Pre-recombination resolutions to the Hubble tension

• Angular structure of the CMB must remain \simeq constant

$$
r_s(z_{\rm rec}) = \int_{z_{\rm rec}}^{\infty} \frac{c_s(z)}{H(z)} dz
$$

$$
\theta_s = \frac{r_s(z_{\text{rec}})}{D_A(z_{\text{rec}})} \sim \frac{c_s(z_{\text{rec}})/H(z_{\text{rec}})}{F(\Omega_m)/H_0} = \frac{H_0}{H(z_{\text{rec}})} \frac{c_s(z_{\text{rec}})}{F(\Omega_m)}
$$

• If $H(z_{\text{rec}})$ increases then Silk damping angular scale must increase

$$
\theta_D \sim \frac{H_0}{\sqrt{\dot{\tau}(z_{\text{rec}})H(z_{\text{rec}})}}
$$
\n
$$
\delta \theta_D/\theta_D \sim \sqrt{H_0/H_0^{\Lambda \text{CDM}}}
$$
\n"Damping starts at larger scales"

\n n_s also generically increases

See Poulin, TLS, and Karwal 2302.09032

Stop calling it the Hubble tension!

Poulin, TLS++ 2407.18292 Bernal, Verde++ 2102.05066 Pedrotti++ 2408.04530 Aylor++ 1811.00537 Knox and Millea 1908.03663

In this paper we called it the "cosmic calibration tension"

Stop calling it the Hubble tension!

See Poulin, TLS++ 2407.18292

Two case studies: axion-like EDE and 'new' EDE

- Axion-like EDE is a cosmological scalar field initially fixed by Hubble friction which then oscillates
- 'New' EDE is a field in a false vacuum which undergoes a phase transition

Extensions: \blacksquare scale. At these scales one can reasonably expect quantum can reasonably expect quantum can reasonably expect q relevant. When assessing models, in lieu of a concrete FIG. 5. DENSITY GROUPS AND THE EDST-fit model as \mathcal{L} with *fixed* **h** and all other parameters (except $\overline{}$

• Coupling DM & EDE to address S_8 $Karwal ++ 2106.13290$ vant to the Hubble tension, naturally undergoes a field **• Coupling D** $McDonough ++ 1811.04083$ $\mathcal{L} =$ 1 2 S_8 $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + i \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi - V(\phi) - m_\text{DM}(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi$ $\mu_{\text{DM}}(\gamma)$ μ_{O} pa DM 8 EDE to address $S = \frac{1}{2}(\partial \phi)$ tig Divi & LDL to addition \log \approx $2^{(0\gamma)}$ α arvoal ++ 2106.13290 $McDonough$ ++ 1811.04083 $m_{\rm DM}(\phi) = m_0 e^{c\phi/M_{\rm pl}}$ \int **c**. Coupling DM 2 fixed to the their values in \mathcal{A} .

relevant. When assessing models, in lieu of a concrete Leads to <u>enhanced</u> Divi grov $m = C_0 - C_1$ (1) $2c^2k^2$ $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_N (1 + k^2 + a^2 d^2 V / d \phi^2)$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ade to enhanced $\Box M$ growth: $G_{\text{eff}}=G$ ado to <u>ormanood</u> Divi growth. $\left(1+\frac{2c^2k^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$ $k^2+a^2d^2V/d\phi^2 \int_{Bosat}$ the cosm state of cosmic conventions evolution, i.e., evolution, i.e., evolution, i.e., evolution, i.e., evolution, i.e., etc., i.e., i. $G_{\text{eff}}=G_N$ $\sqrt{2}$ $1 +$ $2c^2k^2$ $k^2 + a^2d^2V/d\phi^2$ ◆ Leads to enhanced DM growth: *Bean ++ 0808.1105*

• Modified gravity
\n
$$
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{F(\sigma)}{2} R - \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\nu} \sigma - \Lambda - V(\sigma) + \mathcal{L}_m \right]
$$
\n
$$
V(\sigma) = \lambda \sigma^4 / 4
$$
\n• Non-minimal coupling to address
$$
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{\rm pl}^2 R(g)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi) \right]
$$
\nfine tuning *SAsstein and Trodden 1911.11760*

\nGonzalez, Liang, Sakstein and Trodden 2011.09895 + S_{\nu} [\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}],

\n
$$
\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = \frac{\beta}{M_{\rm pl}} \Theta(\nu),
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{\phi \to 0} \phi = m_0 \left(1 + g \frac{\phi^2}{M_{\rm pl}^2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\rm eff} \approx V(\phi) + g \frac{\phi^2}{M_{\rm pl}^2} \rho_{\rm DM}
$$

'Model independent' approaches? Z *dz ^X f*(*z*) *f*(*z*)*,* (8) we obtain the resulting changes in the best-fit parameters in the best-fit parameters in the best-fit parameters ²²*/f*(*z*)*f*(*z*⁰ \sim 10 \sim POANAC') the data is *most* sensitive, while in contrast, our goal is to

Hojjati et al. 1304.3724 $\overline{}$ $3 \frac{[Pm(\omega) + P_{r}(\omega) + P_{\text{A}}]}{[Pm(\omega) + P_{r}(\omega)]}$ $\sqrt{1}$ cosmological parameters $\sqrt{1}$ $\delta = \sum \delta_i \left[\frac{1}{1 + \sin(\ln a - \ln a \cdot \mu)/\tau} - \frac{1}{1 + \sin(\ln a - \ln a \cdot)/\tau} \right]$ \overline{i} L

Very Early Dark Energy

Projecting into the future

Tristan L. Smith, ICC, 6 June 2024

Stop calling it the Hubble tension!

Lynch, Knox, and Chluba, 2406.10202

The preferred shape of the potential

