



Presentation end of June:

- first comparison between FastSim IDEA and FullSim CLD
- comparison of different Higgs channels in FullSim
- we have seen discrepancies in $\Delta \phi$

Today's Agenda

- 1. Explanation of $\Delta \phi$ discrepancies
- 2. FastSim CLD vs. FullSim CLD & FullSim in different Higgs channels with more data (Thanks Brieuc!)
- 3. ML Training: Jet Tagging on FullSim CLD data
- 4. Investigation on lost charged particles & unassigned tracks to PFOs

* peak at 0 due to single particle jets

1. $\Delta \phi$ discrepancies in H \rightarrow TT



Explanation for peaks at $\pm \pi/2$: Bremstrahlung



For n=2, the peak occurs for electron and photons

$$au^-
ightarrow e^- + ar{
u_e} +
u_ au
ightarrow e^- + ar{
u_e} +
u_ au + \gamma$$

Electron emits a Bremsstrahlung photon \rightarrow same θ angle due to magnetic field along z $\rightarrow \phi$ at interaction point also very similar

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \phi \text{=} arctan(p_x/p_y) \text{ after rotation of constituent of } \phi \text{ and } \theta \text{ jet angles} \\ \rightarrow \text{ if } p_x << p_y \rightarrow \pi/2 \end{array}$

Find mathematical deviation of $\pm \pi/2$ limit attached on indico



Explanation for peaks at $\pm \pi/2$ (neutral const.)

- particles with similar θ and similar ϕ as jet
- these are wrongly reconstructed neutrons! (fake neutrons)
- high energetic charged particles get reconstructed with an additional neutron
- high energetic charged particles are leading momentum of the jet → dominate direction (and angles) of jet

Example of fake neutron event in n=2 jet:

(same) parents: [15 15]

MC PID: [-211 -211]

reco pid: [-211 2112]

momentum: [59.51 4.67]



blue: FastSim, orange FullSim: higher neutral hadron multiplicity in FullSim



2. FastSim CLD vs. FullSim CLD

- find all plots in attached pdf of this meeting
- all plots very similar to FastSim IDEA I have shown the last time

& FullSim in different Higgs channels with more data

- instead of 3800 jets per channel, now 10000 per channel
- find plots in attached pdf
- neutral const: peaks at $\theta = \pi/4$ and $3\pi/4$
 - CLD geometry?





3. ML Training: Jet Tagging on FullSim CLD data

First training on FullSim CLD data - working pipeline!



9

3. Room for improvement



e.g. 10e-2 mistagging rate for c vs ud:

- FastSim: 80% efficiency
- FullSim: 65% efficiency

3. Reasons for suboptimal jet tagging performance on FullSim?

- possible issue: charged particles get reconstructed as neutrals due to unassigned track to PFOs
- issue already presented by Maria Cepeda (<u>Tau Polarisation Discussion</u>)
- existing <u>issue on github</u> from Leonhard Reichenbach on track cluster associations
- Two questions:
 - How many charged particles are not reconstructed as PFOs? (loss)
 - How many tracks are not correctly assigned to the PFO of charged particles and are therefore reconstructed as neutrals? (neutral)

4. Track efficiency vs. PFO track efficiency

- PFO track efficiency = what fraction of MC charged particles have a PFO with an associated track?
- Comparison of muons (don't use PFO) vs. charged hadrons (use PFO) suggests that tracks might be reconstructed but not assigned to a PFO -> needs to be checked!



Biggest issue: overall low PFO track efficiency:

- Hbb: 74.22 %
- Hgg: 77.34 %
- Ηττ: 91.46 %

 \rightarrow other MC particles either lost (not recovered as PFOs) or reconstructed as PFOs without tracks (neutrals)

 \rightarrow Hbb/Hgg/H $\tau\tau$ charged particles which are reconstructed as neutrals (= unassigned track): 9.13% / 6.60% / 6.16%

Other issues:



- lost PFO tracks at low momenta over all angles (below 0.7 Gev)
- regions of end-caps are visible
- many lost PFO tracks at low momenta perpendicular to the beam axis -> spiraling particles?
- neutrals in between loss and track



- even at high momentum (p>5 GeV) the PFO track efficiency is only 84.46% for Hbb and 12.25% of the tracks are lost
- at low momentum high loss perpendicular to the beam axis



4. Outlook: what to do next

- 1. Further investigations on the track problem:
 - a. PFO track efficiency vs. track efficiency
 - b. How much energy are we missing due to lost tracks?
 - c. asking the other way round: how high is the fake rate? How much fake energy do we reconstruct?
- 2. Verify if neutral PFOs from MC particles decrease the tagging performance by

manuel assignment of tracks (artificial adjustment)

- a. If track is not assigned to reco particle (MC charged particle), do it by hand
- b. then re-run the training for tagging -> are results better?

Summary

- **Finished comparison** between Full and FastSim observables (input to tagger). See report attached.



- First training results on FullSim CLD data
- Investigation of lost charged particles & unassigned tracks to PFOs as possible source of suboptimal tagging performance
- Outlook:
 - check track efficiency vs PFO track efficiency
 - rerun tagger training with artificial correction of unassigned tracks to verify source of current suboptimal tagging performance

Backup slides

1. $\Delta \phi$ discrepancies in $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$



 $\Delta \phi$ for charged constituents. Pink is T

Where do these peaks come from?

Explanation for peak at 0



They come from jets with one constituents with MC PID muon or charged pion.

$$egin{array}{ll} & au^- o \pi^- + ar
u_ au \ & au^- o e^- + ar
u_e +
u_ au \ & au^- o \mu^- + ar
u_e + ar
u_ au \end{array}$$

Relative angle not defined in case of one constituent! -> 0