

Medium modifications of heavy-flavor jet angularities in heavy-ion collisions

Yao Li¹ Collaborators: Shi-Yong Chen², Wei×i Kong¹, Sa Wang³, Ben-Wei Zhang¹

¹Central China Normal University ²Huanggang Normal University ³China Three Gorges University

HF-HNC 2024, Guangzhou, 2024/12/08







Introduction

Framework

Results and discussions

Summary

Outline



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ 三目目 のへで

Introduction

Framework

Results and discussions

Summary

Yao Li



Hard probes of the QGP

Jet quenching: Jet energy loss and $p_{\rm T}\mbox{-}{\rm broadening}$ when a jet propagates in the QGP medium



Heavy quarks & heavy-flavor jets

- $m_Q \sim \mathcal{O}(1) (\text{GeV}/\text{c}^2)$
- Creation in the early hard scattering process. Calculable in pQCD down to low $p_{\rm T}$.
- Dead-cone effect (radiation suppressed at $\theta < m_Q/E$).

Jet transport coefficient: $\hat{q} = \frac{d\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{dt}$

• Energy loss: $\frac{dE}{dt}$ • $p_{\rm T}$ -broadening: $\frac{{\rm d}p_{\perp}}{{\rm d}t}$ $R_{\rm AA}(p_{\rm T}) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{\rm coll} \rangle} \frac{dN_{\rm AA}^2 / dp_{\rm T} d\eta}{dN_{\rm pp}^2 / dp_{\rm T} d\eta}$ D⁰ iets JETSCAPE charged jets, anti-k. Dai et al. D⁰ jets, R = 0.3 Inc. iets 1.2 3 < p_ < 36 GeV/c JETSCAPE Dai et al Inclusive jets, R = 0.2 global uncertainty 0.8 0.6 10 p^{ch jet} (GeV/c ALICE. arXiv:2409.11939

<□> < => < => < => < => < =| = <0 < 0



Motivation

Generalized jet angularities:

$$\lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa} = \sum_{i \in jet} \left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{T},i}}{p_{\mathrm{T},jet}} \right)^{\kappa} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{jet,i}}{R} \right)^{\alpha} \equiv \sum_{i \in jet} (z_i)^{\kappa} (\theta_i)^{\alpha}$$

 z_i : Jet p_T fraction carried by constituent i θ_i : Normalized angular distance of constituent i relative to the jet axis.

 $\left(0 < \mathbf{z_i} \le 1, 0 \le \boldsymbol{\theta_i} < 1\right)$



ALICE, PoS HardProbes2023, 140 (2024)



- The smaller angularity of D⁰-tagged jets means more concentrated energy distribution around the jet axis;
- The jet angularities allow us to explore the interplay between parton angular redistribution and energy loss during the evolution of heavy-flavor jets in QGP by an adjustment of the two parameters κ and α.

EL OQO

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ 三目目 のへで

Introduction

Framework

Results and discussions

Summary

Yao Li



SHELL Model: Simulating Heavy quarks Energy Loss with Langevin equations

The motion of heavy quarks in hot dense medium can be regarded as Brownian motion and described by modified Langevin equations:

$$\vec{x}(t + \Delta t) = \vec{x}(t) + \frac{\vec{p}(t)}{E} \Delta t$$
(1)

 $\vec{p}(t+\Delta t) = \vec{p}(t) - \eta_D \vec{p}(t)\Delta t + \vec{\xi}(t)\Delta t - \vec{p}_g$ (2)

Brownian motion



G. D. Moore and D. Teaney PRC 71, 064904 (2005); S. Cao, G. Y. Qin and S. A. Bass PRC 88, 044907 (2013) where $\vec{\xi}(t)$ satisfies $\langle \xi^i(t)\xi^j(t')\rangle = \kappa \delta^{ij}\delta(t-t')$, η_D is the drag coefficient, $\kappa = 2\eta_D ET = 2T^2/D_s$, $2\pi TD_s = 4.0$ is extracted by a χ^2 fitting to the D meson R_{AA} data measured by CMS and ALICE.

The collisional energy loss of light quarks and gluons are calculated under the Hard Thermal Loop (HTL) approximation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E^{\mathrm{coll}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\alpha_s C_s \mu_D^2}{2} \ln \frac{\sqrt{ET}}{\mu_D} \tag{3}$$

PRD 83, 065012 (2011); PLB 726, 251 (2013)

7 / 17

A = A = A = A = A = A



SHELL Model: Radiative energy loss mechanisms

Higher-Twist Approach:

The medium-induced gluon radiation of partons (heavy quarks, light quarks and gluons) are sampled based on the higher-twist spectra:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^{2}\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2\alpha_{s}C_{s}P(x)\hat{q}}{\pi k_{\perp}^{4}}\sin^{2}(\frac{t-t_{i}}{2\tau_{f}})(\frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}+x^{2}M^{2}})^{4}$$
(4)

X. f. Guo and X. N. Wang, PRL 85, 3591-3594 (2000); B. W. Zhang, E. Wang and X. N. Wang, PRL 93, 072301 (2004); A. Majumder, PRD 85, 014023 (2012)

- x: energy fraction of the radiated gluon
- k_{\perp} : transverse momentum of the radiated gluon
- *P*(*x*): splitting function in vacuum
- $\tau_f = 2Ex(1-x)/(k_\perp^2 + x^2M^2)$: gluon formation time
- $\hat{q} \propto q_0 (T/T_0)^3$, $q_0 = 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2/\text{fm} (\text{EPJC 79, 518 (2019)})$

SHELL Model: Medium response (Hybrid Model)

In order to conserve the momentum and energy lost by the jet, we follow the approach of implementing the medium response effect in the Hybrid Model:

$$E\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta N}{\mathrm{d}^{3}p} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{m_{\mathrm{T}}}{T^{5}} \cosh(y - y_{j}) \exp\left[-\frac{m_{\mathrm{T}}}{T} \cosh(y - y_{j})\right] \\ \times \left\{p_{\mathrm{T}}\Delta P_{\mathrm{T}} \cos(\phi - \phi_{j}) + \frac{1}{3}m_{\mathrm{T}}\Delta M_{\mathrm{T}} \cosh(y - y_{j})\right\}$$
(5)

J. Casalderrey-Solana et al., JHEP 03, 135 (2017)

The emitted thermal particles:

- m_T: transverse mass
- *p*_T: transverse momentum
- y: rapidity
- ϕ : azimuthal angle



Collisional scattering process:

- $\Delta P_{\rm T}$: the transverse momentum transferred from the jet to the medium
- ΔE : the energy transferred from the jet to the medium
- $\Delta M_{\rm T} = \Delta E/y_i$

同 ト イヨト イヨト ヨヨ つくつ



pp baseline: POWHEG+PYTHIA8

NLO partonic QCD dijet process: POWHEG (The POWHEG BOX is a general computer framework for implementing NLO

calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs according to the POWHEG method.)

- PDF: CT18NLO
- Tune: Pythia8 Monash 2013
- P. Nason et al., JHEP 11, 040 (2004)
- S. Frixione et al., JHEP 11, 070 (2007)
- S. Alioli et al., JHEP 06, 043 (2010)
- S. Alioli et al., JHEP 04, 081 (2011)







Introduction

Framework

Results and discussions

Summary

Yao Li



<ロ> <同> <同> <日> <同> <日> <同> <日> <日</p>

Medium modifications of $\lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa}$ of inclusive jets



- The "selection bias" effect plays a role;
- The increased fraction of survived narrower jets lead to an enhancement at small $\lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa=1}$ and a suppression at large $\lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa=1}$.



D⁰-tagged vs. inclusive jet angularities in Pb+Pb collisions



- At $10 < p_{T,jet} < 20$ GeV/c, a slightly broader angularity of D⁰-tagged jets observed in Pb+Pb compared to p+p;
- At p_{T,jet} > 20 GeV/c, the angularity distributions of inclusive and D⁰-tagged jets both get narrower in Pb+Pb compared to p+p due to the strong influence of the selection bias.



Average value of jet angularities as function of α



- $\langle \lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa=1} \rangle_{\text{incl.}} > \langle \lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa=1} \rangle_{\text{D-jet}} > \langle \lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa=1} \rangle_{\text{B-jet}}$ for each α ;
- The heavy-flavor jets, especially the B⁰-tagged one, suffer more distinct modifications of (λ_α^{κ=1}) in Pb+Pb compared to p+p due to a more narrower initial angularity;
- At R = 0.4, we observe a broader angularity for both inclusive and heavy-flavor jets. As alpha increases, the ratios also show an increasing trend. Since α controls the weight of the opening angle, higher α value will enhance the contribution of wide-angle particles in jets.

4 14 14 14 14 14



Average value of jet angularities as function of κ



 Since κ controls the weight of particle momentum fraction, higher κ value will suppress the contribution of soft particles in jets. As κ increases, the ratios show an decreasing trend for all types of jets.

Outline



Introduction

Framework

Results and discussions

Summary

Yao Li



◆母 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ヨ ■ ● ● ●

Summary

We present the first theoretical study of heavy-flavor jet angularities in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV:

- At $10 < p_{T,jet} < 20$ GeV/c, a slightly broader angularity of D⁰-tagged jets observed in Pb+Pb compared to p+p;
- At 10 < p_{T,jet} < 20 GeV/c, the heavy-flavor jets, especially the B⁰-tagged one, suffer more distinct modifications of ⟨λ^{κ=1}_α⟩ in Pb+Pb compared to p+p due to a more narrower initial angularity;
- (a) At $10 < p_{T,jet} < 20$ GeV/c, we observe a broader angularity for both inclusive and heavy-flavor jets at R = 0.4. As alpha increases, the ratios also show an increasing trend.

Thank you for your attention!



Selection bias effect



Nuclear modification factor R_{AA} of D^0 meson



= 990

範大學

▶ ∢ ⊒

三日 のへの





Generalized jet angularities

Define:

$$\lambda_{\alpha}^{\kappa} = \sum_{i \in jet} \left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{T},i}}{p_{\mathrm{T},jet}}\right)^{\kappa} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{jet,i}}{R}\right)^{\alpha} \equiv \sum_{i \in jet} (z_i)^{\kappa} (\theta_i)^{\alpha}$$

 z_i : Jet p_T fraction carried by constituent $i \\ \theta_i$: Normalized angular distance of constituent i relative to the jet axis.

 Infra-Red and Collinear (IRC) safe observable for κ = 1, α > 0 → calculable from pQCD.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (1, 1) & \Rightarrow & \text{girth} & & 1 \\ (2, 0) & \Rightarrow & (p_{\mathrm{T}}D)^2 & & \\ (\kappa, \alpha) & (0, 0) & \Rightarrow & \text{hadron multiplicity} & & 0 \\ (1, 2) & \Rightarrow & \text{thrust} \\ (1, 0.5) & \Rightarrow & \text{Les Houche Angularity(LHA)} \end{array}$$



EL OQO

Average value of jet angularities as function of α



• The ratio of PbPb/pp for B⁰-tagged jets exhibits an inverted trend between R = 0.2 and R = 0.4(Single B⁰ jets: pp ~ 74%, PbPb ~ 39% (R = 0.2); pp ~ 34%, PbPb ~ 5.8% (R = 0.4)).

.



RAA of gluon and quark jet





A = A = A = A = A < A
</p>

Wake particles spectrum (medium response)

The spectrum of hadrons from the boosted, heated up, wake in the fluid can be expressed as

$$E\frac{d\Delta N}{d^3 p} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{m_{\rm T}}{T^5} \cosh(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_j) \exp\left[-\frac{m_{\rm T}}{\rm T} \cosh(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_j)\right] \\ \times \left\{ p_{\rm T} \Delta P_{\rm T} \cos(\phi - \phi_j) + \frac{1}{3} m_{\rm T} \Delta M_{\rm T} \cosh(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_j) \right\}.$$
(6)

We assume a proton to pion ratio of 0.05, neglect hadrons other than protons and pions, and use the following momentum-dependent "temperatures":

$$T_{\pi}(p_{\rm T}) = \begin{cases} 0.19 \text{ GeV} & \text{if } p_{\rm T} < 0.7 \text{ GeV} \\ 0.21 \left(\frac{p_{\rm T}}{\text{GeV}}\right)^{0.28} \text{ GeV} & \text{if } p_{\rm T} > 0.7 \text{ GeV} \end{cases}$$
(7)
$$T_{p}(p_{\rm T}) = \begin{cases} 0.15 \text{ GeV} & \text{if } p_{\rm T} < 0.07 \text{ GeV} \\ 0.33 \left(\frac{p_{\rm T}}{\text{GeV}}\right)^{0.3} \text{ GeV} & \text{if } 0.07 \text{ GeV} < p_{\rm T} < 1.9 \text{ GeV} \\ 0.4 \text{ GeV} & \text{if } p_{\rm T} > 1.9 \text{ GeV} \end{cases}$$
(8)

J. Casalderrey-Solana et al, JHEP 03 (2017), 135

Wake particles spectrum (medium response)



Proton Number Density Distribution $y_i = 0, \phi_i = 0, \Delta p_T = 10 \text{ GeV/c}, \Delta E = 12 \text{ GeV}$



伺い イヨト イヨト

三日 のへの

(E)



= 990

Wake particles spectrum



S. Cao and G. Y. Qin, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 73 (2023), 205-229 [arXiv:2211.16821 [nucl-th]]