











Downstream tracking at LHCb

Arantza de Oyanguren Campos, Brij Kishor Jashal, Volodymyr Svintozelskyi, Valerii Kholoimov, Jiahui Zhuo IFIC, U.V. - CSIC







DE CIENCIA, INNOVACIÓN



Plan de Recuperación. ransformación





Outline

- LHCb experiment:
 - LHCb Trigger system
 - LHCb Tracking system
- HLT tracking sequences in Run 3
- Real data performance
- HLT1 Downstream lines
- Summary



LHCb experiment



- Forward spectrometer (2<η<5)
- 3 fb⁻¹ from Run 1, 6 fb⁻¹ from Run 2, 8.3 fb⁻¹ from Run 3 (until Oct 13, 2024)
- Excellent tracking ($\sigma(m_B)$ ~25 MeV for 2-body decays) and PID performance (μ_{ID} ~97%)

LHCb experiment: trigger system



- The removal of the L0 trigger: HLT1 have to process read-out data at 30 MHz and output the filtered data to the buffer at 1 MHz.
- Both **HLT1** and **HLT2** perform real time event reconstruction, including clustering, tracking, and vertexing, and make trigger decisions based on the reconstructed objects.

LHCb experiment: tracking system

- LHCb has three different trackers to reconstruct the trajectories of charged particles:
 - Vertex Locator (VELO)
 - Upstream Tracker (UT)
 - Scintillating Fibres (**SciFi**) / **T stations**
- The different track types defined in LHCb:
 - VELO tracks: have hits in the VELO
 - Upstream tracks: have hits in the VELO and UT
 - **T tracks**: have hits in the T stations
 - Downstream tracks: have hits in UT and the T stations
 - Long tracks: have hits in VELO and the T stations, UT is optional



Long tracks and **downstream tracks** are used for most physics analyses, the other types either serve as a component of another track type or are mainly used for detector studies.

HLT tracking sequences in Run 3



HLT tracking sequences in Run 3



Downstream tracking

- HLT2 Downstream is migrated from Run2.
- HLT1 Downstream timeline:







• Each 32 threads form a **warp**, all threads shared the same **controller** and **L1 cache** in the same **warp**.





[LHCB-FIGURE-2023-028]

- HLT1 can support up to approximately 500 GPUs.
- Each GPU needs to process at 60 kHz to meet the 30 MHz target.
- Alternatively, each GPU should process at 55 kHz to reach the 27 MHz target.
- In real data, we run the entire HLT1 at 73 kHz without downstream, and at 67.5 kHz with downstream.



[LHCB-FIGURE-2023-028]



- The HLT1 downstream tracking achieves approximately 75% tracking efficiency for both Λ⁰ and K_s⁰, which is similar to the HLT2 performance.
- The tracking performance is independent of decay types, showing the similar efficiency for both Λ^0 and K_s^0 .

Real data performance

HLT1 Downstream



Real data performance

HLT2 Downstream



Armenteros-Podolanski Plot



Armenteros-Podolanski Plot

HLT1 Downstream





Run 3 data-taking



LHCb began data-taking with HLT1 downstream starting last night!



HLT1 Downstream lines

HLT1 Downstream effect

- The trigger efficiency for Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ is very poor with long tracks due to their long lifetimes; both tend to decay after the Velo, making it impossible to reconstruct them with long tracks.
- The new HLT1 Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ downstream lines may significantly improve the trigger efficiency for many different physics channels that rely on Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ to trigger the event.
- Calo and Muon information (isMuon and isElectron) are used to veto lepton IDs in these lines.



Summary

- Downstream tracking is now available in both HLT1 and HLT2.
- HLT1 downstream tracking was finally deployed and began running in data-taking in October 2024.
- The new HLT1 downstream trigger lines are expected to enhance trigger efficiency for various physics channels that rely on Λ⁰ and K_c⁰ decays to trigger events.

Stay tuned!

Thank you for your attention

Backup

LO saturation in Run3





74



HLT1 tracking sequences



X (mm)

- A single hidden (14 nodes) layer fully connected NN
- It utilizes **8 variables** as input:
 - $\circ \quad \textit{Downstream} \text{ track state } (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{q/p}, \mathcal{X}^2)$
 - SciFi track properties $(q/p, \mathcal{X}_v^2)$
- The model was trained using $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$ events.
- In order to boost speed, certain C++/CUDA tricks are applied, such as using static structs, employing fast math functions, and unwinding for-loops.



226.65 kHz	Matching(Without UT)		
202.56 kHz	Matching(Without UT) + UT decoding		
171.84 kHz	Matching(Without UT) + UT decoding + Downstream		
122.01 kHz	Matching(Without UT) + Rest HLT1		
107.08 kHz	Matching(Without UT) + Rest HLT1 + UT decoding + Downstream		
86.72 kHz Matching(Without UT) + Forward(With UT)+ Rest HLT1			
82.93 kHz	Matching(Without UT) + Forward(With UT)+ Rest HLT1 + Downstream Simulation		
0 50	100 150 200 250 300 350 400		

LHCb-FIGURE-2023-02 8

Throughput in "NVIDIA RTX A5000" (kHz)

Efficiency vs PT





Armenteros-Podolanski Plot



HLT1 Downstream vertexing

Signal

0.8

1.0

0.6

Background



- After tracking, we can **combine** two downstream tracks to reconstruct secondary vertices (**decay vertices**).
- Considering the **approximation** of a **homogeneous** magnetic field in Y direction, the trajectory is parabolic in the XZ plane.
- We use a **neural network (NN)-based selector** to evaluate each vertex, requiring the quality to be larger than 0.1 (**a very loose cut**).
- We observe the **ellipses** of Λ^0 , K_c^0 , and converted photons in the Armenteros-Podolanski plot.



<u>Allen!1198</u>

HLT1 Downstream lines

- The trigger efficiency for Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ is very poor with long tracks due to their long lifetimes; both tend to decay after the Velo, making it impossible to reconstruct them with long tracks.
- The new HLT1 Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ downstream lines may significantly improve the trigger efficiency for many different physics channels that rely on Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ to trigger the event.
- Calo and Muon information (isMuon and isElectron) are used to veto lepton IDs in these lines.



Search for long living particles (LLPs)

 Until now, LLP searches in LHCb have focused on decays in the VELO (through long tracks),

up to ~30 cm from interaction point.

- By exploiting downstream tracks, the physics
 reach of LHCb is expanded to ~2 m.
- Many BSM models predict LLPs such as Heavy Neutral Leptons (HNLs), Axion-like particles

(ALPs) and Dark Scalars.





Decay probabilities of a dark scalar into different channels as a function of its mass and normalised to unity

HLT1 Downstream lines

45 40 Events / (10.625 MeV Events / (6.42857 MeV 35 LHCb 40 E-LHCb Gaussian Signal Gaussian Signal 35 $_{30}$ = HLT1 Downstream Λ^0 HLT1 Downstream K⁰ Exponential Background Polynomial Background 30 25 Run 290819 Run 290819 Signal = 53 ± 13 Signal = 36 ± 10 25 $\mu = 500.0 \pm 3.1 \text{ MeV}$ 20 $\mu = 1116.0 \pm 1.3 \,\text{MeV}$ 20 $\sigma = 12.0 \pm 2.3 \, \text{MeV}$ $\sigma = 4.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ MeV}$ 15 15 Unofficial Unofficial 10 5 450 500 550 1120 1140 1160 1180 600 1100 Invariant mass (MeV) Invariant mass (MeV)

- We checked the HLT1 downstream lines with early 2024 data. Since in this data UT has very high ADC thresholds and half of the UT poorly aligned, we loosened the NN threshold to fit the background distribution.
- Despite these conditions, we successfully observed the mass peaks of both Λ⁰ and K_s⁰ with downstream tracks in HLT1, and a reasonable mass resolution was achieved (slightly larger than MC).

<u>Allen!1198</u>

Project BuSca: Buffer Scanning

Selection procedure

Idea: create track quality selection model independent neural network, which can select all physics pairs





Project BuSca: Buffer Scanning



Background reduction

NN performance is showed by combinatorial background rejection.



Threshold = 0.9 Signal Preliminary Comb. bkg Ghosts

Scalar Boson mass

Search for long living particles (LLPs)

[PBC BSM Report, arXiv:1901.009966, J.Phys.G 47 (2020) 1, 010501]

Portal	Coupling	
Vector: Dark Photon, A'	$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\cos\theta_W}F'_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$	BC1, BC2, BC3
Scalar: Dark Higgs, S	$(\mu S + \lambda_{\rm HS} S^2) H^{\dagger} H$	BC4, BC5
Fermion: Heavy Neutral Lepton, ${\cal N}$	$y_N LHN$	BC6, BC7, BC8
Pseudo-scalar: Axion, a	$\frac{a}{f_a}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \ \frac{a}{f_a}G_{i,\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu}_{i}$	$\frac{\partial \mu a}{\partial t} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} \psi$ BC9, BC10, BC11

Sensitivity to Higgs-like scalars



Fig. 10. Comparison of the sensitivities of future proposed and approved experiments to the model of Higgs-like scalars (BC4).