(Basic) Introduction to toponium physics

Fabio Maltoni

Université catholique de Louvain Università di Bologna

UCLouvain fn^rs

LHCtopWG Meeting – 11-13 Nov 2024 – CERN

Thanks for the input to:

Michelangelo Mangano Simone Tentori





Heavy-quark bound states **Charmonium and Bottomonium**

Consider how the charm and the bottom quarks were discovered:



Very sharp peaks => small widths (~ 100 KeV) compared to hadronic resonances (100 MeV) => very long lived states. QCD is "weak" at scales >> ΛQCD (asymptotic freedom), non-relativistic bound states are formed like positronium!

The QCD potential is like

 $V(r) = -C_F$

UCLouvain fnis

LHCtopWG Meeting – 11-13 Nov 2024 – CERN

$$\frac{\alpha_S(1/r)}{r} + \sigma r \qquad C_F = 4/3 \quad \sigma = 0.18 \,\mathrm{GeV^2}$$



CINFN



UCLouvain fnis









UCLouvain fnis







UCLouvain fn^rs





Heavy-quark bound states **Production at colliders**



UCLouvain fnis

[1]	100% SINGLET, attractive
8]	100% OCTET, repulsive
1] 8]	10% SINGLET, attractive 90% OCTET, repulsive





Heavy-quark bound states **Singlets versus octets**

$$t^a_{ij}t^a_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} - \frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl})$$

Problem: Show that the one-gluon exchange between quark-antiquark pair can be attractive or repulsive. Calculate the relative strength.

Solution: a q qb pair can be in a singlet state (photon) or in octet (gluon) : $3 \otimes 3 = 1 \oplus 8$



$$\int_{k}^{j} |I/2|^{k} = |I/2|^{k}$$

$$\delta_{ki} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{lj} (N_c - \frac{1}{N_c}) = C_F \delta_{lj}$$
 SINGLET:
>0, attractive

$$_{j}\delta_{lk})t^{a}_{ki} = -\frac{1}{2N_{c}}t^{a}_{lj}$$

LHCtopWG Meeting – 11-13 Nov 2024 – CERN

<0, repulsive





For very small distances, the interaction of a single gluon can be approximated by a Coulomb interaction. Let analyse the scales which characterise the lower state of a Coulombic potential.

$$V(r) \simeq -C_F \frac{\alpha_S(1/r)}{r}$$

The scales can be found using the energy of the ground state

$$E_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{m_t}{2} (C_F \alpha_S)^2 \qquad R_0 = 1/(C_F \alpha_S m_t)$$

Using the Virial theorem: $\langle T \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle V \rangle$ one gets the self consistent relation

 $v_0 \simeq C_F \alpha_S(m_R v_0) = C_F \alpha_S(1/R_0) = 0.21$

The equation can be solved iteratively and gives scales that are all perturbative and well separated.

UCLouvain fn^rs

Ite and the Bohr radius:
$$m_R = m_t/2$$
 $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R_0^3}} e^{-r_t}$

(2)

Valid for the ground state only









What about toponium? Scales

Scale	Quantity	e+e-	toponium
m _R	annihilation time	0.5/2 MeV	172/2 GeV
m _R vo	size p~1/R	I.9 KeV	18 GeV
m _R v ₀ ²	Formation time	I4 eV	4 GeV

"Unfortunately" the formation time for the bound state is longer than the toponium lifetime.

 $\tau_{\text{form}} \approx 2\pi R_0 / v_0 \simeq \pi / E_0 = 2\pi / (m_R v_0^2) \simeq 1.6 \,\text{GeV}^{-1}$ Tlife $\approx \tau_t/2 \simeq 1/3 \,\text{GeV}^{-1} < \tau_{\text{form}}$

LHCtopWG Meeting



 $\tau_{form} / \tau_{life} \approx 5$







Toponium spectrum States

In a Coulombic potential, E~ 1/n², R~n², v~1/n:

$$\frac{N(\tau_{\rm form})}{N_0} = e^{-\frac{n^3 9\pi\Gamma_t}{4\alpha_s^2 \mu_Q \bar{Q}}} \simeq (0.7\%)^{n^3}$$

Only the n=1 states are Coulombic and could be actually accessible.

The energy of the ground states is about 2 GeV below the threshold.

The energy difference between n=1 states is

$$V_{ss} = \frac{8\pi}{9} \alpha_s \frac{f(j)}{m_q m_{\overline{q}}} \delta(\vec{x}) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Delta E_{ss} = \frac{8\pi}{9} \frac{4\alpha_s}{m_q m_{\overline{q}}} \left|\psi(0)\right|^2$$

The difference is around 100 MeV.

UCLouvain fns

LHCtopWG Meeting – 11-13 Nov 2024 – CERN





Toponium production QCD

So now, how to calculate the cross section for producing a toponium?

$$\hat{\sigma}(s'; i \to f) = \left[\hat{\sigma}(s'; i \to f)\right]_{\text{tree}} \times \frac{\text{Im}[G^{(c)}(\vec{0})]_{\text{tree}}}{\text{Im}[G_0(\vec{0})]_{\text{tree}}}$$

The Green function is obtained by solving the Schrodinger equation

$$\left[(E+i\Gamma_t) - \left\{ -\frac{\nabla^2}{m_t} + V_{\text{QCD}}^{(c)}(r) \right\} \right] G^{(c)}(\vec{x}; E) = \delta^3(\vec{x})$$

With a potential

$$V_{\rm QCD}^{(c)}(r;\mu_{\rm B}) = C^{(c)} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\rm B})}{r} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\rm B})}{4\pi} \left\{ 2\beta_0 \left[\ln(\mu_{\rm B}r) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_{\rm B})}{4\pi} \right] \right\} \right]$$

UCLouvain fnis

LHCtopWG Meeting – <u>1</u>1-13 Nov 2024 – CERN

<u>0812.0919 [hep-ph]</u> <u>0804.1014 [hep-ph]</u>









Toponium production Modelling

A simple way go account for toponium is to add to the MC a pseudo-scalar state produced from gg through a contact interaction and tune the mass, the width and the cross section to the QCD prediction.



This can be also tested at e+e- with a vector 3S1[1]where the predictions are much more precise.

Spin correlations can be easily kept.

UCLouvain fnis

LHCtopWG Meeting — 11-13 Nov 2024 — CERN

2404.08049 [hep-ph]









Conclusions

- formed.
- Y) but because one of the tops decay.
- not attract each other.
- when the partonic channel is in a color and spin singlet.



1. Toponium is not a bound state as Charmonium or Bottomonium. The top quarks don't have the time to hadronise and they in fact decay before a propagating bound state is

2. Toponium does not decay because the quark and anti-quark annihilate (as for J/psi or

3. Only the color singlet states enhance locally the cross section at threshold. Octets do

4. At the end the toponium is seen as an enhancement of the cross section at threshold





e+e- predictions NLO,NNLO,N3LO









