Saturation effects in heavy vector meson photoproduction

Jani Penttala

In collaboration with Christophe Royon

Based on [2411.14815](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.14815)

University of California, Los Angeles

SURGE collaboration

Heavy Flavor Symposium 2024 Guangzhou, China

Gluon saturation at high energy

- HERA: rapid growth of gluon distribution at small x
- Growth cannot go on indefinitely: violation of unitarity
- Will eventually be tamed by gluon recombination effects
- Prediction from theory: **gluon saturation**
- Signs of saturation in the experimental data but no definite evidence

[H1 and ZEUS \(0911.0884\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.0884)

- Saturation effects characterized by the saturation scale Q_s^2
- For saturation to be important:

Momentum scale in the process has to be comparable to Q_s^2

- However: Q_s^2 is quite small...
	- Protons: $Q_{s,p}^2 = \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV})$
	- Nuclei: $Q_{s,A}^2 \sim A^{1/3} Q_{s,p}^2$
		- ⇒ Nuclear enhancement of saturation!
- Energy dependence: $Q_s^2 \sim 1/x^{0.3}$
- **•** Search for saturation:

Energy dependence: $Q_s^2 \sim 1/x^{0.3}$
Search for saturation: Rezaeian (1001.52)
Need a high energy (small x) and a low momentum scale

[Rezaeian \(1001.5266\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.5266)

Exclusive vector meson production

Ryskin, [Z.Phys.C 57 \(1993\) 89-92](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01555742)

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sigma(\gamma^* + A \to V + A) \sim [x g(x)]^2
$$

 \Rightarrow Very sensitive to the gluon structure of the target!

• Heavy vector mesons:

Heavy quark mass makes the process perturbative

- Mass also low enough for saturation!
- **o** Can be measured in:
	- DIS: Electron-ion collisions (HERA, EIC, ...)
	- Ultra-peripheral collisions (LHC, . . .)

See Zaochen Ye's talk on Monday

e−

 γ^* ∗

 A and A

 \dot{A} A γ

 A and A

 $e[−]$

V

V

Invariant amplitude for exclusive vector meson production

$$
-i\mathcal{A}^{\lambda}=2\int\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{b}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{r}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{4\pi}e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{\Delta}}\Psi_{\gamma^{*}}^{q\bar{q}}(\mathbf{r},z)N(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}})\Psi_{V}^{q\bar{q}*}(\mathbf{r},z),\qquad t=-\mathbf{\Delta}^{2}
$$

- $\Psi_{\gamma *}^{q\bar{q}}$ $\frac{qq}{\gamma^*}$: Photon light-cone wave function
- \bullet N: Dipole–target scattering amplitude
- $\Psi_V^{q\bar{q}}$ $\frac{q\bar{q}}{V}$: Vector meson light-cone wave function

Invariant amplitude for exclusive vector meson production

$$
-i\mathcal{A}^{\lambda}=2\int\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{b}\,\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{r}\,\frac{\mathrm{d} z}{4\pi}e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{\Delta}}\Psi_{\gamma^{*}}^{q\bar{q}}(\mathbf{r},z)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}})\Psi_{V}^{q\bar{q}*}(\mathbf{r},z),\qquad t=-\mathbf{\Delta}^{2}
$$

• Dependence on energy W in the dipole amplitude:

$$
x_{\mathbb{P}} = \frac{Q^2 + M_V^2 - t}{W^2 + Q^2 + m_N^2}
$$

 $Q^2 = 0$ for photoproduction

- Meson wave function is nonperturbative has to be modeled
- Various different approaches:

Nonrelativistic QCD, basis light-front quantization...

We use the Boosted Gaussian that has been found to work well phenomenologically: [Kowalski, Motyka, Watt \(hep-ph/0606272\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0606272)

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(r,z) = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{m_Q^2 \mathcal{R}^2}{8z(1-z)} - \frac{2z(1-z)r^2}{\mathcal{R}^2} + \frac{m_Q^2 \mathcal{R}^2}{2}\right)
$$

where \mathcal{N}_{λ} , \mathcal{R} are parameters fixed by normalization and leptonic decay width

- Describes the interaction with the target
- High energy: **eikonal approximation**

$$
N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}}) = 1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \left\langle \text{Tr } V(\mathbf{x}) V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbb{P}}}
$$

- Universal: appears in different processes
- Energy dependence given by a perturbative evolution equation

Balitsky–Kovchegov equation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \log 1/x} N(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_1)=\frac{N_c\alpha_s}{2\pi^2}\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_2\,\frac{\mathbf{x}_{01}^2}{\mathbf{x}_{20}^2\mathbf{x}_{21}^2}\times\left[N(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_2)+N(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)-N(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_1)-N(\mathbf{x}_0,\mathbf{x}_2)N(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\right]
$$

- Saturation effects introduced by the nonlinear term in the BK equation
- Without nonlinear term: BFKL evolution
	- \Rightarrow Compare BK and BFKL evolutions to estimate saturation effects
- We also include the dependence on the impact parameter (usually neglected)
	- Neglecting it can lead to overestimating saturation effects [JP et al. \(2411.13533\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13533)

Initial condition for the high-energy evolution

• Initial condition chosen as the impact-parameter-dependent McLerran–Venugopalan model [JP et al. \(2411.13533\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13533) 1 $\frac{1}{2}({\bf x} + {\bf y})$

$$
N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1 - \exp\bigg(-\int d^2 \mathbf{z} \kappa \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{z}) \Big[K_0(m|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}|) - K_0(m|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z}|)\Big]^2\bigg)
$$

- $\mathbf{F}(z)$ = the thickness function describing the shape of the target
- κ = constant describing the strength of the color field
- \bullet m = infrared regulator

Proton

- \bullet $T(z) =$ Gaussian
- Parameters fixed by exclusive J/ψ

production data

Lead

• From proton to nucleus:

Change only $T(z)$

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathsf{Woods-Saxon}
$$

Exclusive J/ψ production: proton targets

- Slight difference between BFKL and BK in the slope
	- Can be compensated by adjusting α_s for BFKL
- Proton data described well by both BK and BFKL equations

Exclusive J/ψ production: nuclear targets

- Fit the model to the proton data
	- ⇒ Predictions for heavy nuclei
- Differences between BK and BFKL: a factor of 2 for $W \sim 1000$ GeV
- BFKL results linear as predicted
- BK describes the data much better
- Still not exact agreement:
	- A well-known problem, see e.g.

Mäntysaari, Salazar, Schenke (2312.04194) [JP et al. \(2411.13533\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13533)

Exclusive J/ψ production: nuclear suppression

- Nuclear suppresstion factor: $R_\mathcal{A} = \sqrt{\sigma_\mathcal{A}/\sigma_\mathsf{IA}}$ where $\sigma_{\mathsf{IA}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t}$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big|_{t=0} \times \int \mathrm{d}t \, |F_A(t)|^2$ is the impulse approximation
- Without saturation: $R_A \approx 1$
- BFKL essentially constant
	- Linear evolution: energy dependence for protons and nuclei expected to be similar
	- Clear disagreement with the data
	- Saturation provides a natural explanation

Υ production

• Saturation effects much smaller \Rightarrow Not expecting sizable differences even at the LHC

- • Gluon saturation expected at the high-energy limit
- Difficult to measure: need both a high energy and a low momentum scale
- Exclusive heavy vector meson photoproduction is a promising process
	- Diffractive process \Rightarrow sensitive to the gluon density
	- Heavy quark mass \Rightarrow large enough to be perturbative, small enough for saturation
- Compare linear BFKL and nonlinear BK evolution to estimate saturation effects
- Proton targets: no sign of saturation in the current data
- Pb targets: J/ψ shows a clear preference for BK evolution
	- Saturation effects already visible in the LHC data?