.e. 70 coefficients to be fitted.

Use the 4 free parameters to get 70 sets of solutions in order to solve the system.

Challenge: Get a set of solutions to the cut equations which give an **M** matrix of rank 70. Success! We have completed a Mathematica simulation of this fit, for all sub-topologies and get agreement with the known results from Caravel.

→ S. Abreu et al., arXiv:2009.11957 [hep-ph].

In 4-dimensions, we begin with 8 degrees of freedom which we can use to construct solutions to the cut equations, so after imposing the on-shell condition only 1 parameter is left to build solutions with.

Problem!: Cut solution sets with 1 free parameter cannot generate a matrix of rank 70.

Success! In 4-dimensions though, we should use Gram determinant relations to reduce the number of coefficient we need to fit.

 $\rightarrow$  S. Badger, H. Frellesvig and Y. Zhang, JHEP 04 (2012), 055 [arXiv:1202.2019 [hep-ph]].

→ Y. Zhang, JHEP 09 (2012), 042 [arXiv:1205.5707 [hep-ph]].

Indeed after taking into account the Gram determinant relations we find 28 for the example of the 2  $\rightarrow$  2 double-box maximal cut.

Completed a Mathematica simulation for the double-box and for all sub-topologies up to 2 propagators, as before.

- Amplitude reduction in 4 dimensions
- $\bullet~\mbox{Cut}~\mbox{equations} \to \mbox{find}~\mbox{systematically}~\mbox{all}~\mbox{solutions}$
- Integrand basis  $\rightarrow$  systematically include gram-determinant relations
- $R_1$  terms  $\rightarrow \mu_{11}$ ,  $\mu_{12}$ ,  $\mu_{22}$ , 3  $\mu$ -parameters instead of one @1L
- R<sub>2</sub> terms

 $\rightarrow$  S. Pozzorini, H. Zhang and M. F. Zoller, [arXiv:2001.11388 [hep-ph]].

 $\rightarrow$  J. N. Lang, S. Pozzorini, H. Zhang and M. F. Zoller, [arXiv:2007.03713 [hep-ph]].

•  $R \stackrel{?}{=} R_1 + R_2$ 

Amplitude reduction in  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$  requires reconstructing the dimensionally regulated numerator  $\mathcal{N}$ .

• Numerical Unitarity: gluing tree amplitudes in different integer dimensions  $\rightarrow D_s$ 

 $\rightarrow$  R. K. Ellis, W. T. Giele and Z. Kunszt, [arXiv:0708.2398 [hep-ph]].

→ S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier, B. Page and M. Zeng, [arXiv:1703.05273 [hep-ph]].

→ S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, B. Page and V. Sotnikov, [arXiv:1809.09067 [hep-ph]].

→ S. Abreu, J. Dormans, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Kraus, B. Page, E. Pascual, M. S. Ruf and V. Sotnikov, [arXiv:2009.11957 [hep-ph]].

 $\rightarrow$  V. Sotnikov, doi:10.6094/UNIFR/151540

Introducing extra particles and Feynman rules

→R. A. Fazio, P. Mastrolia, E. Mirabella and W. J. Torres Bobadilla, [arXiv:1404.4783 [hep-ph]].

Calculating the dimensionally regulated numerators with HELAC

$$ar{q} = q + ar{q}, \quad ar{\gamma}^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} + ar{\gamma}^{\mu}, \quad ar{g}^{\mu
u} = g^{\mu
u} + ar{g}^{\mu
u}$$
 $\mu = ar{q} \cdot ar{q} = ar{g}ar{g}ar{g}$ 

$$d-4= ilde{g}^{\mu
u} ilde{g}_{\mu
u}= ilde{\gamma}^{\mu} ilde{\gamma}_{\mu}$$

Back to one loop: how to compute

$$ilde{N}\left( oldsymbol{q}, ilde{q}^{2},\epsilon
ight)$$

### OPP@2L WITH HELAC

HELAC aficionados:



knowing that in the numerator:

$$q^2 X \to \mu X$$

$$\sum_{\lambda} \varepsilon_{L_1} \cdot \varepsilon_{L_2} X \to (d-4) X$$
$$\sum_{\lambda} (\varepsilon_{L_1} \cdot q) (\varepsilon_{L_2} \cdot q) X \to \mu X$$

to get X's from recursive equations ?

$$J_{N}^{\mu}, J_{N}\left[q\right], J_{N}\left[\varepsilon_{2^{n}}\right]; J_{N}^{\mu}\left[\varepsilon_{2^{n}}\cdot q\right], Y_{N}\left[q\right]$$

satisfying the following recursive eqautions:

$$J_{N}^{\mu} = V^{\mu} (J_{N_{1}}, p_{N_{1}}; J_{N_{2}}, p_{N_{2}}) + (c_{1} + 2c_{2}) J_{N_{2}}^{\mu} J_{N_{1}} [q] \mu$$

$$J_{N} [q] = (c_{1} - c_{2}) J_{N_{1}} \cdot J_{N_{2}} - (2p_{N_{1}} + p_{N_{2}}) \cdot J_{N_{2}} J_{N_{1}} [q]$$

$$J_{N} [\varepsilon_{2^{n}}] = \begin{cases} -(2p_{N_{1}} + p_{N_{2}}) \cdot J_{N_{2}} J_{N_{1}} [\varepsilon_{2^{n}}] & N < 2^{n+2} - 2\\ (p_{N_{1}} - p_{N_{2}})^{\mu} J_{N_{1}} [\varepsilon_{2^{n}}] & N = 2^{n+2} - 2 \end{cases}$$

$$Y_{N} [q] = J_{N_{1}} [\varepsilon_{2^{n}} \cdot q] \cdot J_{N_{2}} - (2p_{N_{1}} + p_{N_{2}}) \cdot J_{N_{2}} Y_{N_{1}} [q]$$

$$p_{N_{1}} = c_{1}q + p_{N_{1},ext} \text{ and } p_{N_{2}} = c_{2}q + p_{N_{2},ext} \text{ and } V \text{ represents th}$$

where  $p_{N_1} = c_1 q + p_{N_1,ext}$  and  $p_{N_2} = c_2 q + p_{N_2,ext}$  and V represents the three-gluon vertex.

$$\begin{split} N\left(q,\tilde{q}^{2},\epsilon\right) &= J_{2^{n+2}-2}\cdot\varepsilon_{1} + Y_{2^{n+1}-2}\left[q\right]\left(p_{2^{n+1}-2}-p_{2^{n+1}}\right)\cdot\varepsilon_{1} \\ &- \left(J_{2^{n+1}-2}\left[\varepsilon_{2^{n}}\cdot q\right]\right)\cdot\varepsilon_{1} + \left(d-4\right)\left(J_{2^{n+2}-2}\left[\varepsilon_{2^{n}}\right]\right)\cdot\varepsilon_{1} \end{split}$$

Similar equations hold for all possible currents, including four-gluon vertices, quarks and ghosts. Details on the numerical reconstruction of the amplitude in  $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$  dimensions will appear in a forthcoming publication.

- Implemented and tested for gluons, fermions and (anti-)ghosts running in the loop, for up to 6-gluon amplitudes
- Recursive equations for amplitudes with external fermion have been established  $\rightarrow$  implementation & testing is underway
- Extending to two loops

**Remark**: Even the one-loop reduction is now different  $\rightarrow$  no need to separately compute  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  terms.

Current:

- $\bullet$  Integrand construction @2L  $\rightarrow$  solved and implemented
- $\bullet\,$  Cut equations @2L: determining on-shell loop momenta  $\rightarrow\,$  solved, implementation in progress
- Integrand basis construction and fitting @2L  $\rightarrow$  solved, implementation in progress  $\rightarrow V.$  Sotnikov, doi:10.6094/UNIFR/151540
- $d = 4 2\epsilon \rightarrow$  implementation in progress for 1 loop

Near future:

- $d = 4 2\epsilon \rightarrow$  to be extended to 2 loops
- $R_1$  and  $R_2$  terms @2L, if needed, and address  $R \stackrel{?}{=} R_1 + R_2$ .
- IBP reduction tables and MI numerical evaluation

 $\rightarrow$  D. Chicherin and V. Sotnikov, JHEP 20 (2020), 167

 $\rightarrow \text{D.}$  Chicherin, V. Sotnikov and S. Zoia, JHEP 01 (2022), 096

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Next-to-near future: automated 2-loop amplitude evaluation

# Thank you for your attention !

The research project was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the 2nd Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty Members & Researchers (Project Number: 2674).



# Backup slides

A (1) > A (2) > A

$$\begin{split} k_{1}.k_{1} \rightarrow (k_{1}.\eta)^{2} + \frac{t\left(k_{1}.p_{1}\right)^{2}}{s^{2} + s t} + \frac{s\left(k_{1}.p_{3}\right)^{2}}{s t + t^{2}} + (k_{1}.p_{2})^{2} \left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t}\right) \\ + k_{1}.p_{1} \left(\frac{2k_{1}.p_{2}}{s} - \frac{2k_{1}.p_{3}}{s + t}\right) + \frac{2k_{1}.p_{2}k_{1}.p_{3}}{t} + \mu_{11} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{momenta} &: P = p_1, p_2, p_3, \eta \\ &- \text{level 7} \\ x_{1i} &= k_1 \cdot P_i \quad x_{2i} = k_2 \cdot P_i \\ \left\{ x_{11} \to 0, x_{22} \to \frac{1}{2} \left( s - 2x_{21} \right), x_{12} \to -\frac{s}{2}, x_{23} \to -\frac{s}{2} \right\} \\ \left\{ x_{14}, x_{24}, x_{13}, x_{21} \right\} \\ \#\text{ISP} &: 4 \quad \left\{ k_1 \cdot p_3, k_2 \cdot p_1, k_1 \cdot \eta, k_2 \cdot \eta \right\} \\ g_7 &= \left\langle \left\{ D_i \right\}_{i=1}^7 \right\rangle_{RSP} = \left\{ G_i^{(7)} \left( \text{ISP} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{\dim[g_7]} \\ D_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{\dim[g_7]} b_{ij} G_j^{(7)}, \quad i = 1, ..., 7 \quad \text{is there an inverse relation? Yes} : \quad G_j^{(7)} = \sum_{i=1}^7 b'_{ji} D_i \\ N &= \sum_{i=1}^{\dim[g_7]} N_i G_i^{(7)} + P_{cut-7} \end{split}$$

< /⊒ ► < Ξ ► <

$$\begin{split} & N_{1} = N - P_{cut-7} \\ & - |evel \ 6 \\ & - cut \ 1 \\ & \left\{ x_{22} \to \frac{1}{2} \left( s - 2x_{21} \right), x_{12} \to -\frac{s}{2}, x_{23} \to -\frac{s}{2} \right\} \\ & \left\{ x_{14}, x_{24}, x_{13}, x_{11}, x_{21} \right\} \\ & \# |SP : 5 \quad \left\{ k_{1} \cdot p_{3}, k_{2} \cdot p_{1}, k_{1} \cdot p_{1}, k_{1} \cdot \eta, k_{2} \cdot \eta \right\} \\ & g_{6}^{(1)} = \left\langle \left\{ D_{i} \right\}_{i=2}^{7} \right\rangle = \left\{ \left( G_{6}^{(1)} \right)_{i} (ISP) \right\}_{i=1}^{\dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & D_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & D_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & D_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & N_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & N_{1,j} \left( G_{6}^{(1)} \right)_{j} + R_{1} \quad R_{1} \neq 0 \\ \\ & N_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{dim \left[g_{6}^{(1)}\right]} \\ & N_{1,j} \left( G_{6}^{(1)} \right)_{j} + \widehat{P}_{cut-6} \\ & g_{6}^{(7)} = \left\langle \left\{ D_{i} \right\}_{i=1}^{7} \right\rangle_{RSP} = \left\{ \left( G_{6}^{(7)} \right)_{i} (ISP) \right\}_{i=1}^{dim \left[g_{6}^{(7)}\right]} \end{split}$$

C.G.Papadopoulos (INPP)

< /⊒ ► < Ξ ► <

$$\begin{split} &\widehat{P}_{cut-6}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{\dim \left[ s_{6}^{\prime (1)} \right]} p_{j} \left( S_{6}^{\prime (1)} \right)_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[ s_{6}^{\prime (1)} \right]} p_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{7} b'_{ji} D_{i} = P_{cut-6}^{(1)} D_{1} + O(D_{i}) \\ &but \ N_{1} = P_{cut-6}^{(1)} D_{1} + O(D_{i}) \\ &R_{1} = -2k_{1} \cdot p_{1} = -2k_{1} \\ &\kappa_{11} = k_{1} \cdot p_{1} \\ &\widehat{P}_{cut-6}^{(1)} \Big|_{s_{11}=0} = 0 \\ &what is \ \frac{N_{1}}{D_{1}} \text{ on the } 6 - cut \ (\#1) \rightarrow is \text{ a polynomial} = P_{cut-6}^{(1)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} - \cot t \ 7 \\ \# ISP : 5 \ \{k_1 \cdot p_3, k_2 \cdot p_1, k_2 \cdot p_2, k_1 \cdot \eta, k_2 \cdot \eta\} \\ g_6^{(7)} = \left\langle \{D_i\}_{i=1}^6 \right\rangle = \left\{ \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_i (ISP) \right\}_{i=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} \\ D_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} b_{ij} \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6 \quad is there an inverse relation? Yes \\ D_7 = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} b_{ij} \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_j + R_7 \quad R_7 \neq 0 \\ N_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} N_{1,j} \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_j + \widehat{P}_{cut-6}^{(7)} \\ g_6^{(7)} = \left\langle \{D_i\}_{i=1}^7 \right\rangle = \left\{ \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_i (ISP) \right\}_{i=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} \\ \widehat{P}_{cut-6}^{(7)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} p_j \left(G_6^{(7)}\right)_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \left[g_6^{(7)}\right]} p_j \sum_{i=1}^7 b'_{ji} D_i = P_{cut-6}^{(7)} D_7 + O(D_i) \end{array}$$

A (1) > A (2) > A

$$\begin{array}{l} but \ \ N_1 = \mathcal{P}^{(7)}_{cut-6} D_7 + O\left(D_i\right) \\ R_7 = s - 2k_2 \cdot p_1 - 2k_2 \cdot p_2 = s - 2x_{21} - 2x_{22} \\ \hline \left. \widehat{P}^{(7)}_{cut-6} \right|_{x_{22} = \frac{s - 2x_{21}}{2}} \neq 0 \\ what is \ \ \frac{N_1}{D_7} \ on the 6 - cut \ (\#7) \rightarrow is not a polynomial \ ? \neq \mathcal{P}^{(7)}_{cut-6} \end{array}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >