Spin polarization at pA system, new spin polarization effects and late time attractors

Shi Pu University of Science and Technology of China

Workshop on Advances, Innovations, and Prospects in High-Energy Nuclear Physics 2024,

中法建交60周年-中国ALICE国际合作30周年系列活动

2024.10.23

Outline

- Introduction to spin polarization
- Spin polarization at pA system Also see talk by Cong Yi in the same section.
- New spin polarization effects
- Late time attractors in spin hydrodynamics
- Summary

Introduction to spin polarization

Spin in high energy physics

Striking spin effects have been observed in high energy reactions since 1970s



Slides copy from Prof. Zuo-tang Liang's review talk

4

Barnet and Einstein-de Hass effects



Barnett effect:

Rotation \implies Magnetization Barnett, Magnetization by rotation, Phys Rev. (1915) 6:239–70.

Einstein-de Haas effect:

$\textbf{Magnetization} \Rightarrow \textbf{Rotation}$

Einstein, de Haas, Experimental proof of the existence of Ampere's molecular currents. Verh Dtsch Phys Ges. (1915) 17:152.

Figures: copy from paper doi: 10.3389/fphy.2015.00054

OAM to spin polarization in **HIC**



- Huge global orbital angular momenta (L~10⁵ ħ) are produced in HIC.
- Global orbital angular momentum leads to the polarizations of Λ hyperons and spin alignment of vector mesons through spinorbital coupling.

Liang, Wang, PRL (2005); PLB (2005); Gao, Chen, Deng, Liang, Wang, Wang, PRC (2008)

reaction plane

Global polarization for Λ and $\overline{\Lambda}$ hyperons



parity-violating decay of hyperons

In case of Λ 's decay, daughter proton preferentially decays in the direction of Λ 's spin (opposite for anti- Λ)

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega^*} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + \alpha \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}^*)$$

 α : Λ decay parameter (=0.642±0.013) P_{Λ}: Λ polarization p_p*: proton momentum in Λ rest frame



7

(BR: 63.9%, cτ~7.9 cm)



Most vortical fluid

 Estimation given by Becattini, Karpenko, Lisa, Upsal, Voloshin, PRC95, 054902 (2017)

$$\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda} \simeq \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{2T} + \frac{\mu_{\Lambda} \mathbf{B}}{T}$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{\overline{\Lambda}} \simeq \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{2T} - \frac{\mu_{\Lambda} \mathbf{B}}{T}$$

- ω = (9 ± 1)x10²¹/s, greater than previously observed in any system.
- QGP is most vortical fluid so far.

...

Liang, Wang, PRL (2005) Betz, Gyulassy, Torrieri, PRC (2007) Becattini, Piccinini, Rizzo, PRC (2008) Becattini, Karpenko, Lisa, Upsal, Voloshin, PRC (2017) Fang, Pang, Q. Wang, X. Wang, PRC (2016)

Phenomenological models for global polarization



Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23

Polarization at low energies

Will the polarization of Lambda be nonzero when $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \rightarrow 0$? If not, how large the "critical $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ " will be?



Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23 10

Local polarization



s quark scenarios (Thermal vorticity + shear) Fu, Liu, Pang, Song, Yin, PRL 2021

Also see:

Yi, Pu, Yang, PRC (2021); Yi, Wu, Qin, Pu, PRC (2022) Ryu, Jupic, Shen, PRC (2021) Isothermal equilibrium (Thermal vorticity + shear) Becattini, Buzzegoli, Palermo, Inghirami, Karpenko, PRL 2021

Puzzles in local polarization at AA system



Spin polarization at pA system

C. Yi, X.Y. Wu, J. Zhu, SP, G.Y. Qin, arXiv: 2408.04296

Also see talk by Cong Yi in the same section.

Setup (I)

• We follow the modified Cooper-Frye formula to compute the polarization pseudo-vector including the contribution from thermal vorticity and thermal shear tensor.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}) &= \mathcal{S}^{\mu}_{\text{thermal}}(\mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{S}^{\mu}_{\text{th-shear}}(\mathbf{p}) \\ \mathcal{S}^{\mu}_{\text{thermal}}(\mathbf{p}) &= \hbar \int d\Sigma \cdot \mathcal{N}_{p} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{\nu} \varpi_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \mathcal{S}^{\mu}_{\text{th-shear}}(\mathbf{p}) &= \hbar \int d\Sigma \cdot \mathcal{N}_{p} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{\nu} n_{\beta}}{(n \cdot p)} p^{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma\alpha} \end{split}$$

thermal vorticity
$$\varpi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_{\alpha} \left(\frac{u_{\beta}}{T} \right) - \partial_{\beta} \left(\frac{u_{\alpha}}{T} \right) \right],$$

thermal shear tensor $\xi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\partial_{\alpha} \left(\frac{u_{\beta}}{T} \right) + \partial_{\beta} \left(\frac{u_{\alpha}}{T} \right) \right]$

Setup (II)

• We consider three different scenarios:

• Λ equilibrium:

It is assumed that Λ hyperons reach the local (thermal) equilibrium at the freeze-out hyper-surface.

• s quark equilibrium:

The spin of Λ hyperons is assumed to be carried by the constituent s quark. We take the s quark's mass instead of Λ 's mass in the simulation.

Isothermal equilibrium:

The temperature of the system at the freeze-out hyper-surface is assumed to be constant. The time unit vector is taken as fluid velocity for simplicity.

Setup (III)

- We implement the 3+1D CLVisc hydrodynamics model Pang, Wang, Wang, PRC (2012)
 Wu, Qin, Pang, Wang, PRC (2022)
- Initial condition: TRENTo-3D model Soeder, Ke, Paquet, Bass, 2306.08665 Moreland, Bernhard, Bass, PRC (2015); PRC (2020) Ke, Moreland, Bernhard, Bass, PRC (2017)
- EoS: HotQCD

HotQCD, A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 094503 (2014)

Temperature dependent shear and bulk viscosity

J. E. Bernhard, J. S. Moreland, S. A. Bass, J. Liu, and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 94, 024907 (2016)

• p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV

Fit parameters and test v2 of Λ



Multiplicity intervals	$\langle N_{ m ch} angle_{ m exp}$	$\langle N_{ m ch} angle_{ m CLVisc}$
[185, 250)	203.3	204.2
[150, 185)	163.6	164.5
[120, 150)	132.7	133.57
[60, 120)	86.7	87.7
[3,60)	40	29.3

We have run 10^5 minimum bias events to divide the centrality. The centrality-dependent pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons and elliptic flow for Λ hyperons computed by our model are consistent with the experimental measurements.

Multiplicity (centrality) dependence



p_T dependence



Azimuthal angle and pseudo-rapidity dependence



Why?

- We implement the 3+1D CLVisc hydrodynamics model Pang, Wang, Wang, PRC (2012) Wu, Qin, Pang, Wang, PRC (2022)
- Initial condition: TRENTo-3D model Soeder, Ke, Paquet, Bass, 2300.00005
 Moreland, Bernhard, Bass, PRC (2015); PRC (2020) Ke, Moreland, Bernhard, Bass, PRC (2017)
- EoS: HotQCD

HotQCD, A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 094503 (2014)

Temperature dependent shear and bulk viscosity

J. E. Bernhard, J. S. Moreland, S. A. Bass, J. Liu, and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C 94, 024907 (2016)

• p+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV

Test for AMPT initial condtions

It describes data well in s quark and isothermal equilbirum scenarios?



Test for AMPT initial conditions



We fix the parameters in 3+1D CLVisc hydrodynamic model with AMPT initial conditions by the spectrum of charged hadrons. But, it cannot describe v2 well.

However ...



Smaller v2 gives a larger polarization along beam direction ? Smaller v2, larger shear induced polarization, smaller thermal vorticial induced polarization Sensitive to initial conditions?



Connection between P_z and v_2

Assuming we consider a Bjorken-like flow

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{thermal}}^{z} = -\frac{1}{4m_{\Lambda}N}\frac{1}{T} \left.\frac{dT}{d\tau}\right|_{\Sigma} \partial_{\phi} \int d\Sigma_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} f_{V}^{(0)} \cosh\eta$$

since

$$\int d\Sigma_{\lambda} p^{\lambda} f_V^{(0)} = \frac{dN}{2\pi E_p p_T dp_T dY} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n(p_T, Y) \cos n\phi \right]$$

one can get

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{thermal}}^{z} \approx \frac{1}{m_{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{T} \left. \frac{dT}{d\tau} \right|_{\Sigma} v_{2}(p_{T}, 0) \sin 2\phi$$

Becattini, Karpenko, PRL (2018); C. Yi, SP, J.H. Gao, D.L. Yang, PRC (2022)

What is the relation between flow and polarization along beam direction?

New spin polarization effects

S. Fang, SP, arXiv:2408.09877

Spin Boltzmann equations

• We derive the spin Boltzmann equation incorporating Møller scattering process using hard thermal loop approximations.

$$p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{\mathcal{A}}^{<}(p) + \hbar\partial_{\mu}S^{(u),\mu\alpha}(p)\partial_{\alpha}f_{\mathcal{V}}^{<}(p) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} + \hbar\partial_{\mu}\left(S_{(u)}^{\mu\alpha}C_{\mathcal{V},\alpha}[f_{\mathcal{V}}^{<}]\right)$$

S. Fang, SP, D.L. Yang, PRD (2022); S. Fang, SP, arXiv:2408.09877

• Scenario (I): particle distribution function is off-equilibirum

$$\partial \sim \lambda^{-1} \mathrm{Kn} \ll \lambda^{-1}$$

Kn: Knudsen number λ : mean free path

• Scenario (II): particle distribution function is at local equilibrium Similar to standard kinetic theory, e.g. AMY

New corrections from scattering

Let us start from the kinetic theory for massless fermions.

$$p \cdot \partial f_0 = C_{pp' \to kk'}[\delta f],$$

We consider the system close to the global equilibrium,

$$f = f_0 + \delta f,$$

We can estimate

$$\delta f \sim A p_{\mu} p_{\nu} \pi^{\mu\nu}, \quad A \sim 1/C_{pp' \to kk'}[f] \sim 1/e^4,$$
 Recalling the spin current in phase space,

$$j^{\mu}(p) = p^{\mu}f + S^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}f + \int_{p',k,k'} C_{pp'\to kk'}[f]\Delta^{\mu},$$

^

$$j^{\mu} \sim \int_{p',k,k'} C_{pp'\to kk'} [\delta f] \Delta^{\mu} \sim \int_{p',k,k'} C_{pp'\to kk'} [Ap_{\mu}p_{\nu}\pi^{\mu\nu}] \Delta^{\mu}$$

- $\sim \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}} \pi^{\mu\nu} p_{\mu} p_{\nu}.$ Leading order in gradient expansion! Corrections from scatterings but do not depend on coupling constant

It can also be derived by Kubo formula.

Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23 28

Connection to condensed matter physics

- After we finish this work, we find that the same discoveries have been derived in condensed matter physics in their quantum kinetic theory.
 - T. Valet, R. Raimondi, arXiv:2410.08975

$$\hat{\rho}_{nm}^{(1)} = -\hbar \frac{f_n^{(0)} - f_m^{(0)}}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m} e \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{nm} - \hbar \partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{f_n^{(1)} + f_m^{(1)}}{2} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{nm}$$

$$-\frac{\mathbf{i}\hbar}{2(\varepsilon_n-\varepsilon_m)}\frac{2\pi n_i v_0^2}{\hbar}\sum_q \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \hat{P}_n \hat{P}_q \hat{P}_m \times \cdots \quad (1)$$
$$\cdots \times \left[\delta\left(\varepsilon_q-\varepsilon_n\right)\left(f_q^{(1)}-f_n^{(1)}\right)+\delta\left(\varepsilon_q-\varepsilon_m\right)\left(f_q^{(1)}-f_m^{(1)}\right)\right],$$

Replacing the electric force by shear force, the results are consistent with what we found.

Private communication and check with T. Valet in workshop at Hangzhou.

Physical meaning of new effects



• Scenario (I):

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{I})}(\mathbf{p}) &= \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{I}),\mathrm{shear}} + \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{I}),\mathrm{chem}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{2}\partial^{2}) \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{I}),\mathrm{shear}} &= -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4N} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot p}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \beta_{0} g_{2}(E_{\mathbf{p}}) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\rho} u_{\sigma} \sigma_{\nu\alpha} p^{\alpha}, \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{I}),\mathrm{chem}} &= -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4N} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot p}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \beta_{0} g_{1}(E_{\mathbf{p}}) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{\rho} u_{\sigma} \nabla_{\nu} \alpha_{0}. \end{split}$$

They come from scatterings but do not depend on coupling constant explicitly. They correspond to anomalous spin Hall conductivity in condensed matter.

S. Fang, SP, arXiv:2408.09877

Also see the similar findings: S. Lin and Z. Wang, arXiv:2406.10003.

Furthermore

What can we learn?

- Spin current (in phase space) is quite different with the charge current.
- What is the complete leading order in gradient expansion in general for spin current? Are there other similar effects?

What is next?

- What is the underlying physics?
- How large will the correction be? How to measure it?
- Dissipative or non-dissipative? Topological invariant?

Other second order corrections

• Scenario (II):

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})}(\mathbf{p}) &= \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\nabla T} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\nabla\omega} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\mathrm{chem}} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\mathrm{shear}} \\ &+ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{chem}-\nabla T} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{shear}-\nabla T} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{shear}-\mathrm{chem}} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{2}\partial^{3}) \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\nabla T} &= -\hbar^{2}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} \left[d_{2} \left(E_{\mathbf{p}} - d_{1}\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \right) \omega^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\beta_{0} - d_{6}\beta_{0}p_{\langle\alpha}p_{\rho\rangle}\omega^{\alpha}\nabla^{\rho}\beta_{0} \right], \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\nabla\omega} &= \hbar^{2}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} \left[\left(E_{\mathbf{p}} - d_{1}\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \right) d_{2}\beta_{0}\nabla^{\alpha}\omega_{\alpha} + d_{6}\frac{1}{2}\beta_{0}^{2}\nabla^{\alpha}\omega^{\rho}p_{\langle\alpha}p_{\rho\rangle} \right], \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\mathrm{chem}} &= \hbar^{2}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} \left[\left(E_{\mathbf{p}} - d_{1}\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \right) d_{3}\beta_{0}\omega^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha_{0} - d_{8}\beta_{0}^{2}\omega^{\alpha}\nabla^{\rho}\alpha_{0}p_{\langle\alpha}p_{\rho\rangle} \right], \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\omega-\mathrm{shear}} &= -\hbar^{2}\beta_{0}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} \left[-d_{4}\omega^{\rho}\sigma^{\alpha}_{\rho}p_{\langle\alpha\rangle} + d_{9}\beta_{0}^{2}\omega^{\beta}\sigma^{\alpha\lambda}p_{\langle\beta}p_{\alpha}p_{\lambda\rangle} \right] \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{chem}-\nabla T} &= \hbar^{2}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} d_{5}\epsilon^{\rho\nu\alpha\beta}u_{\beta}\nabla_{\nu}\alpha_{0}\nabla_{\rho}\beta_{0}p_{\langle\alpha\rangle}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{shear}-\nabla T} &= \hbar^{2}\beta_{0}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})} d_{6}\epsilon^{\beta\nu\sigma\rho}\sigma^{\alpha}_{\beta}u_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\beta_{0}p_{\langle\alpha}p_{\rho\rangle}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II}),\mathrm{shear}-\mathrm{chem}} &= -\hbar^{2}\beta_{0}^{2}\int_{\Sigma}\mathrm{d}\Sigma \cdot pa^{\mu}_{(\mathrm{II})}d_{7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}\sigma^{\alpha}_{\mu}u_{\sigma}\nabla_{\nu}\alpha_{0}p_{\langle\alpha}p_{\rho\rangle}, \end{split}$$

Self energy correction to Wigner function

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\hbar \over 2} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} + \gamma^{\mu} \Pi_{\mu} - m + \overline{\Sigma}_{g} \star \end{bmatrix} S^{<}(q, X) = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (\Sigma_{g}^{>} \star S^{<} - \Sigma_{g}^{<} \star S^{>}),$$

$$S^{<} \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \gamma^{\mu} \overleftarrow{\nabla}_{\mu} + \gamma^{\mu} \overleftarrow{\Pi}_{\mu} - m \right) + S^{<} \star \overline{\Sigma}_{g} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} (S^{>} \star \Sigma_{g}^{<} - S^{<} \star \Sigma_{g}^{>}),$$

$$\star \text{ denotes the Moyal product}$$

 $S^{<}$: Wigner function $\overline{\Sigma}_{g}(q,X) = \Sigma^{\delta}(X) + \operatorname{Re}\Sigma_{g}^{r}$

For a long time, we always neglect the self-energy terms for simplicity. Now, we consider the contributions from them carefully.

New corrections from self-energies

polarization

induced by:

 We consider effects from the thermal QCD background. After a heavy calculation, we get the corrections to polarization vectors from selfenergies:

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{therm}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \frac{m_f^2 T}{E_q^3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} q_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} \left(\frac{u_{\beta}}{T}\right), & \qquad \text{Thermal vorticity} \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{shear}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{4mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma G_{\omega_1}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \frac{m_f^2}{E_q^3} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} u_{\sigma}}{E_q} q^{\gamma} \sigma_{\nu\gamma}, & \qquad \text{Shear tensor} \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{chem}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{4mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \frac{C_{\text{F}} g^2 \mu T}{4\pi^2 E_q^2} \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} u_{\sigma}}{E_q} \nabla_{\nu} \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right) & \qquad \text{Gradient of chemical} \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{acc}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \frac{3m_f^2}{E_q^3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} u_{\sigma} Du_{\nu}, & \qquad \text{Fluid acceleration} \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{vor}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \frac{3m_f^2}{E_q^3} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_{\rho} u_{\sigma} Du_{\nu}, & \qquad \text{Fluid acceleration} \\ \delta \mathcal{P}^{\mu}_{\text{vor}}(t,\mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4mN} \int_{\Sigma} q \cdot d\sigma \frac{m_f^2}{E_q^2} \left[\underline{\omega}^{\mu} \left(4G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) - \frac{|q_{\perp}|^2}{E_q^2} G_{\omega_1}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) + 2G_{\omega_2}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \right) \\ & - \frac{(\underline{\omega} \cdot q)}{E_q} \left(6u^{\mu} G_{\text{T}}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) + \frac{q_{\perp}^{\mu}}{E_q} G_{\omega_1}(E_q,\mathbf{q}) \right) \right], & \qquad \text{Kinetic vorticity} \end{split}$$

Shuo Fang, Shi Pu, Di-Lun Yang, PRD (2024), arXiv: 2311.15197

Corrections from space-time dependent EM fields

 We derived the corrections to Wigner function and polarization from varying EM fields.

$${\cal S}^{\mu}_{(2)} \;=\; rac{1}{8mN} \sum_{m=1,2,3} \int d\Sigma^{\sigma} p_{\sigma} X^{\mu}_{(m)} f^{(m)}_{5},
onumber \ X^{\mu}_{(0)} \;=\; rac{1}{p_{u}^{3}} \Big(u^{\mu} u^{
u} u_{\lambda} - rac{1}{p_{u}} u^{\mu} u^{
u} p_{\lambda} - rac{1}{2p_{u}^{2}} u^{\mu} p^{
u} p_{\lambda} + rac{1}{p_{u}^{2}} p^{\mu} u^{
u} p_{\lambda} + u_{\lambda} g^{\mu
u} \Big) F_{
u
ho} F^{\lambda
ho},$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mu}_{(1)} &= \frac{1}{p_{u}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} p^{\mu} u^{\nu} u_{\lambda} + u^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4p_{u}} u^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2p_{u}} p^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - p_{u} g^{\mu\nu} u_{\lambda} \right) (F_{\nu\gamma} \Omega^{\lambda\gamma} + \Omega_{\nu\gamma} F^{\lambda\gamma}) \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{p_{u}^{3}} \left(p^{\mu} u^{\nu} u_{\lambda} + 2u^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{p_{u}} u^{\mu} p_{\mu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{2}{p_{u}} p^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2p_{u}^{2}} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - 2p_{u} g^{\mu\nu} u_{\lambda} + g^{\mu\nu} p_{\lambda} \right) F_{\nu\rho} F^{\lambda\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Corrections for 2nd order constant EM fields

$$\begin{split} X^{\mu}_{(2)} &= \frac{1}{p_u} \left(\frac{1}{2} u^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} + p^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - p_u g^{\mu\nu} p_{\lambda} \right) \Omega_{\nu\rho} \Omega^{\lambda\rho} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{p_u^2} \left(p^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} u^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4p_u} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - p_u g^{\mu\nu} p_{\lambda} \right) (F_{\nu\gamma} \Omega^{\lambda\gamma} + \Omega_{\nu\gamma} F^{\lambda\gamma}) \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{2p_u^2} \left(u^{\mu} p_{\mu} p_{\lambda} + 2p^{\mu} u^{\nu} p_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{p_u} p^{\mu} p_{\mu} p_{\lambda} - 2p_u g^{\mu\nu} p_{\lambda} \right) F_{\nu\rho} F^{\lambda\rho}, \\ X^{\mu}_{(3)} &= \frac{\beta}{2p_u} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} \Omega_{\nu\rho} \Omega^{\lambda\rho} + \frac{\beta^2}{4p_u^2} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} (F_{\nu\gamma} \Omega^{\lambda\gamma} + \Omega_{\nu\gamma} F^{\lambda\gamma}) + \frac{\beta^3}{3p_u^3} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} p_{\lambda} F_{\nu\rho} F^{\lambda\rho}. \end{split}$$

$$S^{\mu}_{\partial, \text{EM}} = rac{1}{8mN} \sum_{m=0,1,2,3} \int d\Sigma^{\sigma} p_{\sigma} Y^{\mu}_{(m)} f^{(m)}_{5}, \,\,\, \text{Corrections for varying EM fields}$$

$$\begin{split} Y^{\mu}_{(0)} &= -\frac{2}{3p_{u}^{2}} \left(u_{\lambda}u_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2p_{u}}u_{\lambda}p_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2p_{u}}p_{\lambda}u_{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda}F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3p_{u}^{2}} \left(2u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_{u}}p^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_{u}}u^{\mu}p^{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda}F_{\lambda\nu}, \\ Y^{\mu}_{(1)} &= +\frac{2\beta}{3p_{u}} \left(u_{\lambda}u_{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_{u}}u_{\lambda}p_{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_{u}}p_{\lambda}u_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2p_{u}^{2}}p_{\lambda}p_{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda}F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{6p_{u}} \left(u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \frac{4}{p_{u}}p^{\mu}u^{\nu} + \frac{4}{p_{u}}u^{\mu}p^{\nu} - \frac{2}{p_{u}^{2}}p^{\mu}p^{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda}F_{\lambda\nu} \\ &- \frac{\beta}{3p_{u}^{2}} \left(u^{\mu}u^{\lambda}p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2p_{u}}p^{\mu}u^{\lambda}p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2p_{u}}u^{\mu}p^{\lambda}p^{\nu} \right) u^{\rho}\partial_{\lambda}F_{\nu\rho} \\ &\frac{4\beta}{3p_{u}}\partial_{\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda} + \frac{\beta}{3p_{u}}u^{\nu}u^{\rho}\partial^{\mu}F_{\nu\rho}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y^{\mu}_{(2)} &= -\frac{\beta^2}{3} \left(u_{\lambda} u_{\nu} - \frac{2}{p_u} u_{\lambda} p_{\nu} + \frac{1}{p_u^2} p_{\lambda} p_{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{6} \left(\frac{1}{p_u^2} p^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \frac{2}{p_u^2} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} \right) \partial^{\lambda} F_{\lambda\nu} + \frac{\beta^2}{3p_u} p^{\nu} u^{\rho} \partial^{\mu} F_{\nu\rho} \\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{3p_u} \left(u^{\mu} u^{\lambda} p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_u} p^{\mu} u^{\lambda} p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{p_u} u^{\mu} p^{\lambda} p^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2p_u^2} p^{\mu} p^{\lambda} p^{\nu} \right) u^{\rho} \partial_{\lambda} F_{\nu\rho}, \\ Y^{\mu}_{(3)} &= \frac{\beta^3}{3p_u} \left(p^{\mu} u^{\lambda} p^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2p_u} p^{\mu} p^{\lambda} p^{\nu} \right) u^{\rho} \partial_{\lambda} F_{\nu\rho}. \end{split}$$

S. Z. Yang, J.H. Gao, SP, arXiv: 2409.00456

Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23 36

Attractors and focusing behavior in spin hydrodynamics

D.L. Wang, Y. Li, SP, arXiv: 2408.03781

Basic conservation equations in canonical form

Total angular momentum conservation

entum conservation

$$\partial_{\mu}\Theta^{\mu
u} = 0,$$

Currents conservation

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0,$$

Spin tensor, spin density and chemical potential



Thermodynamic relations

$$e + p = Ts + \mu n + \omega_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}$$

energy density

pressure temperature X entropy density

spin chemicalspinpotentialdensity

6-d.o.f Spin hydrodynamics

• By using entropy principle, one can get

$$\begin{split} \Theta^{\mu\nu} &= (e+p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - pg^{\mu\nu} + 2h^{(\mu}u^{\nu)} + 2q^{[\mu}u^{\nu]} + \pi^{\mu\nu} + \phi^{\mu\nu}, \\ q^{\mu} &= \lambda[(u\cdot\partial)u^{\mu} + \frac{1}{T}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}T - 4\omega^{\mu\nu}u_{\nu}], \\ \phi^{\mu\nu} &= 2\gamma[T\omega^{\mu\nu}_{th} + 2(g^{\mu\alpha} - u^{\mu}u^{\alpha})(g^{\nu\beta} - u^{\nu}u^{\beta})\omega_{\alpha\beta}]/T. \end{split}$$

Spin hydrodynamics:

Florkowski, Friman, Jaiswal, Ryblewski, Speranza (2017-2018);

Montenegro, Tinti, Torrieri (2017-2019);

Hattori, Hongo, Huang, Matsuo, Taya PLB(2019) ; arXiv: 2201.12390; arXiv: 2205.08051 Fukushima, SP, Lecture Note (2020); PLB(2021); Wang, Fang, SP, PRD(2021); Wang, Xie, Fang, SP, PRD (2022)

S.Y. Li, M.A Stephanov, H.U Yee, arXiv:2011.12318

D. She, A. Huang, D.F. Hou, J.F Liao, arXiv: 2105.04060Weickgenannt, Wanger,

Speranze, Rischke, PRD 2022; PRD 2022; Weickgennatt, Wanger, Speranza, PRD 2022; arXiv:2306.05936

Recent review:

SP, X.G. Huang, "Relativistic spin hydrodynamics", Acta Phys.Sin. 72 (2023) 7, 071202 Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23

Analytic solutions for spin hydrodynamics

Solution for Bjorken type spin hydrodynamics:

$$\begin{split} \omega^{xy}(\tau) &= \omega_0^{xy} \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{1/3} \exp\left[-\frac{2\gamma\tau_0}{a_1 T_0^3} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\tau_0^2} - 1\right)\right] \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{\eta_s}{s} + \frac{\zeta}{s}\right) \frac{1}{T_0^4} \right. \\ & \times \left[\frac{T_0^3}{\tau_0} \left(\left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{2/3} - 1\right) + \frac{\gamma}{a_1} \left(3\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^2 - \frac{9}{2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0}\right)^{4/3} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\right] \right\} \\ & \left. + \mathcal{O}\left((\omega_0^{xy}/T_0)^2, (\eta_s/s)^2, (\zeta/s)^2, (\eta_s\zeta/s^2)\right), \end{split}$$

D.L. Wang, S. Fang, SP, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 11, 114043

Solution for Gubser type spin hydrodynamics:

$$\begin{split} S^{0x} &= \frac{4L^2}{\tau} C_+ G(L,\tau,x_\perp)^{-1}, \qquad \qquad S^{xz} = \frac{4L^2}{\tau} D_+ G(L,\tau,x_\perp)^{-1}, \\ S^{0y} &= \frac{4L^2}{\tau} C_- G(L,\tau,x_\perp)^{-1}, \qquad \qquad S^{yz} = \frac{4L^2}{\tau} D_- G(L,\tau,x_\perp)^{-1}. \end{split}$$

D.L. Wang, X.Q. Xie, S.Fang, SP, Phys.Rev.D 105 (2022) 11, 114050

 Spin density: Power law X exponential decay Ordinary hydro variables: power law decay No spin effects at late time?

Revisited Bjorken type spin hydro (I)

• For Bjorken type spin hydro, we have

$$\frac{d^2 S^{xy}}{dw^2} + (\Delta_1^{-1} + w^{-1})\frac{dS^{xy}}{dw} + \Delta_1^{-2}(w^{-1} - w^{-2} + 8\alpha w^{\Delta_2})S^{xy} = 0.$$

$$\mathrm{Kn}^{-1} \approx w \equiv \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\phi}}. \qquad w \equiv \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\phi}} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_{1}}\right)^{\Delta_{1}}, \ \frac{\tau_{\phi}\gamma}{\chi} = \alpha w^{\Delta_{2}},$$

Δ_1, Δ_2 are constant

 γ : transport coefficient τ_{ϕ} : relaxation time χ : spin susceptibility

$$f(w) \equiv \Delta_1 \frac{w}{S^{xy}} \frac{dS^{xy}}{dw} = \frac{\tau}{S^{xy}} \frac{dS^{xy}}{d\tau}$$

Here, we assume the γ is proper time dependent different with our previous work.

$$\Delta_1 w f' + f^2 + w f + w - 1 + 8\alpha w^{2+\Delta_2} = 0,$$

Revisited Bjorken type spin hydro (II)

$$\Delta_1 w f' + f^2 + w f + w - 1 + 8\alpha w^{2+\Delta_2} = 0,$$

$$w \equiv \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\phi}} = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_1}\right)^{\Delta_1}, \ \frac{\tau_{\phi}\gamma}{\chi} = \alpha w^{\Delta_2}, \qquad f(w) \equiv \Delta_1 \frac{w}{S^{xy}} \frac{dS^{xy}}{dw} = \frac{\tau}{S^{xy}} \frac{dS^{xy}}{d\tau}$$

If $\alpha w^{2+\Delta_2} \rightarrow 0$, then the late time beahivor reads

 $f \sim \pm 1$

$$\Delta_1 x o f' + f^2 + w f + x o - 1 + 80 w^{2+\Delta_2} = 0,$$

Trivial solution? But one kind of attactors!

Spin density: power law decay

Asymptotic solutions for $S = S^{xy}$

				+∞
$w \to +\infty$			$Kn \rightarrow 0$ exponential decay	•
$\Delta_2 > 0$	$S_{(1),(2)} \propto e^{-w/(2\Delta_1)}$	$Kn \rightarrow +\infty$	Kn → 0	$^{-1}\Delta_2$
$\Delta_2=0$	$S_{(1),(2)} \propto e^{-w(1\pm \sqrt{1-32lpha})/(2\Delta_1)}$	∞ 0	power-law decay $+\infty$	-∞
$-1 < \Delta_2 < 0$	$S_{(1)} \propto e^{-w/\Delta_1}, S_{(2)} \propto \exp\left[-\frac{8lpha w^{1+\Delta_2}}{\Delta_1(1+\Delta_2)} ight]$	Δ	1	
$\Delta_2 = -1$	$S_{(1)} \propto e^{-w/\Delta_1}, S_{(2)} \sim w^{-(1+8lpha)/\Delta_1}$	We focus of	on the region:	
$\Delta_2 < -1$	$S_{(1)} \propto e^{-w/\Delta_1}, S_{(2)} \sim w^{-1/\Delta_1}$	$\Delta_1 > 0,$	$\Delta_2 \leq -1.$	

Late time attactors



Why late time attactors exist?

- Assuming spin susceptibility is a constant for simplicity. $\gamma \sim \tau^{1+\Delta_2-1/\Delta_1}$

When γ is small (or $\Delta_1 > 0$, $\Delta_2 \le -1$),

$$\tau_{\phi} \Delta^{\mu\alpha} \Delta^{\nu\beta} u^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} \phi_{\alpha\beta} + \phi^{\mu\nu} = 2\gamma \Delta^{\mu\alpha} \Delta^{\nu\beta} (\nabla_{[\alpha} u_{\beta]} + 2\omega_{\alpha\beta}),$$

$$\phi^{xy} \approx \phi_0 \exp\left(-\frac{w}{\Delta_1}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma),$$

While ϕ is the source generating spin density

$$\partial_{\lambda} \Sigma^{\lambda xy} \approx 0.$$

$$\frac{dS^{xy}}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}S^{xy} \approx 0, \quad \Longrightarrow \quad S^{xy} \approx S_0 \frac{\tau_1}{\tau} = S_0 w^{-1/\Delta_1}$$

In this case, spin density decays due to expanding only, just like energy or number density in a Bjorken flow. Beyond the non-hydro modes?

New discovery: focusing behavior



FIG. 5. The focusing behavior for $S^{xy}(w)/S_0$ with different S'_0 . The parameters are set to be $\Delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = -1.5$, and $\alpha = 2$. The initial conditions are chosen as $w_0 = 1$ and $S'_0 = -4.9, -3.7, -2.5, -1.3, -0.1, 1.1, 2.3, 3.5$, and 4.7. All solutions $S^{xy}(w)/S_0$ pass through the same point at w = 2.077, 3.876, 6.804, and 11.974 (the last two are not shown in this figure).

Summary and outlook

Summary (I)

How to understand the local polarization at pA system?



New corrections to shear induced polarization come from scatterings but do not depend on coupling constant explicitly.



Summary (III)

We derive the late time attractors and focusing behavior for spin hydrodynamics. It implies that spin density can be treated as other thermodynamic variables in certain region.



Thank you for your time!

Any comments and suggestions are welcome!

Spin polarization at pA system and new spin polarization effect, Shi Pu (USTC), China-ALICE 30 years, 2024.10.23 52



No singularity for spin density



Early time attractors



Are shear induced polarization or spin alignment non-dissipative?

Q1: If the coefficient is T-even, it is non-dissipative.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{CME} & \mathbf{j} \sim C\mathbf{B} & \overset{\mathsf{Taking T transformation}}{& \mathbf{j} \rightarrow -\mathbf{j}} & & & \\ \mathsf{Spin} & & & & \\ \mathsf{spin} & & & \\ \mathsf{polarization} & \mathcal{S}^{i} \sim C^{ijk}(\partial_{j}u_{k} + \partial_{k}u_{j}) & & & \\ \mathcal{S}^{i} \rightarrow -\mathcal{S}^{i} & & \\ \partial_{j}u_{k} \rightarrow -\partial_{j}u_{k} & & \\ \mathsf{Non-dissipative?} & & \\ \mathsf{Non-dissipative?} & & \\ \mathsf{spin} & & \\ \mathsf{spin} & & \\ \mathsf{alignment} & \epsilon^{i}(\lambda)\epsilon^{*j}(\lambda')\rho_{\lambda\lambda'} \sim a\pi^{ij} & & \\ \mathsf{spin} & & \\ \mathsf{spin}$$

For ρ_{00} , the coefficient "a" is T-odd. Dissipative?

In Zubarev approach, the non-dissipative means the results does NOT depend on hypersurface. But, shear tensor comes from local equilibrium operators and should always depends on hypersurface. So, shear induced something is always dissipative?