


# Data Preservation in High Energy Physics: report and perspectives


**Cristinel DIACONU**

CPPM/CNRS-IN2P3/Aix-Marseille University



<http://dphep.org>

# DPHEP Collaboration




<http://dphep.org>

**2009**

Lol


[arXiv:0912.0255](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0255)



**2012**

Blueprint


[arXiv:1205.4667](https://arxiv.org/abs/1205.4667)



**2015**

Collaboration MoU


[arXiv: 1512.02019](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02019)



**2023**

Decade report

[arXiv: 2302.03583](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.03583)



**Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 795 (2023)**

**ICFA Panel 2024: DPHEP joined Data Lifecycle Panel**


# Data Preservation in High Energy Physics

- What is “data”?
  - not (only) : “files”
  - but : “every *digitally encoded* information that was created as a result of planning, running and exploiting an experiment”
- What is “preservation”?
  - not: a freezer, a herbarium, a museum, an album, a cellar....
  - but: the **process** of transforming a "high intensity/ rapidly changing " computing system into a "low intensity / slowly evolving" computing system with conserving the capacity of extracting new science from the "data".
  - Requires clear plans and a long term organization
    - Within each collaboration and at international level (DPHEP)

- *If a system has a **continuous symmetry property**, then there are corresponding quantities whose values are **preserved** in time.*
- E. Noether

# Guidance into data complexity

Preservation Model		Use Case	
1	Provide additional documentation	Publication related info search	<b>Documentation</b>
2	Preserve the data in a simplified format	Outreach, simple analyses	<b>Outreach, reanalysis</b>
3	Preserve the analysis level software and data format	Full scientific analysis, based on the existing reconstruction	<b>Technical Preservation Projects</b>
4	Preserve the reconstruction and simulation software as well as the basic level data	Retain the full potential of the experimental data	



# A matter of collaboration as well

- The supervision and knowledge transfer/capture is essential at long term
- Need to clarify the status and the rules
- Various stages of organisation can be defined:
  - 0: DP Organisation during experiment proposal.
  - 1: DP Organisation during data taking.
  - 2: DP Organisation after data taking and during analysis-only mode.
  - 3: DP Organisation after the collaboration funding scheme.
  - 4: DP Rescue organisational scheme. This organisation scheme is to be activated when:
    - the host laboratory stops support and announce no long-term commitment.
    - the official collaboration/data stewardship is stopped with no further plans (no step 3 is clearly defined).

## Remarks:

- **Taking no action == decommissioning (deleting) the data.**
  - “Securely” storing/freezing the files and the latest version of the software is certainly not a substitute for a preservation project.

# Costs and Benefits

## **C1. Host laboratories allocate person power and computing resources - specifically to DP.**

in % to the construction/operation costs

C2. Collaborating laboratories participate in the effort: replicate or take over data and computing systems and provide technical assistance.

C3. Researchers and engineers participate outside their main research area.

C4. Innovative computing projects, including pluri-disciplinary open science initiatives, may offer attractive opportunities for data preservation and are therefore an indirect source of support.

C5. The proximity of a follow-up experiment clearly helps in structuring and supporting a data preservation project.

## **B1. New publications – counting here those executed with a strong involvement of the dedicated DP systems.**

B2. Publications made by other groups/people using the new publications produced at B1.

B3. Preserving the scientific expertise and the leadership in the field of the experiment, possibly boosting the transition to a new experiment

B4. Technology expertise in robust data preservation. Improved ability to plan for new experiments and preserve their scientific potential at long term.

- $\text{FoM} = \text{B1}/\text{C1}$

# 2023: Experiments Data Preservation Status




Laboratory/ Collider	Experiment	Data taking period	Preservation Level	Data Volume	Present status	Coll
DESY/PETRA	JADE	1979–1986	4	1 TB	Analysis running on preserved data; migrated from DESY to MPP	4
CERN/LEP	ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL	1989-2000	4	0.5 PB	Analysis running on preserved data	4
DESY/HERA	H1 ZEUS	1992 – 2007	4 3/ 4	0.5 PB 0.2 PB	Analysis running on preserved data	3
SLAC/PEP II	BABAR	1999–2008	4	2 PB	Analysis running on preserved data; migrated from home lab to different centers	4
KEK/KEKB	Belle I	1999-2010	4	4 PB	Analysis running on preserved data; Compatible with Belle II computing	2
FNAL/TeVatron	DØ CDF	1983–2011	4 4	8.5 PB 9 PB	Archived on tapes	4
BNL/RHIC	PHENIX	2000–2016	3	25 PB	Analysis running on preserved data	3
FNAL/v-beam	Minerva	2010–2019	3	10 TB	Analysis running	2
IHEP/BEPCII	BESIII	2009–2030	4	6 PB	Collecting and analyzing data	1
CERN/LHC	ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb	2010-2040	4	O(1EB)	Collecting and analyzing data	1



# Conclusions after 10 years: the scientific output

DP is a **cost-effective way of doing fundamental research** by exploiting unique data sets in the light of the increasing theoretical understanding.

- DP leads to
  - a **significant increase in the scientific output** (10% typically)
  - for a minimal investment overhead (0.1%).
  - .... As predicted in 2013



	Data taking stopped	Publications before 2012	Publications 2012-2022	Scientific return increase %
Babar	2008	471	154	33%
H1+ZEUS	2007	436	62	14%

# Boosting the future experiments

Preserved data can be used to transfer knowledge, training/teaching, outreach or boosting new research programs

- **HERA → EIC**
  - “Scientists today have a **renewed interest in HERA’s particle experiments**, as they hope to use the data – and more precise computer simulations informed by tools like OmniFold – to aid in the analysis of results from future electron-proton experiments, such as at the Department of Energy’s next-generation **Electron-Ion Collider (EIC)**. “
- **Possibly**
  - LHC → FCChh
  - LEP → FCCee

ARTICLE · MYSTERIES OF MATTER

## How Do You Solve a Problem Like a Proton? You Smash It to Smithereens – Then Build It Back Together With Machine Learning

By Theresa Duque  
October 25, 2022

New tool decodes proton snapshots captured by history-making particle detector in record time

CONTACT MEDIA@LBL.GOV →



Looking into the HERA tunnel: Berkeley Lab scientists have developed new machine learning algorithms to accelerate the analysis of data collected decades ago by HERA, the world’s most powerful electron-proton collider that ran at the DESY national research center in Germany from 1992 to 2007. (Credit: DESY)

<https://newscenter.lbl.gov/2022/10/25/solving-the-proton-puzzle/>

# ...and ongoing

## News

News from the DESY research centre

2023/06/20

[Back](#)

### Do quarks interact with the cosmos?

HERA data places limits on the interactions between quarks and cosmic background fields

DESY's HERA collider, decommissioned in 2007, is still providing valuable results to scientists. A newly released paper shows that quarks, which were the main particles under investigation at the electron-proton collider, do not visibly interact with potential cosmic background fields. This means that they don't violate a fundamental symmetry of nature, the rotation and Lorentz invariance. HERA was specifically well-suited for studying quarks, so these results set important limits for other experiments and searches.

ZEUS June 2023

BaBar April 2023  
The 600<sup>th</sup> paper

PHYSICAL REVIEW D **107**, 072001 (2023)

### Study of the reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ , $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$ , and $e^+e^- \rightarrow K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$ at center-of-mass energies from threshold to 4.5 GeV using initial-state radiation

J. P. LEES *et al.*

PHYS. REV. D **107**, 072001 (2023)





FIG. 16. The  $J/\psi$  invariant mass region for the (a)  $K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$ , (b)  $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0\pi^0$ , and (c)  $K_S^0K^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^+\pi^-$  events. The curves show the fit functions described in the text.

### Unbinned Deep Learning Jet Substructure Measurement in High $Q^2$ ep collisions at HERA

H1 Collaboration · V. Andreev (Lebedev Inst.) [Show All\(148\)](#)

Mar 23, 2023

30 pages



e-Print: [2303.13620](#) [hep-ex]

Report number: DESY-23-034

H1 Mars 2023

# Preserved and Open Data

- Planning for preserved data improves the design of running and future experiments
- DP relies on and stimulates cutting-edge technology developments
- DP is strongly linked to **Open Science and FAIR** data paradigms
  - F findable A accessible R reproducible
  - Most difficult to obtain is “I” : interoperability
- Examples:
  - CERN Open Data Portal, Analysis Preservation (CAP), Reusable Analyses (ReAna), cernvm, key4hep etc.
  - Experiments with long DP practice in/tend to join open data projects
    - Lack of person power



# Open Questions (homework)

- 1. Why the systems did not collapse after the data taking? The “common sense: “publish your last paper and leave”.”
  - Still, a small but motivated community voluntarily kept data alive for many years and extracted unique science from it, beyond the “local ntuples” philosophy that eventually perpetuates only very specialised analyses.
- 2. How are the human resources accounted for by the funding agencies or labs?
  - Is doing analysis on preserved data subversive, tolerated or highly valued?
- 3. How are the publications valued in the “long-term” analysis mode of a collaboration?
  - What is the impact of those publications? Are the authors able to claim visibility and recognition?
- 4. How is the value of this (new) science displayed?
  - What is the full cost (and who is supporting it) to promote this 10% of additional science?
- 5 How is HEP data contributing to the human culture as a whole (like in arts, e.g. a painting, or a piece of music, which cannot be valued just in terms of investment, resources and financial transactions)
- 6. What global resources were used 5 and 10 years past the end of the experiment to keep systems alive and publish?
- 7. Are the DP requirements compatible with the running experiments conditions? How much extra investments are needed to make “fresh” data suitable for a long term preservation and how those investments can be optimised further when considering **open data and open science aspects**?
- 8. How are future projects supporting, stimulating and shaping data preservation projects and how are the cost and benefits of this transfer of knowledge accounted for?
- 9 Outreach and education done using real data sets?

# Conclusions 1/2

- Significant/measurable impact of dedicated DP projects @expts./labs
  - Production of high quality and unique scientific results at very low (non-zero) cost
    - 10% output for less than 1% investment: ✓
    - **Long term organisation proves to be productive**
  - Signs of re-vigorating collaborations in the context of new projects
    - HERA-EIC; LEP-FCCee
  - Case for longer term preservation: data sets parking
    - CDF, D0, Babar, LEP, Jade : carefully follow the usability in time
- There is full coherence (but not total overlap) between DP and **Open Data/Science**
  - LHC experiments consider both, looking forward to 2045
- The (DP)HEP future is also considered
  - FCC, EIC : transfer of knowledge in DP from LHC/oldies
- And more is possible on:
  - Education, training, outreach....(via open data)

# Conclusions 2/2

- Although the general awareness of DP in HEP has largely increased in the past decade, and lots of activities and successes are reported, **there is still a lack of coherence between the different experiments and projects.**
  - Lessons for DL panel?
- Transverse activities are still exceptions and cover only a minority of the existing data sets.
- Moreover explicit support of DP by some labs and funding agencies should be at least maintained and probably increase.
- Data preservation is one of the building blocks of the HEP scientific outcome and the DPHEP Collaboration intends to stimulate and support it.
- In practice:
  - DPHEP abstract accepted at CHEP → talk in track 8
  - DPHEP Workshop in autumn (before CHEP) 1.5 days remote+CERN
    - Table tour and global report
    - Possible topics: make “old” data open; value/outreach preservation (and open?)

- 14:25 **ALEPH**; Jacopo Fanini
- 14:45 **CERNLIB**; Andrii Verbytskyi, Ulrich Schwickerath
- 15:00 **DELPHI** ; Dietrich Liko, Dr Ulrich Schwickerath
- 15:15 **OPAL** ; Matthias Schroeder
- 15:30 **DELPHI and OPAL event displays**;  
M.Schroeder
- 15:45 → 16:00 Preserved Coffee
- 16:00 **ZEUS**; Achim Geiser
- 16:20 **H1** ; Speaker: Henry Klest
- 16:40 **JADE** Andrii Verbytskyi /Richard Hildebrandt
- 17:00 **PHENIX** ; Maxim Potekhin
- 17:20 **BaBar** ; Marcus Ebert

## Agenda

- 09:00 **KEK / Belle I & II** ; Takanori Hara
- 09:20 **BESIII** Gang Chen
- 09:40 **CERN Open Data portal** Pablo Saiz
- 10:00 **REANA** Marco Donadoni (CERN)
- 10:20 **CERN Analysis Preservation porta** P. Fokianos
- 10:45 → 11:00 Preserved Cofee
- 11:00 **CERN Open Data: Policy/implementation**; J. Boyd
- 11:20 **ALICE** ; David Dobrigkeit Chinellato
- 11:40 **ATLAS**; Zach Marshall
- 12:00 **LHCb**; Dillon Fitzgerald
- 12:30 → 14:00 Preserved Lunch
- 14:00 **Preserving ANTARES legacy data** ; Jutta Schnabel
- 14:20 **PUNCH4NFDI** ; Achim Geiser
- 14: 40 **CMS** ; Julie Hogan
- 15:00 **ICFA Data Lifecycle Panel** ; Kati Lassila-Perini
- 15:25 **DPHEP Collaboration**




# Goals of this workshop

- Review, update, discuss, plan
- Progress report?
- CHEP2024 Contribution
- EPPSU Contribution/Statement
- Organisation and future activity

bckp

# DPHEP (Hi)story


# In 2013: HEP experiments in $\pm 10$ ans : a change of phase was ongoing



[not all programmes, dates are approximate, just to give the picture]

# HEP Data

HEP Data per experiment (GigaBytes)



# The DPHEP Collaboration

- Collaboration Agreement was signed in 2014
  - Give a clear sign of the will of labs to collaborate in this common challenge
- Members:
  - 2014: CERN, DESY, HIP, IHEP, IN2P3, KEK, MPP
    - 2015 IPP/Canada , 2017 UK/STFC
  - Active labs from US, Italy
    - have not formally joined, but are represented in the Collaboration Board.
- The DPHEP collaboration continue to act as an ICFA panel, as indicated in the Collaboration Agreement
  - About 60 contact persons FA, Labs, experiments
  - Mandate prolonged to 2024

## Collaboration Agreement for the DPHEP Project

BETWEEN:

The Partners of the DPHEP Project (the "Partners") set out in Annex 1 to the Collaboration Agreement,

CONSIDERING THAT:

(1) Data from high-energy physics (HEP) experiments are collected with significant financial and human effort and are mostly unique;

(2) The Data Preservation and Long Term Analysis in High Energy Physics (DPHEP) project (the "Project"), an inter-experimental study group on HEP data preservation and long-term analysis, was initially formed by large collider-based experiments to investigate the technical and organizational aspects of HEP data preservation and convened by a Chair and a Project Manager as a panel of the International Committee for Future Accelerators (ICFA). Two reports were released, providing an analysis of the research case for data preservation and a detailed description of the various projects at experiment, laboratory and international levels;

(3) In its report of May 2012 (see Annex 2), the study group provided a concrete proposal for an international collaboration in charge of the Project and data management and policies in high-energy physics;

(4) The Partners have expressed their interest to take part in and contribute to the Project in order to implement the recommendations provided in the report referred to in Annex 2 and wish to formalize their collaboration through the present Collaboration Agreement;

(5) The mutual benefit of the Partners that shall result from collaboration between them;


HAVE AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

### Organizational structure and decision mechanism

The organizational structure of the Project shall include the following entities:


- 1) International Advisory Committee (IAC)
- 2) Collaboration Board (CB)
- 3) Implementation Board (IB)
- 4) Project Manager
- 5) Chairperson

# When it stops taking data



# DPHEP Study Group (2009)

> [arXiv:0912.0255](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.0255)



- An urgent and vigorous action is needed to ensure data preservation in HEP
  - Examples for the physics case explored
  - Data is rich and can be further exploited in most cases beyond the collaboration lifetime
- The preservation of the full analysis capability of experiments is recommended, including the preservation of reconstruction and simulation software
- An interface to the experiment know-how should be introduced: **data archivist** position in the computing centres
- The preservation of HEP data requires a **synergic action**: collaborations, laboratories and funding agencies
- An International Data Preservation Forum is proposed as a **reference organisation**. The Forum should represent experimental collaborations, laboratories and computing centres






Figure 1: A possible model for data preservation organisation and resources presented as the milestones of the organisation and the resources evolution as a function of time.

# Recent developments


- New ICFA panel, enlarging the scope:
  - “ICFA Panel on the Data Lifecycle”
  - Mission:
    - ...enhance global coordination on all aspects of the data lifecycle including acquisition, processing, distribution, storage, access, analysis, simulation, preservation, management, software, workflows, computing and networking in particle physics, with a focus on open science and FAIR practices.[...]
  - Mandate:
    - Address the data lifecycle within a structured and integrated systems approach in HEP[...]
    - Support the ongoing projects and collaborations started within the “Data Preservation in High Energy Physics” collaboration (DPHEP) and the “Standing Committee on Interregional Connectivity” (SCIC).

# Experiments Status

# JADE

- JADE DP stack is based on open standards, does not rely on specific SW and is extremely portable. One can run it completely on desktop.
- **“JADE – collider experiment on your desktop”.**

Data Preservation  
model *circa* 1980-ies



The JADE Experiment at the PETRA  $e^+e^-$  collider -- history, achievements and revival

S. Bethke (Munich, Max Planck Inst.), A. Wagner (DESY)  
Aug 23, 2022


58 pages  
Published in: *Eur.Phys.J.H* 47 (2022) 16  
e-Print: [2208.11076](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.11076) [hep-ex]

2021

## JADE software: recent developments

More portability, testing and documentation.

- GNU and IBM toolchains support extended with preliminary Intel<sup>NEW</sup> and NAG<sup>NEW</sup>. GNU is still the most stable one.
- More CI tests<sup>NEW</sup>.
- Updated the site and documentation<sup>NEW</sup>.
- Support for CentOS8<sup>NEW</sup> and MacOSX10.15+ on x86\_64<sup>NEW</sup>




# LEP

Papers using archived data

LTDP @LEP: Big Data Today - Peanuts Tomorrow

## New physics with Archeodata

Publications by the LEP experiments




PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 123, 212002 (2019)

2019

Measurements of Two-Particle Correlations in  $e^+e^-$  Collisions at 91 GeV with ALEPH Archived Data

Anthony Badae,<sup>1</sup> Austin Baty<sup>1</sup>, Paoti Chang,<sup>2</sup> Gian Michele Innocenti,<sup>1</sup> Marcello Maggi,<sup>3</sup> Christopher McGinn,<sup>1</sup> Michael Peters,<sup>1</sup> Tzu-An Sheng,<sup>2</sup> Jesse Thaler<sup>1</sup>, and Yen-Jie Lee<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA  
<sup>2</sup>National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan  
<sup>3</sup>INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy



Physical interpretation of the anomalous Cherenkov rings observed with the DELPHI detector

V. F. Perespelita  
ITEP, Moscow  
T. Ekelof  
Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University  
A. Ferrer  
IFIC, Valencia University  
B. R. French  
brfrench@physics.wisc.edu

On long-range pionic Bose-Einstein correlations – Including analyses of OPAL, L3 and CMS BECs –

Takuya Mizoguchi<sup>1</sup> and Minoru Biyajima<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute of Technology, Toba College, Toba 517-8501, Japan  
<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan

February 23, 2021

2020

2021

→ FCCee


→ With real data from LEP



DELPHI Run: 109187 Evt: 3066  
Beam: 103.0 GeV Proc: 20-Jul-2022  
DAS: 23-Apr-2000 Scan: 26-Apr-2023  
09:44:08 Tan+DST

	TD	TE	TS	TK	TV	ST	PA
Act	0	288	0	48	0	0	0
	(202	1288	0	0	48	0	0
Deact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	(	0	0	0	0	0	0

DELPHI event display  
with revised software




# Babar (03/2021)

T. Cartaro




## Publications

- 595 papers published or submitted
  - 9 papers published in 2017, 8 in 2018, 4 in 2019, 6 in 2020
  - 3 in the pipeline so far in 2021, few more expected later in 2021
- ~15 analyses active and on track for publication
  - Some are progressing slowly
  - 6 new analyses started last year and expect some more this year
- 25 talks in 2021
  - 7 talks at EPS-HEP, and more already assigned
  - 26 talks given in 2020 (17 cancelled due to COVID-19)
  - Often shared talks (and collaborative analyses) with Belle
- Quality of physics results still excellent




# of BaBar Presentations per Year



**But: SLAC LTDA decommissioned, moving to U. Victoria/CERN/CC-IN2P3/Grid-Ka**  
**Open Data decided**

# Update of the BaBar publication skyline



**Fig. 6** BABAR submitted (green) and published (red) papers per year. In 2012 predictions for submissions (yellow) were made for the years 2013 to 2018. In 2012 it was predicted that no analysis would run after 2018





# HERA: succesful DP, towards open data

- H1: “Level 4” DPHEP strategy
  - All data, full migration, including regular recompilation/validation
  - Recent “technology jump” succesfull : in line with modern tools
    - “LHC”-like tools, ready for opendata

- ZEUS : “Level 3/4” DPHEP strategy
  - Root ntuples produced in the preparatory phase
  - easy to maintain/use/test/open


'H1Red' for simulated Pythia8.3 event




- New topics/collaborators (EIC)


## *Synergy with future experiment: EIC*

- many EIC topics common with HERA



- some EIC members have recently joined ZEUS to work on common analysis topics with real ZEUS data





**Fig. 4** Left: Number of Monte Carlo events produced centrally by the H1 Collaboration. The years without MC production are related to a change of the computing environment, or no MC requests. Right: Number of H1 authors is increasing since 2019 due to retained analysis capabilities and new interest in  $ep$  physics. The colored areas indicate

the data taking period (green), the period with active funding (yellow) and the period under the new collaboration agreement in *data preservation mode* (cyan). The number of corresponding publications is also indicated

# LHC Data Preservation

- Data Preservation and Open Access policies (already since 2012-2014)
  - DP is a « specification » included in the computing models and plans for upgrades
  - HEP Software Foundation Roadmap
- Strong initiative on Open Data and Open Science policy
- Concrete implementation and technology-oriented survey
  - Very active multi-experiment projects
  - data re-use, réanalysis, réinterpretation, outreach etc.
    - **OpenData, Analysis Preservation, REANA...**

## A Roadmap for HEP Software and Computing R&D for the 2020s

HEP Software Foundation<sup>1</sup>

arXiv:1712.06982

### CERN announces new open data policy in support of open science

A new open data policy for scientific experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will make scientific research more reproducible, accessible, and collaborative

11 DECEMBER, 2020

nature physics

Explore Content Journal Information Publish With Us

nature > nature physics > perspectives > article


<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41567-018-0342-2>

Perspective | Open Access | Published: 15 November 2018

**Open is not enough**

me  
tor  
es.  
ire,  
ing  
lers  
per.  
for

Filter by experiment	2017	2021
<input type="checkbox"/> ALICE	15	15
<input type="checkbox"/> ATLAS	101	109
<input type="checkbox"/> CMS	878	1167
<input type="checkbox"/> LHCb	3	4
<input type="checkbox"/> OPERA		904



os, Jose Benito  
iguez  
idis, Markus  
t Iglesias, Kati




Other experiments expressed clear intention to join : LEP, JADE, H1/ZEUS, BaBar (HR is an issue)


# Towards more standards

## EDM4hep: the common language

### CERNVM: the “freezer”



- The Event Data Model describes the structure of the data
  - Challenge: can we have the same for all HEP experiments? LCIO shared by ILC and CLIC
- Heavily inspired by LCIO and FCC-edm



## key4hep / EDM4hep and DPHEP?

- Key4hep / EDM4hep: framework with longer perspective than a single experiment
  - Not just *another data format*, but one that might become a standard
- Requires “migration”, which may be a pain or not even possible
  - Workpower / Experts missing
    - Encapsulation may help here, both for migration and validation
- For LEP data, FCC-ee may provide a unique opportunity
  - Share to center-of-mass energies: 91.2 GeV, 160 GeV
    - Clear advantage in looking at what real data look like to understand bottle necks and limitations
    - Possible student projects
  - ALEPH: early investigations promising
    - ALPHA++ provides the relevant code for migration
    - Several ALEPH experts involved in FCC-ee studies

## CERN Open Data portal: Status

**Purpose:** sharing event-level particle physics data and accompanying code for both education and research

**Content:** collision & simulated & derived datasets, software tools, analysis examples, VMs and containers, documentation, configuration, event display

**Size:** over 7600 records, over 900M files, over 2.4 PB

- ▶ CMS completed 2010–11 proton-proton data; released half of 2012 data; released 2010–11 heavy-ion data samples and corresponding pp reference datasets
- ▶ ATLAS released 13TeV educational samples
- ▶ Data science and Machine Learning (CMS, LHCb. . .)
- ▶ Non-LHC physics: OPERA neutrino physics data; interest from PHENIX (RHIC/BNL); JADE tests




<https://opendata.cern.ch>

```
~/tmp $ cernopendata-client download-files --recid 3388 --verify  
>>> Downloading file 1 of 11  
-> File: /FS06/Full10P1a.sel  
-> Progress: 0% (0/100)  
->>> Verifying file Full10P1a.sel...  
-> expected size 380, found 380  
-> expected checksum adler32:ff6368a, found adler32:ff6368a
```

Command-line client to ease data download

@tiborsimko

2/3



## CERN Open Data portal: Plans

- ▶ December 2020: A common statement on the open data policy by CERN management and ATLAS, ALICE, CMS, LHCb and TOTEM experiments.

<https://opendata.cern.ch/docs/cern-open-data-policy-for-lhc-experiments>

- ▶ Prepare for forthcoming increase in open data publishing.
- ▶ Introduce flexible hot/cold disk/tape storage solution. Part of dataset files on disk, part on tapes.
- ▶ Simplify ingestion and exposure of experiment datasets (Rucio, Dirac).
- ▶ Automate provenance testing and usage examples. (See the next presentation with REANA status overview.)

@tiborsimko

3/3

## CERN announces new open data policy in support of open science

A new open data policy for scientific experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will make scientific research more reproducible, accessible, and collaborative

22 DECEMBER 2020



Image credits: © the MIT community page / LHC

Geneva, 22 December 2020. The four main LHC collaborations (ATLAS, ALICE, CMS and LHCb) have unanimously endorsed a new open data policy for scientific experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), which was presented to the CERN Council today. The policy commits to publicly releasing so-called level 3 scientific data, the type required to make scientific studies, collected by the LHC experiments. Data will start to be released approximately five years after collection, and the aim is for the full dataset to be publicly available by the close of the experiment contracts. The policy addresses the growing movement of open science, which aims to make scientific research more reproducible, accessible, and collaborative.

The level 3 data released can contribute to scientific research in particle physics, as well as research in the field of scientific computing, for example to improve reconstruction or analysis methods based on machine learning techniques, an approach that requires rich data sets for training and validation.

# CERN Analysis Preservation and Reusable Analyses

nature physics

Explore Content ▾ Journal Information ▾ Publish With Us ▾

nature > nature physics > perspectives > article

Perspective | Open Access | Published: 15 November 2018

## Open is not enough

Xiaoli Chen, Sigrje Dalmeier-Tiessen, Robin Dasler, Sebastian Feger, Panfilos Fokianos, Jose Benito Gonzalez, Harri Hirvonen, Dinos Koussis, Artemis Lavasa, Salvatore Mele, Diego Rodriguez Rodriguez, Tiber Simko, Tim Smith, Ana Trisovic, Anna Trzcinska, Ioannis Tsanaktsidis, Markus Zimmermann, Kyle Cranmer, Lukas Heinrich, Gordon Watts, Michael Hildreth, Lara Lloret Iglesias, Kati Lassila-Perini & Sebastian Neubert

- **CAP** : preserve analysis
  - <http://analysispreservation.cern.ch/>
- **REANA** : improve workflow
  - Run research data analyses on containerised compute clouds
  - <http://reana.io/>


## CERN Analysis Preservation framework

**Purpose:** capture and preserve all elements needed to understand and reuse an analysis even several years later; take a consistent snapshot linking all the knowledge

**Usage:** describe analysis + deposit n-tuples, code etc via CLI and web UI + share with colleagues = preserve knowledge

**Community:** pilot with ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb

- ▶ content restricted to collaborations
- ▶ metadata interconnected with collaboration databases
- ▶ associated knowledge, e.g. CMS statistics questionnaire
- ▶ helps addressing increasing number of funding agencies asking for comprehensive data management policies
- ▶ run by CERN Scientific Information Service (P. Fokianos, K. Naim)



<https://analysispreservation.cern.ch>

2 / 3


## REANA reproducible analysis platform

**Purpose:** run declarative computational workflows on containerised compute clouds


**Usage:** data + code + environment + workflow = computational reproducibility

**Community:** pilot examples with ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, FCC, LHCb; ATLAS search groups (SUSY, EXOT, HDBS) now require workflow preservation as mandatory for analysis approval

- ▶ promotes pre-productibility during active analysis phase to facilitate future preservation
- ▶ integration with GitLab; CI/CD mode
- ▶ verification of analysis examples and data provenance chain (CMS AOD reprocessing)
- ▶ support for hybrid compute workflows with multiple backends (HTCondor, Kubernetes, Slurm)



<https://www.reana.io>



CMS Jet Energy Corrections workflow



REANA running on supercomputers (e.g. NERSC)

3 / 3

@tborisimko

# The DPHEP 2020 Vision

- *The “vision” for DPHEP – first presented to ICFA **in February 2013** – a consists of the following key points:*
  - By 2020, all **archived data** – e.g. that described in DPHEP Blueprint, including LHC data – should be easily **findable** and fully **usable** by the **designated communities** with clear (Open) access policies and possibilities to annotate further
  - Best practices, tools and services should be well run-in, fully documented and sustainable; built in common with **other disciplines**, based on standards
  - There should be a **DPHEP portal**, through which data / tools accessed
  - **Clear targets & metrics** to measure the above should be agreed between **Funding Agencies, Service Providers** and the **Experiments (Collaborations)**.
  - Although there is clearly much work still to be done, this vision looks both achievable and the timescale for realizing it has been significantly reduced through interactions with other (non-HEP) projects and communities.

## 2012 (blueprint)

<p><b>Priority 1:</b></p> <p><b>Local</b> Action in experiments, laboratories</p>	<p>Data preparation: 1-3 FTE/expt/2-3 years</p> <p>Data archivists: 0.5-1 FTE /lab</p>
<p><b>Priority 2:</b></p> <p><b>International</b> organization</p>	<p>Project Manager: <b>1 FTE</b></p> <p>Technical support: 0.2 FTE</p> <p>Contributions from Labs: 0.2/lab (data archivists)</p>
<p><b>Priority 3:</b></p> <p><b>Transverse</b> Projects (examples considered)</p>	<p>Project leaders: 1-2 FTE's/projects</p> <p>+ contributions from involved experiments 0.2 FTEs/expt.</p>

- According to the provisions from DPHEP initial documents and in agreement with the few projects observed in the past years, the direct investments in dedicated DP projects correspond to  $O(10)$  FTE-years with a very marginal investment in material
- The C1 item can be compared with the total experimental costs that are, for the kind of collaborations considered here (HERA, BABAR etc.) of a few  $O(10^3)$  FTE-years (plus the constructions costs, usually corresponding to multi-hundred millions).
- With this perspective, one can very approximately estimate that the investment in a DP project corresponds to at most a few per mille from the total cost of the experiment.
  - $C1 = O(0,1\%)$
  - $B1 = O(10\%)$
- $C1/B1 \rightarrow$  cost effective science
- Refinements possible
  - make the exercise for Open data as well



# Preserved and Open Data

- Planning for preserved data improves the design of running and future experiments
- DP relies on and stimulates cutting-edge technology developments
- DP is strongly linked to **Open Science and FAIR** data paradigms
- Examples:
  - CERN Open Data Portal, Analysis Preservation (CAP), Reusable Analyses (ReAna), cernvm, key4hep etc.

# A word on FAIR

- The DPHEP objectives (2012) intrinsically comply with what has become to be known as FAIR principles (2016)
- Indeed, the data has to be
  - easy to find (F)
  - accessible (A)
  - and therefore -in a HEP collaborative context- (re)usable (R).
  - The interoperability (I), identified as one of the long term goals ten years ago, is becoming a built-in specification of the recent computing systems as well.
    - Concrete steps have been achieved, with a few examples given, with a strong incentive originating from the open science policy or within structural projects such as WLCG.
- However, a clear strategy for a FAIR approach over the entire HEP field (including past, present and future experiments) is still to be defined.
  - DPHEP can certainly contribute to such a global approach

M. Wilkinson *et al.*, "The fair guiding principles for scientific data management and stewardship", *Scientific Data* Article No.160018 no. 3, (2016) .  
10.1038/sdata.2016.18.

# Discussion incentives

- Preservation and sharing/open:
  - Let data escape into unknown/unusual world
    - “In time” (long term) → Preserved
    - “In space” (released to others) → Open
- Why would you do that?
  - Data contains more than planned for → more science
  - New audience, new ideas → more science
  - More technology, interdisciplinarity, skills, teaching, policy .....
- The motivation is shared by both P&O
  - How are those related?
  - DPHEP: P & O are complementary and rather strongly related aspects of a continuous output enhancement action around unique frontier science data
- DPHEP report 2022:
  - a strong interest to translate healthy and functional analysis systems into open data hosts , HERA, BaBar, RHIC
    - main pb: Person power
- There is room to think and act in common and global

# DPHEP resources for DP

- 2012 Blueprint

	Project	Goals and deliverables	Resources and timelines	Location, possible funding source, DPHEP allocation
<b>Experiment and laboratory</b> Priority: 1	Experimental Data Preservation Task Force	Install an experiment data preservation task force to define and implement data preservation goals.	1 FTE installed as soon as possible, and included in upgrade projects	Located within each computing team. Experiment funding agencies or host laboratories. DPHEP contact ensured, not necessarily as a displayed FTE.
	Facility or Laboratory Data Preservation Projects	Data archivist for facility, part of the R&D team or in charge with the running preservation system and designed as contact person for DPHEP.	1-2 FTE per laboratory, installed as a common resource.	Experiment common person-power, support by the host labs or by the funding agencies as a part of the on going experimental programme. A fraction 0.2 FTE allocated to DPHEP for technical support and overall organisation.
<b>Multi-experiment</b> Priority: 3	General validation framework	Provide a common framework for HEP software validation, leading to a common repository for experiments software. Deployment on grid and contingency with LHC computing also part of the goals.	1 FTE	Installed in DESY, as present host of the corresponding initiative. Funding from common projects. Cooperation with upgrades at LHC can be envisaged. Part of DPHEP.
	Archival systems	Install secured data storage units able to maintain complex data in a functional form over long period of time without intensive usage.	0.5 FTE	Multi-lab project, cooperation with industry possible. Included in DPHEP person-power.
	Virtual dedicated analysis farms	Provide a design for exporting regular analysis on farms to closed virtual farm able to ingest frozen analysis systems for a 5-10 years lifetime.	1 FTE	The host of this working group should be SLAC. Funding could come from central projects and can be considered as part of DPHEP.
	RECAST contact	Ensure contact with projects aiming at defining interfaces between high-level data and theory.	0.5 FTE	Installed with proximity to the LHC, the main consumer of this initiative, with strong connections to the data preservation initiatives that may adopt the paradigms.
	High level objects and INSPIRE	Extend INSPIRE service to documentation and high-level data object.	0.5-1.5 FTE	Installed at one of the INSPIRE partner laboratories.
	Outreach	Install a multi-experiment project on outreach using preserved data, define common formats for outreach and connect to the existing events.	1 FTE central + 0.2 FTE per experiment	A coordinating role can be played by DPHEP in connection with a large outreach project existing at CERN, DESY or FNAL. The outreach contributions from experiments and laboratories can be partially allocated to the common HEP data outreach project and steered by DPHEP.
	<b>Global</b> Priority: 2	DPHEP Organisation	DPHEP Project Manager	1 FTE

## CERN Open Data portal: Status

**Purpose:** sharing event-level particle physics data and accompanying code for both education and research

**Content:** collision & simulated & derived datasets, software tools, analysis examples, VMs and containers, documentation, configuration, event display

**Size:** over 7600 records, over 900M files, over 2.4 PB

- ▶ CMS completed 2010–11 proton-proton data; released half of 2012 data; released 2010–11 heavy-ion data samples and corresponding pp reference datasets
- ▶ ATLAS released 13TeV educational samples
- ▶ Data science and Machine Learning (CMS, LHCb...)
- ▶ Non-LHC physics: OPERA neutrino physics data; interest from PHENIX (RHIC/BNL); JADE tests




<https://opendata.cern.ch>

```
#/tmp $ cernopendata-client download-files --recid 3000 --verify
$ curl -s https://opendata.cern.ch/api/v1/datasets/3000 | jq
  % Total    % Received % Xferd  Average Speed   Time    Time     Time  Current
                                 Dload  Upload   Total   Spent    Left  Speed
100  100000 100 100000    0     0  100000      0  0 --:--:--  100000
-> File: /FS06/FullFile/3000
-> Progress: 81% size (3000)
-> Verifying file MultiFile.xml...
-> expected size 3000, found 3000
-> expected checksum adler32:ff63668a, found adler32:ff63668a
```

Command-line client to ease data download

@tiborsimko

2 / 3



## CERN Open Data portal: Plans

- ▶ December 2020: A common statement on the open data policy by CERN management and ATLAS, ALICE, CMS, LHCb and TOTEM experiments.

<https://opendata.cern.ch/docs/cern-open-data-policy-for-lhc-experiments>

- ▶ Prepare for forthcoming increase in open data publishing.
- ▶ Introduce flexible hot/cold disk/tape storage solution. Part of dataset files on disk, part on tapes.
- ▶ Simplify ingestion and exposure of experiment datasets (Rucio, Dirac).
- ▶ Automate provenance testing and usage examples. (See the next presentation with REANA status overview.)

### CERN announces new open data policy in support of open science

A new open data policy for scientific experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will make scientific research more reproducible, accessible, and collaborative

22 DECEMBER 2020



Image courtesy of the CERN community page (LHC)

Geneva, 22 December 2020. The four main LHC collaborations (ALICE, ATLAS, CMS and LHCb) have unanimously endorsed a new open data policy for scientific experiments at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), which was presented to the CERN Council today. The policy commits to publicly releasing so-called level 3 scientific data, the type required to make scientific studies, collected by the LHC experiments. Data will start to be released approximately five years after collection, and the aim is for the full dataset to be publicly available by the close of the experiment's lifespan. The policy addresses the growing movement of open science, which aims to make scientific research more reproducible, accessible, and collaborative.

The level 3 data released can contribute to scientific research in particle physics, as well as research in the field of scientific computing, for example to improve reconstruction or analysis methods based on machine learning techniques, an approach that requires rich data sets for training and validation.

# CERN Analysis Preservation and Reusable Analyses

nature physics

Explore Content ▾ Journal Information ▾ Publish With Us ▾

nature > nature physics > perspectives > article

Perspective | Open Access | Published: 15 November 2018

## Open is not enough

Xiaoli Chen, Sigrje Dalmeier-Tiessen, Robin Dasler, Sebastian Feger, Panfilos Fokianos, Jose Benito Gonzalez, Harri Hirvonen, Dinos Koussis, Artemis Lavasa, Salvatore Mele, Diego Rodriguez Rodriguez, Tiber Simko, Tim Smith, Ana Trisovic, Anna Trzcinska, Ioannis Tsanaktsidis, Markus Zimmermann, Kyle Cranmer, Lukas Heinrich, Gordon Watts, Michael Hildreth, Lara Lloret Iglesias, Kati Lassila-Perini & Sebastian Neubert

- **CAP** : preserve analysis
  - <http://analysispreservation.cern.ch/>
- **REANA** : improve workflow
  - Run research data analyses on containerised compute clouds
  - <http://reana.io/>


## CERN Analysis Preservation framework

**Purpose:** capture and preserve all elements needed to understand and reuse an analysis even several years later; take a consistent snapshot linking all the knowledge

**Usage:** describe analysis + deposit n-tuples, code etc via CLI and web UI + share with colleagues = preserve knowledge

**Community:** pilot with ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb

- ▶ content restricted to collaborations
- ▶ metadata interconnected with collaboration databases
- ▶ associated knowledge, e.g. CMS statistics questionnaire
- ▶ helps addressing increasing number of funding agencies asking for comprehensive data management policies
- ▶ run by CERN Scientific Information Service (P. Fokianos, K. Naim)



<https://analysispreservation.cern.ch>

2 / 3


## REANA reproducible analysis platform

**Purpose:** run declarative computational workflows on containerised compute clouds


**Usage:** data + code + environment + workflow = computational reproducibility

**Community:** pilot examples with ALICE, ATLAS, CMS, FCC, LHCb; ATLAS search groups (SUSY, EXOT, HDBS) now require workflow preservation as mandatory for analysis approval

- ▶ promotes pre-productibility during active analysis phase to facilitate future preservation
- ▶ integration with GitLab; CI/CD mode
- ▶ verification of analysis examples and data provenance chain (CMS AOD reprocessing)
- ▶ support for hybrid compute workflows with multiple backends (HTCondor, Kubernetes, Slurm)



<https://www.reana.io>



CMS Jet Energy Corrections workflow



REANA running on supercomputers (e.g. NERSC)


3 / 3





# HEP Data

HEP Data per experiment (GigaBytes)









# DPHEP timelines

Year	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
	Start-up					Consolidation			DPHEP Collaboration		
HEP	HERA stops	Babar stops	LHC starts	Belle I stops	Tevatron stops				LHC Run 2		
DPHEP Group			ICFA Panel		LHC exp. joined	DPHEP Manger appointed at CERN		DPHEP Collaboration Agreements signed	1 <sup>st</sup> DPHEP Collaboration Meeting		2 <sup>nd</sup> DPHEP Collaboration Meeting
DPHEP Docs			DPHEP White Paper			Blueprint Report			DPHEP Status Report 2020 Vision		DPHEP 2017 Status Report
DP Projects within expts.		Babar DP starts		HERA DP starts	BELLE DP starts	CMS DP Policy CDF/D0 DP starts Babar LTDAP operational	ALICE, LHCb, DP Policies	ATLAS DP Policy H1/ZEUS DP systems operational	CERN/LHC Open Data	CERN/LHC Analysis Preservation	Tevatron DP operational


# Scientific output: status 2017





2018 status

# BABAR Highlights and Press Releases



**BERKELEY LAB**  
Bringing Science Solutions to the World

About the Lab Leadership/Organization Calendar News Center

**NEWS CENTER**

**New Study: Scientists Narrow Down the Search for Dark Photons Using Decade-Old Particle Collider Data**  
Analysis of data from the BaBar experiment rules out theorized particle's explanation for muon mystery

News Release Glenn Roberts Jr. (510) 5

**November 2017**

f 256 | 61 | 32 | 409

**INTERACTIONS.ORG**  
PARTICLE PHYSICS NEWS AND RESOURCES

Home About Particle Physics

A communication resource from the world's particle physics laboratories

**New study: Scientists narrow down the search for dark photons using decade-old particle collider data**

8 November 2017 - Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

*Analysis of data from the BaBar experiment rules out theorized particle's explanation for muon mystery*

In its first years of operation, a particle collider in Northern California was refused to search for signs of new particles that might help fill in some big holes in our understanding of the universe. A fresh analysis of this data, co-led by physicists at the Department of Energy's Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (Berkeley Lab), limits some of the hiding places for one type of theorized particle – the dark photon, also known as the heavy photon – that was proposed to help explain the mystery of dark matter.

The latest result, published in the journal *Physical Review Letters* by the roughly 240-member *BaBar* Collaboration, adds to results from a collection of previous experiments seeking, but not yet finding, the theorized dark photons.

"Although it does not rule out the existence of dark photons, and definitively rule out their explanation for another property of the subatomic particle known as the muon," said University of Victoria professor

Dark matter, which accounts for an estimated 85 percent being observed by its gravitational interactions with normal galaxies is much faster than expected based on their vis

DATE IS: November 8  
SOURCE: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  
CONTENT: Press Release  
CONTACT: [Link]

**Physics** ABOUT BROWSE PRESS COLLECTIONS

Search articles

**Viewpoint: New Light Shed on Dark Photons**

Douglas Bryman, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V2T 2L6, Canada  
November 20, 2017 - 11:59 AM - 11:23

A search for a photonic particle that could be related to dark matter has come up empty, putting new constraints on models that imagine a dark form of electromagnetism.

Search for a Dark Photon in "e+e- Collisions at BaBar"  
J.P. Lees et al. (BaBar Collaboration)  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 231801 (2014)  
Published November 20, 2014  
View PDF

Features  
Q&A: A Condensed Matter Theorist Embraces AI  
Juan Carlos Collaor gave himself a crash course on machine learning and found a new way of approaching condensed-matter theory.  
Meetings: Interplanetary GPS



**nature**  
International journal of science

Altmetric: 512 Citations: 2 More det

Review

**Dataset:**  
Y(4S): 433/fb  
Y(3S): 30/fb  
Y(2S): 14/fb  
Off resonance: 10%  
Y(1S) accessed via  
Y(2S,3S) → Y(1S) π+π-


**A challenge to lepton universality in B-meson decays**

Gregory Cizarek<sup>1</sup>, Manuel Franco Sevilla<sup>2</sup>, Brian Hamilton<sup>3</sup>, Robert Kowalewski<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Kuhn Vera Lust<sup>5</sup> & Yutaro Sato<sup>7</sup>

Nature 546, 227–233 (9 June 2017) | Received: 15 December 2016  
doi:10.1038/nature2 | 20 March 2017  
Download Citation | June 2017 | online: 07 June 2017

Experimental particle physics Phenomenology Theoretical particle physics

**Abstract**



**The Economist**

**The narrow of time**  
Backward ran sentences...  
To the relief of physicists, time rarely does have a preferred direction

May 26, 2013 | From the print edition

TIME seems to flow inexorably in one direction. Superficially, that is because things deteriorate with age and they, in turn, to become more and more ways to arrange particles in an orderly fashion than in a jumbled mess. Any change in an existing arrangement is therefore liable to increase its disorder.

Dig a little deeper, though, and time's arrow becomes mysterious. A particle cannot, by itself, become disordered, so when you examine its behaviour in isolation the past and the future are hard to distinguish. If you flip its movement and then give the film its reverse, you will not be able to work out just from the particle's behaviour which way to run the film through the projector.

1 BABAR  
2 Belle  
3 LHCb  
4 Average  
5 Standard-model prediction

**physicstoday**

Home Print Edition Daily Edition Masthead Jobs Subscribe

**Democracy suffers a blow—in particle physics**

Physicists Update



## BABAR needs Help!

- *BABAR* data actively being analyzed and high impact papers published (see slide 2). Expect this to continue to at least through 2021.
- SLAC management plans to stop hosting *BABAR* computing in February 2020 at which time the tapes with data will be ejected.
- DOE support ended in 2017, now running on international common funds (OCF).
- Looking for possibility of support and long term data preservation at
  - CERN,
  - GridKa (*BABAR* site for analysis and XRootD federated dataset main redirector),
  - University of Victoria (*BABAR* site for analysis, documentation, and tools support).
- *BABAR* lightweight VMs come with the latest software release and xrootd client included, running under the most common virtual machine players. Just add the data via the GridKa main XRootD redirector.

## BABAR in Numbers

- 2PB of data on T10k-D tapes
  - raw, processed, Monte Carlo
  - **Unique dataset at the  $Y(3S)$  resonance** (no plan at the moment to run at the  $Y(3S)$  @ Belle II)
- Full environment enclosed in VMs (SL5,SL6)
- ~1TB of documentation, repositories, and dataset information (DBs, cvs, wiki, html)
  - Internal documents archived on INSPIRE

- 574 papers, ~10 papers/year past 3 years
- 231 members (semi-frozen author list)
  - Including PhD students in Canada, Germany, Israel, Italy, Russia, US
  - Associated theorists mine data to test new ideas
- ~20 analyses on track, ~10 more in the pipeline
  - Continue to have new analyses every year including joint *BABAR* -Belle analyses
- **Students analyze *BABAR* data while working on Belle II and other experiments in construction/commissioning phase**