



2<sup>nd</sup> DRD3 Workshop CERN, 2-6 December 2024

# Fluence Profiling at JSI TRIGA Reactor Irradiation Facility

V. Sola, M. Ferrero, O.A. Marti Villareal (INFN, University of Oriental Piedmont, University of Turin) <u>I. Mandić</u>, K. Ambrožič, G. Kramberger, L. Snoj (JSI, Ljubljana)

Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

## Introduction

- significant differences of gain degradation between LGADS irradiated together in the Ljubljana reactor were observed
- > check if differences were caused by non-uniform neutron flux within the irradiation container
  - → measurements presented in this talk were made in year 2000
  - preliminary results were shown at the 36th RD50 workshop (online) in June 2020 by V. Sola: <u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/918298/contributions/3880586/attachments/2049824/3435546/vs-JSIprofile-36thRD50.pdf</u>
- here we present new data analysis and new simulations
- work was published in V. Sola et al., NIMA 1063 (2024) 169258 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2024.169258</u>)
- information about neutron flux uniformity important for DRD3 community because many samples were (and hopefully will be) irradiated in the reactor in Ljubljana

## The Idea

- Use 5x5 pad array LGAD sensor to map fluence dispersion [pad size 1.3x1.3 mm<sup>2</sup> - W1 FBK UFSD3 production]
- ➤ Measure V<sub>GL</sub> of each pad when the sensor is NEW and AFTER Irradiation
- $\succ$  V<sub>GL</sub> proportional to acceptor concentration in the gain layer: V<sub>GL</sub>  $\propto N_A$
- >  $N_A(\Phi) = N_A(0) \cdot e^{-c \cdot \Phi} \rightarrow V_{GL}$  exponential dependence on fluence
- > Measure Ratio =  $\frac{V_{GL}(\Phi)}{V_{GL}(0)} = e^{-c\Phi_{eq}}$ , to eliminate variations of  $V_{GL}(0)$
- > Parameter  $c = 3.85 \times 10^{-16} cm^2$  known for these devices
  - See: M. Ferrero, R. Arcidiacono, M. Mandurrino, V. Sola, N. Cartiglia, An Introduction to Ultra-Fast Silicon Detectors, CRC Press, 2021, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1201/9781003131946</u>
- >  $\Phi_{eq}$  can be estimated from the change of  $V_{GL}$ > pad size 1.3x1.3 mm<sup>2</sup>  $\rightarrow$  good spatial resolution



## The Idea

 $\succ$  V<sub>GL</sub> defined as voltage at C = 150 pF

> V<sub>GL</sub> can be measured with a precision of ± 0.1V



## Irradiation Strategy - The Assembly



## Irradiation Strategy - Sensor Placement

> 8 devices irradiated: 4 at Up position and 4 at Down



## Irradiation Strategy - Support Structure







Container gets into the core through a tube and it is in vertical position during irradiation, parallel with fuel rods **Our samples were irradiated in channel F19 at full reactor power for 926 seconds, target fluence:**  $\Phi_{eq} = 1.5e15 n_{eq}/cm^2$ Rotation of the irradiation container around the axis of the cylinder is **not controlled**  $\rightarrow$  We don't know the rotation angle during irradiation

More detail of the reactor in e.g.: [L. Snoj et al., doi: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2011.11.042]

Photo of reactor core when reactor is on power (photo taken through 5 m of water from the platform)







2ND DRD3 WORKSHOP - CERN 3.12.2024

## Horizontal Trend – Up sensors



![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

Error on each data point = 0.9%

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Horizontal Trend – Down sensors

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

0,54

2

3

4

1

--- Row3

--- Row4

•••• Row5

Column #

5

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Vertical Trend

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Average V<sub>GL</sub> per Sensor Converted into Fluence

 $= e^{-3,85E-16\cdot\Phi}$  Using parametrisation from [M.Ferrero et al, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.121]

- > Average V<sub>GL</sub> ratio over 25 pixels of each sensor
- Fluences within -11% to +13% from the average value

 $N(\Phi)$ 

➤ Consistent with △Φ ~ ± 10%
 usually quoted for reactor fluence accuracy

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Average V<sub>GL</sub> per Sensor Converted into Fluence

 $= e^{-3,85E-16\cdot\Phi}$  Using parametrisation from [M.Ferrero et al, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.121]

- > Average V<sub>GL</sub> ratio over 25 pixels of each sensor
- Fluences within -11% to +13%from the average value
- ➤ Consistent with △Φ ~ ± 10%
  usually quoted for reactor fluence accuracy

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  We were lucky to probe the maximal fluence variation within the container volume

## Neutron Flux Simulation in F19 Volume

Calculations are made using Monte Carlo MCNP v 6.1 software and ENDF/B-VII.0 nuclear data libraries

For more details see [K. Ambrožič et al., doi: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2017.09.022]

- Calculated flux of fast neutrons (E<sub>n</sub> > 100 keV) in the F19 irradiation channel
- Irradiation assembly (sensors, support structure, container) included in the simulation
- Calculations made on a mesh of 2x2x2 mm<sup>3</sup>
- Two orientations of sensors in the channel simulated
- ➢ Flux calculated with and without sample in the tube
  → insertion of the sample changes the flux by ~ 10%

#### Reactor model in the simulation

![](_page_14_Figure_9.jpeg)

Assemblies in the irradiation tube F19 → two orientations

![](_page_14_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Flux Simulation in F19 Volume

- > flux of fast neutrons in F19 calculated for two orientations of irradiation container
- > flux increases towards the centre of the reactor core (because of the proximity of fuel element)
- > variation of flux in z (height) direction within the container smaller

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Compare measurements with simulation

- > better agreement of measured values with simulation in perpendicular orientation
- > confirms that samples were close to perpendicular orientation during irradiation

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Better agreement!

Simulation in perpendicular orientation

Oriented perpendicularly wrt. reactor core centre

Top sensor mid-plane: XY

F19 centerline cutout: YZ

## Comparison within sensor

- agreement with simulation also for individual pixels within sensor
- distance between points only 1.3 mm!
- ➤ maximal fluence deviation from the average measured by individual pixels -14.7% to +15.4%
- > LGAD arrays are excellent tool for monitoring of neutron flux with high spatial resolution!

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Summary

- LGAD arrays demonstrated to be very a precise tool to map fluence profile at the JSI TRIGA neutron reactor irradiation facility
- The variation of the fluence delivered to the sample is larger in radial than in azimuthal or vertical directions within the irradiation channel F19
- > Fluences per sensor inside irradiation container within  $\Delta \Phi \sim \pm 10\%$
- > The maximal difference between individual pixels  $^{+15.4\%}_{-14.7\%}$
- Monte Carlo based flux calculation confirms data observation
- ➤ For more detail please read:

V. Sola et al. *Fluence profiling at JSI TRIGA reactor irradiation facility*, NIMA 1063 (2024) 169258 (<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2024.169258</u>)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We kindly acknowledge financial support from:

- ▷ INFN CSN5 through the eXFlu research project and the FRIDA call
- ▷ Fondazione Compagnia di San Paolo
- ▷ Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding no. P1- 0135 and project no. J1-6199).

Thanks go also to:

- Gian Franco Dalla Betta, Lucio Pancheri, Maurizio Boscardin, Giovanni Paternoster and the FBK SD group for designing and producing the tested sensors
- Nicolò Cartiglia, Roberta Arcidiacono and the whole UFSD Torino group for providing the LGAD sensors and for the continuous and fruitful discussion and support
- RD50, CERN for providing suggestions and discussion that triggered the presented study
  the JSI TRIGA reactor group for irradiation