Quasar milli-lensing and cold DM (TNG50) sub-haloes

Numerical density profiles of sub-haloes -> milli-lensing of lensed quasar images

Evencio Mediavilla (IAC), Jorge Jiménez-Vicente (UGR), Felix Heinze (Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena) & Jorge Martín Camalich (IAC)







Outline – milli-lensing and TNG50 subhaloes

- Introduction: quasar micro and milli-lensing TNG50 sub-haloes
- Methods: analytical and numerical solutions of the lens equation sub-images
- Results: detection limits optical depth
- Discussion: sub-halo mass fraction
- Summary

Quasar lensing scenario





Quasar micro- and milli-lensing - phenomenology



 Granulation in MACHOs of stellar mass -> microlensing

- Microlensing main effect -> split image in microimages that can not be resolved with a telescope
- (In a similar way) presence of DM subhaloes -> sub-images -> milli-lensing
- Milli-lensing -> may split image in subimages that may be (or may be not) resolved with a telescope
- Observables -> flux ratio anomalies, astrometric anomalies and, even, <u>image</u> <u>splitting</u> (in the case of milli-lensing).

Refedal & Suidej 1994

Results for compact objects (stars, BHs, ...) - from flux-ratio anomalies

• Flux-ratio anomalies

- Astrometric anomalies
- Image-splitting

• Extended arcs Despali et al. 2024, ArXiv



Mediavilla et al. 2009, ApJ Mediavilla et al. 2017, ApJ Estéban-Gutiérrez et al. 2023, ApJ Mediavilla & Jiménez-Vicente, 2024, <u>arXiv:2405.14984</u>

Sub-haloes from TNG50 simulation -



Heinze, Despali & Klessen 2024

Mon Not R Astron Soc, Volume 527, Issue 4, February 2024, Pages 11996–12015, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3894</u> The content of this slide may be subject to copyright: please see the slide notes for details.



Objective - probing DM sub-haloes with quasar image splitting

- Can quasar sub-images generated by TNG50 sub-haloes be detected with HST/JWST? separation between sub-images
- What is the probability of detection? optical depth

Lens equation - axi-symmetrical sub-halo source aligned with the OA



Separation between sub-images - graphical solutions



Sub-images separation (2x) depends on: Msh, Cv, and m; (m -> -2)

Sub-image separations - detection limits



macro-magnification = 10

TNG50 simulation -> if sub-haloes were frequently aligned with lensed quasar images, splitting should have been observed!

Results from observations

- More than 220 lensed quasars (doubles and quads)
- More than 700 images observed
- A significant part of them with enough spatial resolution (>300)
- To our knowledge, no clean image splitting has been reported

Then, the relatively compact and steep (m=-2) TNG50 density profiles may generate splitting but it is not observed -> constrain optical depth -> upper limit to the mass fraction in sub-haloes

Optical depth - IRS - numerical solutions

Determine the area of the region of the source plane where a quasar is going to be split in sub-images that can be resolved (with separations > 0.1 arcsec and with similar flux, F2/F1 > 0.8)



Blue dots: quasar locations giving rise to sub-images that can be detected Weird 4-cusps figure: caustic of the numerical density profile

Optical depth - upper limit of the mass fraction on subhaloes



TNG50 subhalo mass fraction

For 10000 haloes we compute the projected sub-halo mass fraction along the LOS typical of lensed quasar images (enclosed by cylindrical shells with 2 kpc < r < 20 kpc)



Summary some results from lensed quasars

- Compact objets: mass fraction for masses larger than solar < 1%
- Sub-images separation depends on sub-halo mass, concentration and innermost slope
- Sub-images generated by TNG50 sub-haloes can potentially be detected with HST and JWST
- Absence of sub-images detection is consistent with TNG50 optical depth estimates
- Large survey results may challenge TNG50 statistics
- To explore I: flux-ratio anomalies, astrometric anomalies, gravitational arcs anomalies
- To explore II: other DM simulations, other DM candidates



Sub-image separations - detection limits

