# Hint to Supersymmetry from GR Vacuum $^{\dagger}$

Otari Sakhelashvili

The University of Sydney

CERN, July 4

<sup>†</sup>with Gia Dvali and Archil Kobakhidze, [arXiv:2406±18402]→ <≧→ <≧→ <≧→ <≥→ <<

#### Classical landscape of GR vacua

General relativity is given by

$$S = \frac{M_{pl}^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( R(g) - 2\Lambda \right),$$

where g is a metric and  $\Lambda$  is a cosmological constant. Classically we have three different possibilities,

- de Sitter  $\Lambda > 0$
- ► anti-de Sitter (AdS)  $\Lambda < 0$
- Minkowski Λ = 0

on top of them we have fluctuations which should be understood as an expectation value of the graviton field operator in a (coherent) quantum states,

$$\delta g_{\mu
u}(x) = rac{\langle \hat{h}_{\mu
u}(x) 
angle}{M_{
m pl}}$$

#### Quantum landscape of GR vacua 1

- Eternal de Sitter is incompatible with Quantum gravity Dvali, Gomes '14,'16+Zell '17
- The ground state should not evolve in time, de Sitter does  $T \propto H$

Gibbons, Hawking '77

Non eternal de Sitter can exist and should be understood as a BRST invariant state on a valid vacuum Berezhiani, Dvali, Sakhelashvili '21

To summarize,

$$t_Q \sim rac{M_{pl}^2}{H^3}$$

Rigidity = double-scaling limit  $M_{pl} \rightarrow \infty$ , H fixed, but  $2 \rightarrow 2$  Graviton interaction

$$\alpha_{gr} = P^2 / M_{pl}^2 \to 0,$$

is trivial.

## Quantum landscape of GR vacua 2

- AdS cosmology leads to big crunch and singular cosmology
- The only vacuum supported by cosmology = Minkowski
- S-matrix formulation singles out the Minkowski vacuum Dvali '20
- Isolated AdS are also part of quantum gravity landscape and supported by AdS/CFT duality Maldacena '98

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

## Can we fix an unique Minkowski vacuum?

Let us imagine, we tuned cosmological constant to zero,

 $\Lambda = 0$ 

Then we have Minkowski vacuum, and quantum gravity with cosmology.

We could ask if we are in a consistent theory.

The answer is no, if there multiple vacua with different energies. We can not pick one and discard others.

An example is QCD  $\theta$ -vacua,  $\mathcal{E} \propto \theta^2$ .

If  $\theta = 0$  is Minkowski,  $\theta' \neq \theta$  is in de Sitter.

The above promotes the strong CP puzzle into the consistency problem Dvali '22

#### The QCD vacuum

The QCD vacuum has topological property,

 $\pi_3(SU(N_c))=Z$ 

and Instanton processes, with rate,

$$\mathcal{M}\sim e^{-rac{8\pi^2}{g^2}}$$

This makes  $\theta$ -angle physical

$$\mathcal{L}_{ heta} \,=\, heta rac{m{g}^2}{16\pi^2} G ilde{G}$$

and vacuum energy depends on,

$$\mathcal{E} \propto \theta^2$$

Callan, Dashen, Gross '76, Jackiw, Rebbi '76  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa, Witten '84,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a minimum of energy Vafa,  $\theta = 0$  is a The (traditional) Strong CP puzzle

 $\theta \leq 10^{-10}$  From EDMN e.g. C. Abel, et al. '20

A quark with chiral symmetry

$$\psi \to e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha} \psi,$$
  
 $\theta \to \theta + 2\alpha$ 

Or in the integral form of anomaly

$$Q_5(t=\infty) - Q_5(t=-\infty) = 2n,$$

The quark could be massive, with Peccei, Quinn '77 symmetry

$$|\Phi|e^{-i\frac{a(x)}{f_a}}\bar{\psi}\psi$$

implies an axion Wilczek '78, Weinberg '78 with

$$a(x) \rightarrow a(x) - 2\alpha f_a$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

Lets look at the following correlator,

$$\mathrm{FT}\langle G\tilde{G}(x) \ G\tilde{G}(0) 
angle_{p 
ightarrow 0} \propto \left. rac{p^2}{p^2 - m^2} 
ight|_{p 
ightarrow 0}$$

If m = 0,  $\theta$  is physical, and

 $heta \propto \langle ilde{G}G 
angle$ 

Axion makes  $\theta$  unphysical, with  $m \neq 0$ . This effect alternatively can be understood as the 3-form Higgs effect  $\tilde{G}G =^{*} dC$ Dvali '05

#### Axion quality problem

a 
ightarrow a + c not exact means,

$$\mathrm{FT}\langle G\tilde{G}(x)\ G\tilde{G}(0)\rangle_{p\to 0}\neq 0$$

This is considered as a quality problem.

If we add gravity, we create de Sitter In our context consistency problem

Alternatively 2-form axion can solve the problem, which can not be undone via continues deformations.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{f_a^2}(C - f_a dB)^2$$

#### Gravitational Instantons 1

Egguchi and Hanson '78 (EH) found euclidean solution of GR,

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{a^{4}}{r^{4}}\right)^{-1} dr^{2} + r^{2} \left(\sigma_{x}^{2} + \sigma_{y}^{2}\right) + r^{2} \left(1 - \frac{a^{4}}{r^{4}}\right) \sigma_{z}^{2}$$

 $\sigma$ 's are SU(2) elements (We have 3-angles  $\phi, \theta, \psi$ ).

$$d\sigma_x = 2\sigma_y \wedge \sigma_z$$

For example,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} dx_i^2 = dr^2 + r^2(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 + \sigma_z^2)$$

 $\sigma_{z} \sim d\psi + \cos\theta d\phi$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The EH instanton is locally flat at infinity, compatible with the S-matrix, has zero action and non-trivial topology

The boundary at infinity  $S^3/Z_2$  and the boundary at r = a (coordinate singularity) is  $S^2$ 

We get two topological invariants,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{g} \left( R^2 - 4R_{\mu\nu}^2 + R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}^2 \right) + \text{bound. terms} = 2$$

$$\tau = -\frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^4 x \, R \tilde{R} = 1$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Instantons must have finite action, we add,

$$\Delta S = c \frac{\chi}{2}$$

For large c, EFT works

$$\mathcal{M} \sim e^{-c}$$

c encodes the cut-off scale

$$c \sim \left(rac{M_{pl}}{\Lambda_{gr}}
ight)^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

#### The Gravity CP-problem

We could add the  $\theta$ -term to the theory

$$S = \frac{\theta}{24\pi^2} \int d^4 x R \tilde{R}$$

Since the theory has  $\theta$ -vacuum structure (Instantons carry non-zero  $\tau$ ),  $\operatorname{FT}\langle \tilde{R}R(x) \ \tilde{R}R(0) \rangle_{\rho \to 0} \neq 0$ 

The vacuum angle is physical

In the S-matrix framework, it can be thought as a consistency problem, or simply as a new CP puzzle.

Now we try to solve the Gravity-CP problem

#### Solving the problem

The fermions carry gravitational anomaly chiral anomaly Delbourgo, Salam '72

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu_5 \propto R ilde R$$

Naively, this should solve the problem. There is a caveat,

$$Q_5(t=\infty) - Q_5(t=-\infty) = 0$$

Helicity 1/2 fermion does not have zero modes

Fermion with helicity 3/2 has zero modes Egguchi, Hanson '78

$$|I_{3/2}| = 2$$

Chiral redefinition of gravitiono implies  $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2 \alpha$ 

$$\psi_{\mu} \to \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma_5 \alpha} \psi_{\mu}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

### A SUGRA?

Consistency of spin/helicity 3/2 particle requires supergravity.

The gauge transformation has form,

$$\psi_{\mu} \to \psi_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\xi$$

To remove ghosts in the interaction theory we need to promote it to a symmetry

This is a local (gauge) version SUSY, SUGRA see e.g. Freedman, Proeyen, Supergravity (book)

So we get a powerful conclusion

The solution of Gravity CP requires SUGRA

After taking into account instanton effects, we get effective t'Hooft vertex,

$$\frac{W^*_{3/2}}{M^2_{pl}}\,\bar\psi^\mu\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi^\nu$$

Breaks *R* symmetry and lowers theory in AdS, with vacuum energy  $\propto -3|W_{3/2}|^2/M_{pl}^2$ . We uplift the theory to Minkowski, with extra Superfield *X* and superpotential,

$$W = X\Lambda_X^2 + W_{3/2}$$

We end up in the Polonyi model with broken SUSY

We predict an ALP (phase of X,  $\langle X \rangle \sim M_{pl}$ ) with mass  $\sim m_{3/2}$ and decay constant  $M_{pl}$  (maybe a good Dark matter)

# The fate of 1/2 fermion anomalies

The 1/2 helicity fermion can not solve Gravity CP, still we have anomaly,

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu_5 \propto R ilde R$$

Consistency requires cancellation of it, or explicit breaking of it.

Also the *R*-symmetry should be exact (Up to helicity 3/2 anomaly)

This has ramification in the SUSY framework, let us add an extra Y-fields,

$$W = \hat{X}\Lambda_X^2 - g\hat{X}\hat{Y}_j^2 + W_{3/2}$$

which sets the theory in AdS, and going back to Minkowski requires, extra fields  $\bar{Y}{}^{\prime}{\rm s}$ 

$$W = \hat{X}\Lambda_X^2 - g\hat{X}\hat{Y}_j^2 + M\hat{Y}_j\hat{Y}_j + W_{3/2}$$

The 1/2-anomaly is cancelled.

We could ask, what happens if we rely all the physics on gravitino condesate,

$$\langle \bar{\psi}^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\nu} 
angle 
eq 0$$

In this scenario, role of the axion is played by  $\eta_R$ , which has mass  $m_{3/2}$  and decay constant  $M_{pl}$ . We still study the mechanism of the SUSY breaking.

Why we do not use the two-form  $B_{\mu\nu}$ , like in QCD? There are potential consistency issues Duff, Nieuwenhuizen '80

## Conclusions

- We argued that Quantum gravity works only on Minkowski and eternal AdS without cosmology
- We used existence of instantons in GR
- We studied topological structure of GR vacua
- We defined Gravity CP problem
- We found necessity of SUGRA and breaking of SUSY
- We predict existence of ALP with the mass of the order of gravitino mass

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

We constrain representations of the 1/2 fermion via requirement of perturbative gravitational anomaly cancellation.

# Thank you

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

# Backup slide (Instanton)

$$u^2 = r^2(1 - rac{a^4}{r^4})$$
  
 $r = a, u = 0$   
 $ds^2 \simeq rac{1}{4}du^2 + rac{1}{4}u^2(d\psi + \cos heta d\phi)^2 + rac{1}{4}a^2d\Omega^2$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

# Backup slide (SUSY)

$$X_0 = \pm M_{pl}(\sqrt{3} + 1)$$
  
 $W_{3/2} = \mp \Lambda_X^2 M_{pl}(\sqrt{3} + 2)$   
 $m_{3/2} = W/M_{pl}^2 = \Lambda_X^2/M_{pl}$   
 $gXY_j^2 \simeq \Lambda_Y^2$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @