

Development of the Phase-2 CMS Overlap Muon Track Finder

Advancing Muon Reconstruction with HLS and Graph Neural Networks

Pelayo Leguina, Clara Ramón, Pietro Vischia, Santiago Folgueras

Summary

What is this about?

- CMS Level-1 Trigger System
- Phase-2 Upgrade
- OMTF Algorithm Status
 - Purpose
 - HLS approach
 - Firmware build pipeline
 - Board integration
- ML for triggering particles
- GNN Tracking
- GNN in OMTF
- Test case





CMS DETECTOR

Phase-2 upgrade

Key parameters

- $\bullet \qquad \text{Increase bandwidth 100 kHz} \rightarrow 750 \text{ kHz}$
- Increase latency 3.8 us \rightarrow 12.5 us
- Include high-granularity information
- Include tracking information

Requirements

- Cutting-edge hardware
 - FPGA VU13P, 28 Gb/s links
- Advanced Architecture
 - ATCA standard, flexible & modular design

Firmware

- Algorithm developed mostly in High Level Synthesis (HLS)
 - Used successfully, much faster turn-around
- Many tools available for Machine Learning
 inference

OMTF Algorithm

Overlap Muon Track Finder

- Designed to reconstruct muon trajectories in the barrel-endcap transition region of the detector.
- The algorithm evaluates how well the stubs correspond to expected patterns of muon tracks with specific pT.
- By calculating a similarity score between the observed stubs and these reference patterns, the algorithm identifies the most probable track candidate.

For Phase-2, we want to achieve a modular and maintainable design that can be easily adapted for future upgrades and more complex detector conditions.

https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-0221/11/03/C03004

6

Phase-2 OMTF

HLS approach

- Use of Vitis HLS to design each module.
- A direct adaptation from emulator code is made.
- Optimization techniques such as pipelining and memory arrangement.
- Individual testing for each module.
- Emulator Hardware matching.
- Building pipeline.

- Parallel "golden pattern" processing.
- Stored weights reshaped for simultaneous availability.

Phase-2 OMTF

Firmware build pipeline

Board integration

Easy integration in vivado

HLS Extras

- You can use C++11 and higher constructs. Nice paper
- Read the list of pragmas and experiment a lot with them.
- HLS likes ternary operators !!

 Using C++ classes and template does not affect resource usage while improving code flexibility and ease of use. <u>HLS-Classes-Templates</u>

ML for track finding Why is that?

- Machine learning is growing in popularity, and the fields of HEP and LHC are no exceptions.
- In HEP, there is a growing trend towards utilizing larger and more complex machine learning models, along with increasing demands for computational power.
- Availability of modern hardware.

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.15823

GNN Tracking

- Tracking is an extremely challenging problem.
- The combinatorial complexity is vast and will only intensify over time.
- Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) offer promising solutions for tracking in the High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC).
- FLOPs and power efficiency are critical factors to consider.
- Pruning is a potential strategy to reduce complexity and resource demands.

Level-1 Trigger Level - O(µs) Latency.

LHCb exploring the use of GNNs

https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.12119

GNN in OMTF

INnovativeTRiggEr techniques for beyond the standard model PhysIcsDiscovery at the LHC

- LLP signals might be easily overlooked or misinterpreted in LHC data.
- Enhancing muon triggers within the current architecture focuses on optimizing algorithms and refining data processing techniques to improve detection efficiency without requiring significant hardware upgrades.

Explore alternative technologies and ideas which could not be otherwise investigated that could potentially lead to a significant breakthrough.

Software implementation

Designing a basic network

Current training:

- Muon gun phase-II sample, using only negative muons (symmetry)
- Batches of 64 graphs (events)
- Learning rate = 0.0005
- Weight decay = 0.75
- Epochs = 1000

- Using fully connected graphs for the moment.
- Using pyTorch geometric libraries.
- Current architecture based on two Graph Attention Layer (GAT) [arXiv:1710.10903] to process graph data, making use of the edge information. After each GAT layer, ReLU activation is applied. The model combines global mean and average pooling to aggregate node-level features into a graph-level representation.

-100

13

q pr

Hardware implementation

First steps to design with versal architecture

- Build specific kernels, each processing a different layer of the net.
- This would require a direct implementation on C++, to build HLS kernels.
- The high-computational cost operations would be run in the versal AI cores.
 - Implement the model in C++ using vectorized operations that can leverage the parallel computing capabilities of the cores.
 - Develop AI Engine kernels for the most compute-intensive parts of your model. The AI Engine kernels are designed to run on the AI Engines, which are optimized for high-throughput, low-latency vector processing.
 - Integrate your AI Engine kernels with the rest of the system.
 - Profile the application to identify bottlenecks

Test case

Implementing a simple GCNConv layer

 Graph Convolutional Networks extend the concept of convolution from grid-like data (like images) to graph-structured data.

 $\mathbf{X}' = \hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{b}$

Aggregates feature information from a node's neighbors (including itself) and transforms it using learnable weights.

We dissect the layer into its main subfunctions:

torch geom doc

16

Test case

Implementing a simple GCNConv layer

We need C++ to import the layer into our device w/HLS!!

using Features = std::vector<std::vector<float>>;

virtual Features aggregate(const Features &messages, const EdgeList &edge_index, int num_nodes)

 $m_{j
ightarrow i}=\hat{A}_{ij}X_{j}^{\prime}$

```
Features aggregated_messages(num_nodes, std::vector<float>(messages[0].size(), 0.0f));
```

```
for (size_t i = 0; i < edge_index.size(); ++i)</pre>
```

```
int target = edge_index[i].second; // Target node index
```

```
for (size_t j = 0; j < messages[i].size(); ++j)</pre>
```

```
aggregated_messages[target][j] += messages[i][j];
```

Test case

Implementing a simple GCNConv layer

 After converting all the main elements, we test the performance of the layer and do profiling, so we can check the metrics and see what are the high-computational cost zones.

Then we build the HLS-like version

class MessagePassingHLS

public:

// Top-level propagate function
void propagate(

const EdgeFeature edge_index[NUM_EDGES], const feature_t x[NUM_NODES][FEATURE_SIZE], const feature_t edge_weight[NUM_EDGES], feature t updated features[NUM_NODES][FEATURE_SIZE]

Test case : next steps

Implementing a simple GCNConv layer

Matrix computation in the PL.

Matrix computation using AIE cores.

Implement the kernel cores into the versal device.

Experiment with different architectures for the matrix computations.

• The main idea is to develop an hybrid system that allows us to achieve maximum performance for the GNN inference.

To conclude...

What have we seen so fart?

- The main ideas behind the CMS Level-1 trigger and its upgrade.
- The Overlap Muon Track Finder behaviour and some ways of implementing it on hardware.
- The increase of use of ML algorithms for tracking purposes.
- Some steps in the quest of developing a trackfinder by using a GNN architecture.

Thanks for your attention, see you tonight :)

Backup slides

HLS design flow

- We want to design a build framework that allows us to follow the usual HLS design flow.
- While this is easy for a single module, it becomes dirtier once your system grows:
 - \circ Lots of HLS projects.
 - Lots of different testbenches for similar payloads.
 - Reusable code.

C test - Algorithm test library

HLS Synthesis - Design Flow

Vivado Synthesis

COMMON VIVADO CMAKE

- Check vivado environment
- Check framework token
- Set global params:
 - Project name
 - Payload file y or n

