

## 2<sup>nd</sup> DRD3 Collaboration Week – Radiation Damage Working Group







Defect Assisted Research for Dark Matter Applications (D.A.R.M.A.)

<u>Evangelos – Leonidas Gkougkousis</u><sup>1</sup>, Ioana Pintilie<sup>2</sup>, Andrei Nitescu<sup>2</sup>, Ben Kilminster<sup>1</sup>

University of Zurich
NIMP - Bucharest



Geneva, 4<sup>th</sup> December 2024



## Overview

### **Introduction** • Introduction

**Dark Matter** 

Skipper CCDs

Deep Level

Spectroscopy

Transient

Setup

Conclusion

- Silicon Lattice Overview
- Introduction to Lattice Defects
- Defects as tools is Physics (V-N Defect, Dark matter detection)

### • Skipper CCDs & Dark Matter

- What is Dark Matter?
- Challenges in direct detection experiments
- Skipper CCDs and their use as dark matter detectors

### Deep Level Transient Spectroscopy

- Principles and Methodology
- Integration with Skipper CCDs
- Charge Injection & Lock-In Amplifiers

### **Experimental** • Experimental Setup and Results

- Overview and Current Status
- Initial results on test diodes
- Integration with test CCDs

### Conclusions



4 / 12 / 2024



4 / 12 / 2024

#### E. L. Gkougkousis

4





#### E. L. Gkougkousis

# Dark Matter & Skipper CCDs

## **Introduction** What is Dark Matter?

- Dark matter Evidence
  - Galaxy rotation curves
  - Velocity dispersions in binary star bound systems
  - Galaxy cluster studies
  - Gravitational lensing
  - Structures in the CMB angular maps
  - Bullet cluster observations
  - Barrion Acoustic oscillations.





4 / 11 / 2024

**Dark Matter** 

Skipper CCDs

**Deep Level** 

Transient

# Dark Matter & Skipper CCDs

#### Challenges in Direct Detection Experiments Introduction

- Essentially background counting experiments
- Require extremely good modeling of radiogenic / cosmogenic contributions
- Extremely low expected event rate event rate:  $\rho_0 = 0.3 \text{ GeV/cm}^3 \& M = 5 \text{ Gev/c}^2 \longrightarrow 60 \text{ k. particles /cm}^3$
- In the 1 10 GeV range, once can approximate mainly with nuclear recoil interactions ۲
- Energy transfer thresholds can be a few (~30 keV)



**Dark Matter** Skipper CCDs

Deep Level Transient

4 / 11 / 2024

#### E. L. Gkougkousis

Ancient lead shielding

Electroless cooper (oxygen free) Strict control of material exposure Detailed GEANT4 simulations



4 / 11 / 2024

Introduction

## Skipper CCDs as Dark Matter Detectors



10<sup>2</sup>

(b)

10

Data

—σ₁/ √N<sub>skin</sub>



### Introduction

Dark Matter Skipper CCDs

Deep Level Transient Spectroscopy

Experimental Setup

Conclusion

## Implementation on Skipper CCDs

- Every CCD readout system needs four components:
  - **Bais circuits:** on-chip preamplifier biasing
  - Clock generation: timing and charge shift for readout
  - Singal digitization: ADC channels for sampling
  - Control / connect logic: FPGA / microcontroller

#### Issues with DLTS in CCDs

- No timing information available
- Transients inaccessible
- Pixelized structure



DAMIC-M Acquisition / Control Module (ACM)

Get timing information at the source by varying injection pulse length

- Use phase displacement and scan over all available values to compensate
- Use Fourier deconvolution with pixel information deducted by clock cycle
- Perform regular DLTS matrix scans in fixed intervals and corelate with recorded clusters to identify new / annealed defect for noise control
- Perform comparative matrix assessment as a handle to increase dark mater sensitivity





## Experimental Setup & Results

### **DAMIC-M Wafer**















### Small CCD (1022 x 682)

Pixel Area length:9.9 mm Total length: 12.3 mm Width: 16.92 mm

350

— Phosphorous

-Hydrogen

5

6

-Oxygen -Silicon





### Introduction

Dark Matter Skipper CCDs

Deep Level Transient Spectroscopy

Experimental Setup

Conclusion

## •Conclusions

- First presentation of concrete defect-based method for dark matter detection
- Expected increase in sensitivity and better noise mitigation
- Target implementation at CCD based Dark Matter experiments (DAMIC-M, DAMIC@SNOLAB, OSCURA) with minimal hardware intervention
- Phase displacement and Fourier deconvolution for pixel-level analysis

### **Current Status**

- Initial measurements prove sufficient sensitivity
- Test structures available at high numbers
- Setup implemented with necessary hardware
- Next Steps
  - First injection on CCDs though HV line for electronic state pumping
  - Implementation of clock-synchronized lock-in amplifier
  - 2D mapping of defects of several matrices to verofy consistency



### Introduction

**Dark Matter** Skipper CCDs

**Deep Level** Transient Spectroscopy

### Measurement cycle at fixed T

Principle

Deep Level Transient Spectroscopy

[1] reverse bias V<sub>R</sub> junction under reverse bias defect states are not occupied

### [2] injection pulse V<sub>P</sub>

- reduction of reverse bias
- injection of majority carriers
- occupation of defect levels

### [3] reverse bias V<sub>R</sub>

- junction under reverse bias
- thermal emission of carriers
  - · expansion of depletion zone
  - decrease of capacitance



1 Quiescent reverse bias (V<sub>R</sub>)

Majority carrier pulse (Vp)

EC

Et

Ev

EC

Et

Ev

n







4 / 12 / 2024

#### E. L. Gkougkousis

## •DAMIC-M System – Electronics Rack



#### 4 / 12 / 2024

#### E. L. Gkougkousis

#### **Power Management**

### Slow Control and Data bus



4 / 12 / 2024

# DAMIC Experiment



2023: DAMIC@SNOLAB observes low-mass 5.4 Sigma excess using skipper CCDs confirms previous 3.4 σ excess (PRL. 125 (2020) 241803)





UZH group pioneering new technique of using silicon lattice defects (radiation damage) to identify DM nuclear recoils

Gives CCDs capability to distinguish nuclear and electronic recoils !



#### E. L. Gkougkousis

## Silicon Leakage Current Temperature dependence



- Measurement performed using optical excitation and studying absorption coefficient resonances
- Sample of p-type, FZ, 10<sup>12</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> dopant concentration

At 0 K theoretical maximum of 1.1701 eV, drops to 1.1249 ev at 300 K **Origin:** Relative position shift of conduction-valence bands

**Thermal-related lattice dilatation** 

Linear at high temperatures

total bandgap variation

Only accounts for 25 % of the

Non-linear at low temperatures

- Temperature dependent electron-lattice interaction
  - Equivalent to the "Brownian effect", but in a band structure
  - Accounts for the major contribution to the change
  - > Temperature dependence:
  - $\Delta E_{gi} \sim T^2$  for T<< $\Theta$  &  $\Delta E_{gi} \sim T$  for T>> $\Theta$ 
    - θ for Si: 645 K (Debye Température)
      - Sources of dark current in semiconductors:
      - ✓ Generation current  $(I_g)$
      - ✓ Trap Assisted tunneling (TAP), Fowler Nordheim formula

 $\geq$ 

- ✓ Field Assisted tunneling (Pool-Frenkel emission)
- $\checkmark~$  Impact ionization ( E > 15 V /  $\mu m)$

### Effects reducing dark current:

✓ Recombination

#### E. L. Gkougkousis

Éğğêçt(ș

Buľl





E. L. Gkougkousis



## Radiation Effects I

# The Hamburg N<sub>eff</sub> Model

G. Lindstrom et al., NIM A 466(2001) 308-326 <u>"Radiation damage in silicon detectors"</u>

Radiation damage modeling			
Constant Damage Terms	Acceptor Introduction	$\frac{dN_{acc.}^{con.}(t)}{dt} = g_{C_A} \times \Phi_{eq}(t)$	
	Donor Introduction	$\frac{dN_{don.}^{con.}(t)}{dt} = g_{C_D} \times \Phi_{eq}(t)$	
	Acceptor Removal	$\frac{dN_{acc.}^{rem.}(t)}{dt} = -c_{C_A} \times \Phi_{eq}(t) \times N_{acc.}^{rem.}(t)$	
	Donor Removal	$\frac{dN_{don.}^{rem.}(t)}{dt} = -c_{C_D} \times \Phi_{eq}(t) \times N_{acc.}^{rem.}(t)$	
Short term annealing	Acceptor Reduction	$\frac{dN_{acc.}^{short.}(t)}{dt} = g_A \times \Phi_{eq}(t) - k_A(T) \times N_{acc.}^{short.}(t)$	
Long term annealing	Max Introducible Acceptors	$\frac{dN_{acc.}^{Max.long.}(t)}{dt} = g_y \times \Phi_{eq}(t) - k_Y(T) \times N_{acc.}^{Max.long.}(t)$	
	Acceptor Introduction	$\frac{dN_{acc.}^{long.}(t)}{dt} = k_Y(T) \times N_{acc.}^{Max.long.}(t)$	



### Acceptor removal, Defect Kinetics (simplified ③)

- Incident particle hits silicon atom and created Vacancy (V) and Interstitial Silicon (Si<sub>i</sub>)
- Si<sub>i</sub> Propagates and can transform substitutional Boron/Carbon to B<sub>i</sub>/C<sub>i</sub> (interstitial),
- B<sub>i</sub>/C<sub>i</sub> can form several defects, but the most prominent in high resistivity silicon is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \circ & Si_i + B_s \rightarrow B_i + O \rightarrow B_iO_i \\ r & Si_i + C_s \rightarrow C_i + O \rightarrow C_iO_i \end{array}$$

Change type of final defects but not amount of active implant

- Since  $B_i$  and  $C_i$  both compete for the same  $Si_i$ , if we introduce more Carbon we would expect to from less  $B_iO_i$  defects and more  $C_iO_i$
- If we exchange Boron with a less mobile (heavier) atom (Ga), then we should also enhance C<sub>i</sub>O<sub>i</sub> defects instead of Ga<sub>i</sub>O<sub>i</sub>

# Mobility & Trapping

# N<sub>eff</sub> – Dynamic Model

Radiation damage modeling			
Constant Damage Terms	Acceptor Introduction	$N_{acc.}^{con.}(t) = g_{C_A} \times \int_0^t \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau$	
	Donor Introduction	$N_{don.}^{con.}(t) = g_{C_D} \times \int_0^t \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau$	
	Acceptor Removal	$N_{acc.}^{rem.}(t) = f_{c_A} \times N_{eff.}(0) \left(1 - e^{-c_{c_A} \int_0^t \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau}\right)$	
	Donor Removal	$N_{don.}^{rem.}(t) = f_{c_D} \times N_{eff.}(0) \left(1 - e^{-c_{c_D} \int_0^t \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau}\right)$	
Short term annealing	Acceptor Reduction	$N_{acc.}^{short.}(t_i) = g_A \times \frac{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau}{\delta t} \times \frac{\left(1 - e^{-k_a(T_i) \times \delta t}\right)}{k_a(T_i)} + N_{acc.}^{short.}(t_{i-1}) \times e^{-k_a(T_i) \times \delta t}$	
Long term annealing	Max Introducible Acceptors	$N_{acc.}^{Max.long.}(t_i) = g_Y \times \frac{\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau}{\delta t} \times \frac{\left(1 - e^{-k_Y(T_i) \times \delta t}\right)}{k_Y(T_i)} + N_{acc.}^{Max.long.}(t_{i-1}) \times e^{-k_Y(T_i) \times \delta t}$	
	Acceptor Introduction	$\begin{split} N_{acc.}^{long.}(t_{i}) &= N_{acc.}^{long.}(t_{i-1}) + \\ & \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \Phi_{eq.}(\tau) \partial \tau / \\ g_{Y}(T) \times \frac{\sqrt{\delta t}}{k_{Y}(T)} \times \left(k_{Y}(T) \times t + e^{-k_{Y}(T)t} - 1\right) + \\ & N_{acc.}^{Max.\ long.}(t_{i}) \times \left(1 - e^{-k_{Y}(T)t}\right) \end{split}$	

## Sources of Dark Current

#### Sources of dark current in semiconductors:

- ✓ Generation current  $(I_g)$
- ✓ Trap Assisted tunneling (TAP), Fowler Nordheim formula
- ✓ Field Assisted tunneling (Pool-Frenkel emission)
- ✓ Impact ionization (  $E > 15 V / \mu m$ )

#### **Effects reducing dark current:**

✓ Recombination



. . . . . . .  $Jt_2$ QUASI-CONDUCTION BAND NO FIELD qΦ ε

Dielectric

Anode

 $\mathcal{E}$ 

Cathode

Éğğêçtjş

Íŋtſêsğắçê

## DAMIC-M Design



