

Particle Flow at FCC

Anna Zaborowska

CERN

8th FCC Physics Workshop, 16.01.2025



What is particle flow?

Reconstruction of **stable** particles in the event (e, γ , μ , charged and neutral hadrons) using the **information from all sub-detectors**.

Main idea: leverage **the most precise** sub-detector that measures a particle:

- trackers for charged particles
- calorimetry for neutral particles.



What is particle flow?

Reconstruction of **stable** particles in the event (e, γ , μ , charged and neutral hadrons) using the **information from all sub-detectors**.

Main idea: leverage **the most precise** sub-detector that measures a particle:

- trackers for charged particles
- calorimetry for neutral particles.







Particle Flow at FCC



Different status for each of the detector proposals:

- CLD Naturally most advanced, originates from CLICdp, based on Pandora.
- ALLEGRO A study started with Pandora (for calorimeters).
 - IDEA A standalone demonstrator of the improvement when combining tracker and calorimetry (with crystals in front of fibers).

Additionally, new machine learning (ML) based method being developed, tested first on CLD to compare against Pandora \rightarrow see more in the talk by Andrea de Vita.

2/12

Pandora PFA

Pandora PFA is a framework which employs several pattern recognition algorithms to **form** and **manipulate** (merge, split, delete) clusters and create particle flow objects (PFOs).



Each experiment must choose the algorithms, order, parameters ...

Framework should also facilitates development.



PandoraMonitoring window.

Pandora PFA

Pandora PFA is a framework which employs several pattern recognition algorithms to **form** and **manipulate** (merge, split, delete) clusters and create particle flow objects (PFOs).



Each experiment must choose the algorithms, order, parameters ...

Framework should also facilitates development.



PandoraMonitoring window.





The order of execution of algorithms is steered from the XML file. For instance for CLD: <code>CLDConfig/PandoraSettingsDefault.xml</code>

4/12

. . .

All algorithms come with **multiple** parameters. Tuning of those is **vital**.

<algorithm type = "ConeClustering" description = "MuonClusterFormation">
 <TanConeAngleCoarse>0.3</TanConeAngleCoarse>
 <ConeApproachMaxSeparation>2000</ConeApproachMaxSeparation>
 <MaxClusterDirProjection>2000</ConeApproachMaxSeparation>
 <Abcode
 <tr>
 <MaxClusterDirProjection>2000</ConeApproachMaxSeparation>

 <ShouldUseIsolatedHits>true</ShouldUseIsolatedHits>
 <LayersToStepBackCoarse>30</LayersToStepBackCoarse>
 <AdditionalPadWidthsCoarse>1.8</SameLayerPadWidthsCoarse>
 <ShouldUseTrackSeed>false</ShouldUseTrackSeed>
 </shouldUseTrackSeed>
 <alphace>
 </alphaChartrackSeed>exameLayerPadWidthsCoarse>
 </alphaChartrackSeed>
 </alphaChartrackSeed>

XML configuration

ConeClusteringAlgorithm::ConeClusteringAlgorithm() : m clusterSeedStrategy(2). m shouldUseOnlyECalHits(false). m shouldUseTsolatedHits(false) m_layersToStepBackCoarse(3), m genericDistanceCut(1.f). m minHitTrackCosAngle(0,f). m minHitClusterCosAngle(0,f). m shouldUseTrackSeed(true). m trackSeedCutOffLaver(0). m_sameLayerPadWidthsFine(2.8f), m sameLaverPadWidthsCoarse(1.8f), m_coneApproachMaxSeparation2(1000.f * 1000.f), m tanConeAngleFine(0.3f). m tanConeAngleCoarse(0.5f). m additionalPadWidthsFine(2.5f). m additionalPadWidthsCoarse(2.5f). m_maxTrackSeedSeparation2(250.f * 250.f), m maxLaversToTrackSeed(3). m maxLaversToTrackLikeHit(3). m nLaversSpannedForFit(6). m nLaversSpannedForApproxFit(10). m nLaversToFitLowMipCut(0.5f). m fitSuccessDotProductCut2(0.50f). m_mipTrackChi2Cut(2.5f),

C++ implementation

All algorithms come with **multiple** parameters. Tuning of those is **vital**.

• some are relative (e.g. to X₀, radial angles, ...) so they could be close to optimal.

XML configuration

ConeClusteringAlgorithm::ConeClusteringAlgorithm() : m clusterSeedStrategy(2). m shouldUseOnlyECalHits(false). m shouldUseTsolatedHits(false) m_layersToStepBackFine(3), m_layersToStepBackCoarse(3), m genericDistanceCut(1.f). m minHitTrackCosAngle(0,f). m minHitClusterCosAngle(0,f). m shouldUseTrackSeed(true). m trackSeedCutOffLaver(0). m shouldFollowInitialDirection(false). m_sameLayerPadWidthsFine(2.8f), m sameLaverPadWidthsCoarse(1.8f), m_coneApproachMaxSeparation2(1000.f * 1000.f), m tanConeAngleFine(0.3f). m tanConeAngleCoarse(0.5f). m additionalPadWidthsFine(2.5f). m additionalPadWidthsCoarse(2.5f). m_maxTrackSeedSeparation2(250.f * 250.f), m maxLaversToTrackSeed(3). m maxLaversToTrackLikeHit(3). m nLaversSpannedForFit(6). m nLaversToFitLowMipCut(0.5f). m fitSuccessDotProductCut1(0.75f). m fitSuccessDotProductCut2(0.50f). m_mipTrackChi2Cut(2.5f),

All algorithms come with **multiple** parameters. Tuning of those is **vital**.

- some are relative (e.g. to X₀, radial angles, ...) so they could be close to optimal.
- others may be absolute (e.g. number of layers).

<algorithm type = "ConeClustering" description = "MuonClusterFormation">
 <TanConeAngleCoarse>0.3</TanConeAngleCoarse>
 <ConeApproachMaxSeparation>2000</ConeApproachMaxSeparation>
 <MaxClusterDirProjection>2000</ConeApproachMaxSeparation>
 <Abcode <a href="https://www.separation/

XML configuration

ConeClusteringAlgorithm::ConeClusteringAlgorithm() : m clusterSeedStrategy(2). m shouldUseOnlyECalHits(false). m shouldUseTsolatedHits(false) m_layersToStepBackFine(3), m_layersToStepBackCoarse(3), m clusterFormationStrategy(0). m genericDistanceCut(1.f). m minHitTrackCosAngle(0,f). m minHitClusterCosAngle(0,f). m shouldUseTrackSeed(true). m trackSeedCutOffLaver(0). m shouldFollowInitialDirection(false). m_sameLayerPadWidthsFine(2.8f), m sameLaverPadWidthsCoarse(1.8f), m_coneApproachMaxSeparation2(1000.f * 1000.f), m tanConeAngleFine(0.3f). m tanConeAngleCoarse(0.5f). m additionalPadWidthsFine(2.5f). m additionalPadWidthsCoarse(2.5f). m_maxTrackSeedSeparation2(250.f * 250.f), m maxLaversToTrackSeed(3). m maxLaversToTrackLikeHit(3). m nLaversSpannedForFit(6). m nLaversSpannedForApproxFit(10). m nLaversToFitLowMipCut(0.5f). m_fitSuccessDotProductCut1(0.75f), m fitSuccessDotProductCut2(0.50f). m_mipTrackChi2Cut(2.5f),

Even though it is considered ready, some analyses encounter problems (e.g. flavour tagging).



from **O** issue

Even though it is considered ready, some analyses encounter problems (e.g. flavour tagging).

First investigate to which extent it comes from **mistuning of track-cluster** association (leading to track droping = neutral instead of charged).



from 📿 issue

Even though it is considered ready, some analyses encounter problems (e.g. flavour tagging).

First investigate to which extent it comes from **mistuning of track-cluster** association (leading to track droping = neutral instead of charged).

But as it seems that Pandora parameters were optimised with the goal of obtaining **the best jet energy reconstruction** – also at a price of removing tracks.

Better optimisation strategy for both should be tried out: investigate what jet energy resolution can be obtained while maintaining good PID at the same time.



from **O** issue

Even though it is considered ready, some analyses encounter problems (e.g. flavour tagging).

First investigate to which extent it comes from **mistuning of track-cluster** association (leading to track droping = neutral instead of charged).

But as it seems that Pandora parameters were optimised with the goal of obtaining **the best jet energy reconstruction** – also at a price of removing tracks.

Better optimisation strategy for both should be tried out: investigate what jet energy resolution can be obtained while maintaining good PID at the same time.

Also a potential idea - running the 'recovery' of tracks ?



Muon are misidentified (for charged hadrons) if no energy is deposited in muon chambers



 \rightarrow needs to be investigated (and tuned).

Muon are misidentified (for charged hadrons) if no energy is deposited in muon chambers but even with clear energy deposits in muon chambers.



 \rightarrow needs to be investigated (and tuned).

Pandora Tuning and Calibration

There is a calibration tool for Pandora (LCContent) algorithms: LCPandoraAnalysis **Q**.

There is a calibration tool for Pandora (LCContent) algorithms: LCPandoraAnalysis Q.

However, this is not tuning the multiple parameters of the multiple algorithms. That must be done "by hand" looking at each algorithm and our detectors, using the available debugging tools:

Pandora Tuning and Calibration

There is a calibration tool for Pandora (LCContent) algorithms: LCPandoraAnalysis **Q**.

However, this is not tuning the multiple parameters of the multiple algorithms. That must be done "by hand" looking at each algorithm and our detectors, using the available debugging tools:

- Event display



CED viewer

Pandora Monitoring





PandoraMonitoring should be widely available in DBG Key4hep stack



¥

Pandora Monitoring





PandoraMonitoring should be widely available in DBG Key4hep stack



ALLEGRO

Adaptation of Pandora to other detectors imposes certain additional implementations to the detector geometry (pseudo-layers): DD4hep::rec::LayeredCalorimeterData linking e.g. calorimeter depth with radiation length. More details in S. Sasikumar, 3rd ECFA Workshop.

ALLEGRO

Adaptation of Pandora to other detectors imposes certain additional implementations to the detector geometry (pseudo-layers): DD4hep::rec::LayeredCalorimeterData linking e.g. calorimeter depth with radiation length. More details in S. Sasikumar, 3rd ECFA Workshop.

First proof-of-concept studies performed, show that (obviously) optimisation of Pandora parameters is needed.

Photon reconstruction seems to work somehow.

Needs work on track-cluster matching and possibly cluster reconstruction alternative to existing Pandora algorithm.



Demonstration of the benefits of combining tracks with the calorimetry (particle flow): 2022 JINST 17 PO6008 and L. Pezzotti, ECFA Higgs Factories, Feb 2022.

Crystals in front of the fibers provide good energy resolution for e, γ .

```
Good dual-readout jet energy resolution can be even more improved:
6.0\% (calorimetry) \rightarrow 4.5\% (PF) for 45 GeV jets.
```

Implementation of the demonstrator not (yet) in the Key4hep software.



- 1. Particle flow studies on-going with classical and ML approaches.
 - $\circ~$ Pandora expertise needs to be rebuilt if we want to use Pandora, even just for CLD.

key4hep Pandora doc

to be filled with detector-agnostic

nformation that can be shared)

- 1. Particle flow studies on-going with classical and ML approaches.
 - $\circ~$ Pandora expertise needs to be rebuilt if we want to use Pandora, even just for CLD.
- 2. CLD Pandora-based reconstruction requires tuning to address (understand) known problems.
 - Can we prepare tools so that with any change to the detector, a well establish procedure is followed to tune the particle flow?



- 1. Particle flow studies on-going with classical and ML approaches.
 - $\circ~$ Pandora expertise needs to be rebuilt if we want to use Pandora, even just for CLD.
- 2. CLD Pandora-based reconstruction requires tuning to address (understand) known problems.
 - Can we prepare tools so that with any change to the detector, a well establish procedure is followed to tune the particle flow?
- 3. Investigation of particle flow for ALLEGRO may build up on what we learn about Pandora:
 - How many algorithms we can reuse?
 - Which ones we need to implement?
 - Is there a benefit to use particle flow within PandoraSDK? (even if starting from scratch?)

key4hep Pandora doc

(to be filled with detector-agnostic

nformation that can be shared)

- 1. Particle flow studies on-going with classical and ML approaches.
 - $\circ~$ Pandora expertise needs to be rebuilt if we want to use Pandora, even just for CLD.
- 2. CLD Pandora-based reconstruction requires tuning to address (understand) known problems.
 - $\circ~$ Can we prepare tools so that with any change to the detector, a well establish procedure is followed to tune the particle flow?
- 3. Investigation of particle flow for ALLEGRO may build up on what we learn about Pandora:
 - How many algorithms we can reuse?
 - Which ones we need to implement?
 - $\circ~$ Is there a benefit to use particle flow within PandoraSDK? (even if starting from scratch?)
- 4. Particle flow is vital for the physics studies: getting more person-power in 2025 (around 2 FTE?).
 - $\circ~$ More is always needed / welcome !

key4hep Pandora doc

to be filled with detector-agnostic

nformation that can be shared)

- 1. Particle flow studies on-going with classical and ML approaches.
 - $\circ~$ Pandora expertise needs to be rebuilt if we want to use Pandora, even just for CLD.
- 2. CLD Pandora-based reconstruction requires tuning to address (understand) known problems.
 - $\circ~$ Can we prepare tools so that with any change to the detector, a well establish procedure is followed to tune the particle flow?
- 3. Investigation of particle flow for ALLEGRO may build up on what we learn about Pandora:
 - How many algorithms we can reuse?
 - Which ones we need to implement?
 - $\circ~$ Is there a benefit to use particle flow within PandoraSDK? (even if starting from scratch?)
- 4. Particle flow is vital for the physics studies: getting more person-power in 2025 (around 2 FTE?).
 - $\circ~$ More is always needed / welcome !

As the group is growing, we will meet to catch up: <u>ZOOM room for weekly chats on Thursdays 15^{30} CERN time and on Mattermost FCCSW team \rightarrow High_level_reco channel.</u>

key4hep Pandora doc (to be filled with detector-agnostic information that can be shared)