Production and spin polarization of **heavy flavor in heavy-ion collisions**

Baoyi Chen (陈保义)

Tianjin University (天津⼤学)

The 9th International Symposium on Heavy Flavor Production *in Hadron and Nuclear Collisions, Dec 06-11, 2024, GuangZhou, China*

Outline

- **1. Introduction heavy ion collisions & in-medium quarkonium**
- **2. Heavy quark potential at finite T and baryon density Color screening effect,**
	- **Parton inelastic scattering**
	- **V(r,T) from small (pp) to large (AA) collision system**
- **3. Spin polarization of heavy quark and quarkonium HF coupled with rotational QGP Charmonium spin polarization**

1.Introduction

Satz, hep-ph/0512217

 $\tau_c \approx 1/(2m_c) \sim 0.06$ fm/c

Creation of charm pair, with a tight wave package QGP local equilibrium: $\tau_c \approx 0.6$ fm/c $Charmonium$ bound state formation:

 $\tau_{ub} \approx 1/(\Delta E) \sim 0.3$ fm/c

Quark Heavy quarkonium as a probe of the early profiles of QGP

Previous studies: (1) X. Guo, S. Shi, P. Zhuang, et al Time-independent relativistic Schrodinger PLB 2012, PRD

(2) P. Gaussian, R. Katz, et al **Schrodinger-Langevin equation** Annals Phys. 368 (2016) 267-295 Hamiltonian includes a white noise term and a damping term, which affects the expansion and contraction of the wave function

(3) A. Rothkopf, Y. Akamatsu, et al, **Stochastic Schrodinger equation** *PRD 97 (2018) 1, 014003* Potential includes stochastic terms, wave function decoherence to dissociate quarkonium states

(4) M. Strickland et al, Time-dependent Schrodinger, with complex potential Phys.Lett.B 2020 Bottomonium suppression with real and imaginary potential

And many other references.......

5

Snapshot of the $c\bar{c}$ **wavefunction at different time**

Imaginary V: transition from singlet to **octet and scattering states.**

At high T, wavefunction expand outside, $J/\psi \rightarrow \psi(2S)$ **At low T, wavefunction contracts,** $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi$

This is due to the radius dependence of the potential

1. $c\bar{c}$ internal Initial wavefunction:

Taken as quarkonium eigenstates (neglect the pre-equilibrium effect)

 $\psi_{c\bar{c}}(\tau = \tau_0) = \phi_{1S,2S}(\mathbf{r})$

Initial direct yields

$$
f_{pp}^{J/\psi}:f_{pp}^{\chi_c}:f_{pp}^{\psi(2S)}=0.68:1:0.19
$$

2. The initial momentum and spatial distribution of the center of $c\bar{c}$ dipole

$$
f_{\Psi}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{b}) = (2\pi)^{3} \delta(z) T_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}_{T}) T_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}_{T} - \mathbf{b})
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}(x_{g}, \mu_{\mathbf{F}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{T}} - \mathbf{b}) \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^{3} \mathbf{p}},
$$

<u>Shadowing effect from EPS09 NLO</u>

The initial momentum of $c\bar{c}$ dipoles in pp, **(neglect the mass difference)**

$$
\frac{dN_{J/\psi}}{2\pi p_T dp_T} = \frac{(n-1)}{\pi (n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp}} \left[1 + \frac{p_T^2}{(n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle_{pp}} \right]^{-n}
$$

n = 3.2 $\langle p_T^2 \rangle(y) = \langle p_T^2 \rangle(y = 0) \left[1 - \left(\frac{y}{\gamma m a x} \right)^2 \right]$

Including Cronin effect

$$
\frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^3 \mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\pi a_{gN}l} \int d^2 \mathbf{q}_T e^{\frac{-\mathbf{q}_T^2}{a_{gN}l}} \frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{\Psi}}{d^3 \mathbf{p}}
$$

$$
a_{gN} = 0.15 (GeV/c)^2
$$

$$
\ln(\sqrt{s_{NN}}/m_{\Psi})
$$

\triangleright The initial yields of charmonium eigenstates

$$
n_{mS}^{t=0}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b}, y) = n_{c\bar{c}}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b}) \times |\langle R_{mS}(r)|\phi_{0}(r)\rangle|^{2}
$$

$$
|c_{mS}(t=0|\mathbf{b})|^{2} = \int d\mathbf{x}_{T} \int_{p_{T1}}^{p_{T2}} dp_{T} n_{mS}(\mathbf{x}_{T}, p_{T}|\mathbf{b})
$$

 \triangleright Charmonium direct R_{AA} with hot medium effects,

$$
R_{pA}^{\text{direct}}(nl) = \frac{\langle |c_{nl}(t)|^2 \rangle_{\text{en}}}{\langle |c_{nl}(t_0)|^2 \rangle_{\text{en}}}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\int d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi} |c_{nl}(t, \mathbf{x}_{\Psi}, \mathbf{p}_{\Psi})|^2 \frac{dN_{pA}^{\Psi}}{d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi}}}{\int d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi} |c_{nl}(t_0, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{p}_{\Psi})|^2 \frac{dN_{pA}^{\Psi}}{d\mathbf{x}_{\Psi} d\mathbf{p}_{\Psi}}}
$$

$$
R_{pA}(J/\psi) = \frac{\sum_{nl} \langle |c_{nl}(t)|^2 \rangle_{en} f_{pp}^{nl} \mathcal{B}_{nl \to J/\psi}}{\sum_{nl} \langle |c_{nl}(t_0)| \rangle^2 \rangle_{en} f_{pp}^{nl} \mathcal{B}_{nl \to J/\psi}}
$$

̅**dipoles move inside QGP**

$$
\mathbf{R}_{c\bar{c}}(\tau+\Delta\tau)=\mathbf{R}_{c\bar{c}}+\mathbf{v}_{c\bar{c}}\cdot\Delta\tau
$$

Heavy quark potential

Is color screening important in small system?

Weak color screening

Heavy quark potential

Brief discussion about the real part of the potential (color screening):

DX, Liu, Rapp, PLB **796 (2019) 20-25**

other references Tsinghua Transport: Bottomonium with V=U Liu, Chen, Zhuang, PLB **697 (2011) 32-36**

See also Prof. Shuzhe Shi's talk: V_{DNN} : time-independent Sch.

temperature regions in

small collision systems

reening at **T (~ 200 MeV)** close to Tc; a bit

stronger color screening at higher T

► Distance dependence: weak color screening at small radius, significant effect at large r

3. Charmonium in pp 13 TeV

Ratio of charmonium suppression in pp 13 TeV

- **Charmonium has been suggested as** a probe of the early stage of HIC before.
	- relative suppression 2S/1S:

not affected by the effects before the formation of $c\bar{c}$

$$
V_R \approx -\frac{\alpha}{r} + \sigma r \text{ in pp (pA)}
$$

Wen, Du, Shi, BC, CPC **46 (2022) 114102**

Co-mover model

3. Charmonium in pp 13 TeV

Initial conditions in pp:

Proton size:

$$
\rho_p = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + e^{(r - r_p)/a_p}}
$$

Smooth hydro profile from MUSIC, pp 13 TeV

$$
r_p=0.9\ \text{fm},\ a_p\cong 0.1\ \text{fm}
$$

Employ relative suppression of charmonium 2S/1S to extract the initial hot medium

• Initial momentum distribution of charm dipole

$$
\frac{d^2N_{\Psi}}{d\phi p_T dp_T} = \frac{(n-1)}{\pi (n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle} [1 + \frac{p_T^2}{(n-2) \langle p_T^2 \rangle}]^{-n}
$$

$$
= 15 (GeV/c)^2
$$

 $n = 3.2$

3. Charmonium in pp 13 TeV

Initial conditions in pp:

Proton size: $\qquad \beta$

$$
p_p = \frac{\rho_0}{1 + e^{(r - r_p)/a_p}}
$$

$$
r_p = 0.9 \text{ fm}, \ a_p \cong 0.1 \text{ fm}
$$

3. Charmonium in p-Pb 5.02 TeV

 $\langle p_T^2 \rangle = (80, 55, 28) (GeV/c)^T$ **At 5020, 2760, 200 GeV**

 $n = 2.5$

Direct yields of bottomonium states at 5.02 TeV

State	$\left \Upsilon(1s)\right \chi_b(1p)\left \Upsilon(2s)\right \chi_b(2p)\left \Upsilon(3s)\right $		
$\sigma_{\rm exp}(nb)$ 57.6 33.51 19 29.42 6.8			
$ \bm{\sigma}_{\text{direct}}(nb) $ 37.97 $ \;\;$ 44.2 $ \;\overline{18.27}\; $ 37.68 $ \;\overline{8.21}\;$			

Medium temperature (b=0) T(5.02TeV)=510 MeV T(2.76TeV)=484 MeV T(200GeV)=390 MeV

This ratio between different states are **also used in 2.76 TeV and 200 GeV**

Two kinds of imaginary potential are fitted

- \bullet Smaller band: fit the central value and shifted upward slightly to consider partial uncertainty.
- Larger band: one sigma uncertainty is included.

● Clear sequential suppression pattern is observed when using V=U

Sequential suppression pattern in all collision energies by taking strong V

helps to constrain the heavy quark potential via deep learning methods₁₈ **R**_{AA} sensitive to the uncertainty in the imaginary potential,

3.HQ potential at finite μ_B

Introduce baryon chemical potential in HQ potential

 $\overline{2}$

3.HQ potential at finite μ_B

Introduce baryon chemical potential in HQ potential

$$
V_R(r, T, \mu_B) = -\frac{\alpha}{r} e^{-m_d r} + \frac{\sigma}{m_d} (1 - e^{-m_d r})
$$

$$
V_I(r, T, \mu_B) = -i \frac{g^2 C_F T}{4\pi} \tilde{f}(\hat{r}),
$$

$$
\tilde{f}(\hat{r}) = 2 \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z}{(z^2 + 1)^2} \left[1 - \frac{\sin(z\hat{r})}{z\hat{r}} \right]
$$

$$
m_d(T, \mu_B) = T \sqrt{\frac{4\pi N_c}{3} \alpha \left(1 + \frac{N_f}{6}\right)}
$$

$$
\times \sqrt{1 + \frac{3N_f}{(2N_c + N_f)\pi^2} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{3T}\right)^2}
$$

$$
\mu_B(\sqrt{s_{NN}}) = \frac{1.3}{1 + 0.28\sqrt{s_{NN}}}
$$

CPC 42, 013103 (2018)

Correction from μ_B not evident.

• Charmonium evolution in Bjorken medium With a fixed μ_B/T

$$
\frac{T(t)}{T(t_0)} = \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{1/3}
$$

• The effect of μ_B on charmonium suppression Is weak at $\mu_B/T = 1.0$

Tong, BC, PRC **106 (2022) 034911**

Regeneration: Heavy quark coupled with QGP

► Rotational QGP: HF are strongly coupled with the **rotational QGP.**

HF carry the collective motion, and their spin polarization affected by the vortical field?

HQ detect the transverse profiles of QGP, via sizable V_1 , V_2 , V_3

Charm directed flow: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 120 (2018) 19, 192301

$$
s(\tau_0, x, y, \eta_{\parallel}) = s_0 \{ \alpha N_{\text{coll}} + (1 - \alpha) [N_{\text{part}}^+ f_+(\eta_{\parallel}) + N_{\text{part}}^- f_-(\eta_{\parallel})] \} f(\eta_{\parallel}),
$$

 $f(\eta_{\parallel}) = \exp\left(-\theta(|\eta_{\parallel}| - \eta_{\parallel}^0) \frac{(|\eta_{\parallel}| - \eta_{\parallel}^0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ Au+Au@200GeV.b=8.3fm. n_{τ} = 3.36 ϵ [n,x,y=0](GeV/fm³) $x (fm)$ $f_+(\eta_\parallel) = \begin{cases} 0 & \eta_\parallel < -\eta_T \\ \frac{\eta_T + \eta_\parallel}{2\eta_T} & -\eta_T \leq \eta_\parallel \leq \eta_T \\ 1 & \eta_\parallel > \eta_T, \end{cases}$ The "firestreak" before collision initial state **MUSIC** 21 **Rapidity-odd distribution**

Regeneration: Heavy quark coupled with QGP

The "firestreak"

initial state

before

collision

▷ Rotational QGP: HF are strongly coupled with the **rotational QGP.**

HF carry the collective motion, and their spin polarization affected by the vortical field?

Regeneration: Heavy quark coupled with QGP

 \triangleright Figure from MUSIC: 1209.6330

▷ Rotational QGP: HF are strongly coupled with the **rotational QGP.**

HF carry the collective motion, and their spin polarization affected by the vortical field?

HQ detect the transverse profiles of QGP, via

sizable V_1 , V_2 , V_3

Introduce Fluctuations in initial energy density

$$
\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \tau_0 | \mathbf{b}) \rightarrow \epsilon(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tau_0 | \mathbf{b})
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_T \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon_3 \cos[3(\phi_s - \Psi_3)]})
$$

Quarkonium triangular flow

 24 It seems that HQ and quarkonium may be one of promising probes to detect the initial **rotations of QGP.** The degree of HQ spin polarization by this QGP rotation deserves further studies.

⁄ **polarization**

J/ψ polarization including both initial production and regeneration:

Heavy quark polarization in B-field

● Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation

PRB 83, 134418 (2011)

$$
\frac{dS}{dt} = -\frac{\gamma}{1 + \alpha^2} [\vec{S} \times (\vec{H} + \vec{H}_{th})] - \frac{\alpha \gamma}{1 + \alpha^2} \vec{S} \times [\vec{S} \times (\vec{H} + \vec{H}_{th})]
$$

Heavy quark polarization in B-field

In-medium magnetic field

$$
eB_1(t) = \frac{eB_0}{1 + (\frac{t}{t_B})^2}
$$

$$
eB_2(t, x, y) = \frac{eB_0}{1 + (\frac{t}{t_B})} \exp(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2})
$$

- **Z. F. Jiang, S. Cao, W. J. Xing, X. Y. Wu, C. B.** Yang and B. W. Zhang, PRC 105, no.5, **054907 (2022)**
- **M.** Hongo, Y. Hirono and T. Hirano, PLB **775, 266-270 (2017)**
- G. K. K, M. Kurian and V. Chandra, PRD **106, no.3, 034008 (2022)**
- \bullet A. Huang, Y. Jiang, S. Shi, J. Liao and P. **Zhuang, PLB 777, 177-183 (2018)**

Heavy quark polarization in B-field

Spin polarization in RHIC: s and c quarks after movig out of QGP

Summary

● We study the **in-medium HQ potentials** from small (pp, pA) to large (Pb-Pb, Au-Au) collision systems with charmonium observables.

The Color screening effect is expected to be small and imaginary parts of the potential dominates the quarkonium suppression.

- Heavy quarks strongly coupled with the expanding QGP, and HQ is sensitive to the initial profiles of QGP energy density, which makes **HQ carry the information of rotational QGP.**
- \bullet The spin-polarization of heavy flavor is discussed.

More slides

4. ⁄ **polarization in Pb-Pb**

The angular distribution of the two decay products of J/ψ reflects the polarization of the quarkonium state in dilepton decays,

$$
W(\theta, \phi) \propto \frac{1}{3 + \lambda_{\theta}} (1 + \lambda_{\theta} \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_{\phi} \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi
$$

+ $\lambda_{\theta\phi} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi$),

 $θ$ and $φ$ are the polar and azimuthal angles in a given reference frame

Polarization depending on the chosen frame (three selected frames):

- **①Collins-Soper (CS) frame**: the z−axis is defined as the bisector of the angles between the direction of one beam and the opposite of another beam in the rest frame of the J/ψ.
- **②helicity frame (HX)**, z−axis is defined as the direction of the J/ψ in the centre of mass frame of two colliding nucleus.
- **③event plane frame (EP),** z−axis is defined as the direction orthogonal to the event plane

4. ⁄ **polarization in Pb-Pb**

polarization parameters $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi})$ in different frames are connected with

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\lambda'_{\theta} &= \frac{\lambda_{\theta} - 3\Lambda}{1 + \Lambda}, \\
\lambda'_{\phi} &= \frac{\lambda_{\phi} + \Lambda}{1 + \Lambda}, \\
\lambda'_{\theta\phi} &= \frac{\lambda_{\theta\phi}\cos 2\delta - (\lambda_{\theta} - \lambda_{\phi})/2\sin 2\delta}{1 + \Lambda},\n\end{aligned}
$$

Zhao, BC, Eur.Phys.J.C 84 (2024) 8, 875

With
$$
\Lambda = (\lambda_{\theta} - \lambda_{\phi})/2 \sin^2 \delta - \lambda_{\theta\phi}/2 \sin 2\delta
$$

And δ to be the angle between two polarization axis.

$$
\delta_{\text{CS}\rightarrow\text{HX}} = \arccos\left(\frac{m_{J/\psi}\sinh y}{\sqrt{p_T^2 + E_T^2 \sinh^2 y}}\right)
$$
 Averaged polarization in total production
\n
$$
\delta_{\text{HX}\rightarrow\text{EP}} = \arccos\left(\frac{p_T \sin\phi}{\sqrt{p_T^2 + E_T^2 \sinh^2 y}}\right)
$$

Final momentum distribution of D-meson from Langevin equation Well describe the data at small p_T

Much stronger coupling between **HQ** and QGP

HotQCD PRL 130 (2023) 231902 34