

Graph-based Task Scheduling on Heterogeneous Resources

Josh Ott with Mateusz Fila and Benedikt Hegner (EP-SFT) North Carolina State University

August 8, 2024

Introduction

Directed acyclic graphs (DAG)!

- Data processing jobs in LHC experiments can be described with DAGs
- Algorithms transform data, going from any number of input nodes to any number of output nodes
- These graphs are to be scheduled in parallel on heterogeneous resources



Our goals

- Develop a demonstrator framework to meet LHC requirements
- Design it with heterogeneous computing in mind from the start
- Write it in Julia using the Dagger.jl package

Data Dependencies

Algorithm and data nodes treated equally - not ideal!



Dagger requires this to recognize dependence.

Algorithm and data nodes treated equally - not ideal!



Dagger requires this to recognize dependence.

The data generated was also meaningless :(

A graph with topology



would actually get treated like



```
To utilize the graph metadata, we package everything in structs

mutable struct DataObject

data

size::UInt

end

function algorithm(inputs ..., outputs ...)

for output in outputs

output.data = zeros(Int8, output.size)

end

end
```

```
To utilize the graph metadata, we package everything in structs

mutable struct DataObject

data

size::UInt

end

function algorithm(inputs ..., outputs ...)

for output in outputs

output.data = zeros(Int8, output.size)

end

end
```

We populate data nodes with these objects prior to scheduling algorithms.

Rather than using the function output, treat all outputs as mutable arguments.

Rather than using the function output, treat all outputs as mutable arguments.

```
Dagger.spawn_datadeps() do
  for v in vertices
    Dagger.@spawn algorithm(
        In.(inputs)...,
        Out.(outputs)...)
    end
```

end

Now our data is meaningful and properly handled!

This was great until we noticed some strange behavior. The source code confirms:

function spawn_datadeps(f::Base.Callable)

"At the end of executing f, spawn_datadeps will wait for all launched tasks to complete, rethrowing the first error, if any. The result of f will be returned from spawn_datadeps."

This was great until we noticed some strange behavior. The source code confirms:

function spawn_datadeps(f::Base.Callable)

"At the end of executing f, spawn_datadeps will wait for all launched tasks to complete, rethrowing the first error, if any. The result of f will be returned from spawn_datadeps."

Asynchronicity is crucial, so this will not do. Come back to it later!

CPU Crunching

Previously, algorithm nodes slept for a fixed amount of time to emulate computation.

```
function mock_algorithm(id, data ... )
    println("Algorithm for vertex $(id)")
    sleep(1)
```

```
return id
end
```

Previously, algorithm nodes slept for a fixed amount of time to emulate computation.

```
function mock_algorithm(id, data...)
    println("Algorithm for vertex $(id)")
    sleep(1)
```

return id

end

We'd like

- runtime to be realistic, and for
- workers to be busy not sleeping.

Simple: create algorithms as callable structs so workers don't need to read from the graph.

```
struct MockupAlgorithm
    name::String
    runtime::Float64
end
function (alg::MockupAlgorithm)(args...)
    println("Executing $(alg.name)")
    sleep(alg.runtime)
```

```
return alg.name
end
```

Now we just need workers to be actually busy. How can we waste a deliberate amount of time?

Now we just need workers to be actually busy. How can we waste a deliberate amount of time?

Find prime numbers!

This is the approach that GAUDI (the current framework) uses.

Calibration

Dagger offers **shards** for distributing objects scoped to specific workers.

coefficients = Dagger.@shard calibrate()

When this gets passed in a **Dagger**.@spawn call, the shard is resolved in the worker process.

Calibration

Dagger offers **shards** for distributing objects scoped to specific workers.

```
coefficients = Dagger.@shard calibrate()
```

When this gets passed in a **Dagger**.@spawn call, the shard is resolved in the worker process.



```
Now our algorithm looks like this: we pass through the calibration coefficients and find prime numbers to keep the worker busy.
```

```
function (alg::MockupAlgorithm)(args...; coefs)
println("Executing $(alg.name)")
    crunch_for_seconds(alg.runtime, coefs)
```

return alg.name
end

Now our algorithm looks like this: we pass through the calibration coefficients and find prime numbers to keep the worker busy.

```
function (alg::MockupAlgorithm)(args...; coefs)
println("Executing $(alg.name)")
    crunch_for_seconds(alg.runtime, coefs)
```

return alg.name end

- Accuracy of cruncher varies with environment
- Could be smarter about calibration

Project Structure

Before:

```
data/
examples/
graphs_scheduling/
src/
test/
parsing_graphs/
src/
test/
utilities/
functions.jl
auxiliary_funcs.jl
```

Much of the previous changes involved rewriting the existing code, but the larger structure also needed reworking.

- Could only be run through an "example"
- Sources split across directories
- "Utilities" served essential functions

Before:

```
data/
examples/
graphs_scheduling/
src/
test/
parsing_graphs/
src/
test/
utilities/
functions.jl
auxiliary funcs.jl
```

After:

bin/(an executable!)
data/
deps/
docs/
examples/
scripts/
src/(now with a module!)
 FrameworkDemo.jl

test/ (unit tests!)
 runtests.jl

. . .

Summary

Summary

Accomplished:

- Once Dagger updates **spawn_datadeps**, the new data dependency system should be good to go
- Algorithms now keep workers properly busy for approximately the amount of time we want, though it could be improved
- Framework now much easier to work with!

Looking ahead:

- Rich logging features
- GPU support
- Control flow graphs

Summary

Accomplished:

- Once Dagger updates **spawn_datadeps**, the new data dependency system should be good to go
- Algorithms now keep workers properly busy for approximately the amount of time we want, though it could be improved
- Framework now much easier to work with!

Looking ahead:

- Rich logging features
- GPU support
- Control flow graphs

Thank you everyone! :)