# Results from the 1<sup>st</sup> Q3 AUP Cryo-Assembly at CERN

(LMQXFA01, containing magnets MQXFA04 and MQXFA03)

**Gerard Willering** 

Franco Julio Mangiarotti, Ezio Todesco, Susana Izquierdo Bermudez

Thanks to G. Ninet, R. Bouvier, G. Pichon, S. Juberg, P. Rogacki, S. Russenschuck, A. Milanese et al

12 September 2024

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1447894/



9/17/2024

# Introduction

- First cryo-assembly from AUP (MTF link: <u>HCQQXF SC002-FL000001</u>)
- Contains MQXFA03 and MQXFA04 magnets, the first AUP pre-series magnets
  - Coils manufactured in 2018-2019 (FNAL/BNL)
  - Individual magnets tested vertically in 2019-2020 (LBNL)
  - Cryo-assembly tested horizontally in Spring-Summer 2023 (FNAL)







9/17/2024



#### Q3 - Qualification tests at CERN

LMQXFA01 passed the acceptance tests foreseen in the test plan EDMS 2959525. This included

- Powering to nominal current at 1.9 K at nominal ramp rate of 20 A/s and at 30 A/s.
- Nominal current reached at 4.5 K.
- Nominal current flattop powering of 5 hours.
- No (re-)training quenches
- Magnetic measurements.
- Insulation tests and other electrical quality assurance tests.

However, during the stretch wire measurements, the MQXFA04 magnet had two flattop current quenches at nominal current and nominal cryogenic conditions. This required further investigation.

The first cooldown ended in July 2024, while a second cool down was launched august 2024 after test station maintenance was completed.





# Cold powering tests

Focus of this presentation: Flattop quenches at nominal current at nominal temperature





9/17/2024

# Cold powering tests



Very good results during tests at CERN concerning powering:

- Perfect memory, no (re-)training quenches up to 16.23 kA in CD1 and up to 16.53 kA in CD2.
- At 4.5 K reached 16.53 kA with ramp rates up to 75 A/s.
- At 1.9 K reached 16.53 kA with ramp rates up to 100 A/s, in total 9 ramps without quench.
- Up to 21 hours flattop tests at 16.23 kA at 1.9 K without quench.
- Up to 23 hours flattop tests at 16.23 kA at 4.5 K without quench.





# Cold powering test - quenches





			Quench				l ime to	
	Temperature	RR	current		Quenched	Quench	reach 100	
Number	(K)	(A/s)	(kA)	Moment	coil	Antenna	mV (ms)	
CD1-1	4.5	1	16.05	During ramp	Q3a-P2	-	4.6	_
CD1-2	1.9	20	16.23	After 160 min	Q3a-P3	-	1.5	
CD1-3	1.9	20	16.23	After 90 min	Q3a-P1	-	3.5	-
CD1-4	1.9	20	16.23	After 11h30	Q3a-P3	$7 \rightarrow 6$	1.5	-
CD2-1	1.9	20	16.23	After 80 min	Q3a-P3	$7 \rightarrow 6$	1.6	
CD2-2	4.5	20	16.23	During ramp	Q3a-P3	6	4.0	-
CD2-3	1.9	20	16.23	After 19 hours	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.6	
CD2-4	1.9	20	16.23	After 5 hours	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.5	
CD2-5	1.9	20	16.23	After 40 min	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.5	
CD2-6	4.5	100	15.60	Fast ramp	Q3b-P2	13 & 1	1.0	
CD2-7	4.5	20	16.45	During ramp – splice cycle	Q3a-P1	<b>7</b> → <b>6</b>	4.5	
CD2-8	4.5	100	15.63	Fast ramp	Q3b-P2	13 & 1	1.0	

#### In total 12 quenches recorded.

- Q3a-P2 one quench at 4.5 K early during the tests.
- Q3a-P1 one quench at 1.9 K at flattop
- Q3b-P2 two quenches at 100 A/s at 4.5 K, identical characteristics.
- Q3a-P3 6 quenches at flattop at 1.9 K and 2 quenches at 4.5 K, all in the same location.

Note: in our labeling, Q3a = MQXFA04 and Q3b = MQXFA03



# Characteristics quenches - voltage build up



4 quenches with normal voltage buildup for quenches, with a slope of 20-40 V/s.

All Q3a-P3 flattop quenches at 1.9 K have a very fast voltage buildup already in the first ms of > 60 V/s.

The Q3b-P2 quenches at 4.5 K at 100 A/s show an even faster voltage buildup.

In none of the quenches any precursor is visible, also not in the Quench Antenna data.

The slope will depend on two parts:

- Longitudinal propagation velocity & turn to turn propagation, see next slides.



# Characteristics quenches – Quench antenna



Segment:7

HCLMQXFA001-FL000001 LA HF Q202407022244 a004(0).tdms



All quenches in Q3a-P3 were localized in the pole turn of the magnet. Note that the during the first 3 quenches in CD 1 the QA were not installed. The quenches at 100 A/s at 4.5 K were seen in segments 13 and 1, but radial and angular localization has no unique solution.



9/17/2024

# Characteristics quenches in Q3a-P3 – Quench antenna



Two voltage behaviors for the quenches in Q3a-P3, but with identical voltage buildup at the start.

The quench antenna also have an identical start, showing that the quench develops identically in the first 2 ms.





9/17/2024

# Recent work on Initial Quench Development

R. Keijzer et al., Measurement and Modelling of Initial Quench Development in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Accelerator Magnets, Submitted for publication, ASC-2024



Fig. 1 a) Measured voltage build-up during the first few milliseconds after quench. b) Quench antenna signals corresponding to the normal  $(B_n)$  and skew  $(A_n)$  3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> order harmonics.



Fig. 4 a) Simulated voltage build-up during the first few milliseconds after quench. b) Simulated quench antenna signals.

Current distribution in the cable.



Fig. 5: Simulated strand current at the longitudinal coordinate of the quench initiation.

All strands quenched at ~4.5 ms. Fully developed quench front. At 4.5 ms a peak is detected in QA signal At 4.5 ms the slope changes to a linear slope, at < 100 mV. Note: this is a single turn quench.



9/17/2024

# Characteristics quenches in Q3a-P3 – Quench antenna



Voltage (V)

Voltage (V)

Voltag

0.

The fast continuation of Quench Antenna signal and voltage rise in the earlier quench suggests that additional turns start quenching too, even if the quench start show identical behavior.



Reaching a peak in the Quench Antenna signals, and reaching the end of voltage acceleration, suggests that the initial quench development phase is over.

Reaching 300 mV within 4 ms, and having 300 mV at the moment the guench has developped in all strands suggests that a 3 times longer segment than normal is quenched (very fast propagation).



9/17/2024



In cool down 1 in total 54 hours of powering was done from 17 June to 2 July 2024.

The quenches 2 and 3 at 1.9 K at nominal current of 16.23 kA triggered additional measurements.

tests at CERN - rformance



Cooldown 2 powering was performed for ~200 hours from 22 August to 11 September.

- tests at CERN erformance



#### Cooldown 2 powering part 2

tests at CERN -



#### Cooldown 2 powering part 3



Cooldown 2 powering part 4

tests at CERN -





The long powering runs were possible due to changes in procedures, allowing running tests automated during nights and weekends.

Note: For Q2 magnets we were also bound for the duration of a powering run to a working day (~ 8 hours), but this will be changed for future magnets.

Note: 200 hours is still far from yearly stable operation needs for the HL-LHC

296

298

Time (hours)

300

tests at CERN - rformance

## Flattop quenches with fast quench propagation, some background:

Very fast quench propagation velocity can be explained by strands that are saturated, causing a propagation velocity of ~3 times higher. *R. Keijzer et al., Effect of Strand Damage in Nb*<sub>3</sub>Sn Rutherford Cables on the Quench Propagation in Accelerator Magnet, Trans. Appl. Supercond., Vol 33, No. 5., August 2023

Saturated strands can be explained by slow processes of current redistribution around defects in a conductor. Depending on the distance to the defect this takes minutes to hours. *R. Keijzer et al., Modelling V-I measurements of Nb3Sn accelerator magnets with conductor degradation, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond., vol. 32, no. 6, Sep. 2022, Art. no. 4001105.* 

Saturated strands can be explained by slow processes of current distribution in the splices of magnet, or due to boundary induced coupling currents. A. Verweij, Electrodynamics of Superconducting Cables in Accelerator Magnets, PhD thesis, University of Twente, The Netherlands, 1995.





## Current cycles leading to quench (starting in 'virgin' conditions).



#### Cool down 1

Each of the plots and time lines starts after magnetization and induced current have been 'reset' by a quench.



## Cool down 1



## Cool down 2



All cycles are different, but we can observe:

- All six cycles staying at 16.23 kA for long time quenched between 12 and 42 hours into the accumulated time at this current.
- 3 times 1 hour at 16.53 kA (using fast ramp rates) did not quench the magnet.
- A long plateau at 16.23 kA at 4.5 K did not quench the magnet, but the following ramp at 4.5 K did.
- At 1.9 K the quench never occurred in the first ramp, but in the second to 5<sup>th</sup>. However, since we always had variations in ramps, it is difficult to say how the ramp down/up impacts the moment of the quench.



This slide is added after the meeting to include a full overview of the powering.

5 consecutive cycles at 15.8 kA with accumulated time of ~85 hours. In the two first cycles, a few hours powering were at nominal current 16.23 kA to complete the stretched wire measurements.



9/17/2024

## V-I measurements

## V-I measurements were performed at 1.9 K and at 4.5 K up to 16.53 kA. Below the results are shown for the latest measurement at 4.5 K. No significant voltage increase is seen in any of the coils.



#### File: HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_2\_L20240910153545\_Splice-VI\_VI\_4.5k



9/17/2024

Q3 - LMQXFA01 - tests at CERN - powering performance

File: HCLMQXFA001-FL000001 2 L20240910153545 Splice-VI VI 4.5k

## Splice measurements



#### From a measurement in CD 2 at 4.5 K up to 15.5 kA

		Number of
Voltage taps	Resistance (nOhm)	splices
213-214	0.33	1
221-224	0.71	3
231-234	0.68	3
241-244	0.73	3
251-151	0.69	4
144-141	0.61	3
134-131	0.44	3
124-121	0.47	3
114-113	0.18	1

All splice resistances show a low resistance, linear behavior up to high current and stable voltage at flattop.



9/17/2024

## Other possible cause for fast quench propagation and flattop quenches

**Anti-cryostat be a source of heat:** A high temperature in the cable over a long length could also trigger fast quench propagation.

Very unlikely since:

- The 1.5 annular space between cryostat and magnet of 1.5 mm is filled with HeII with every 50 mm a radial escape path for heat extraction is designed for 250 W extraction for each 5 meter of magnet.
- If suchs a loss would occur, this would show up immediately in the cryogenic load and disrupt the cryo system.
- 23 hours of continuous operation at 4.5 K a nominal current did not lead to a quench, while one should expect 4.5 K to be much more prone to a heat leak and quench than 1.9 K.
- There is no sign of any condensation or low temperature inside the anticryostat and the vacuum (linked to the magnet vacuum) always has been good.

## Lack of training margin

There no is precursor or any sign of mechanical motion in the Quench Antenna data. In the first cool down, the maximum current of 16.23 kA was agreed. In the second cool down, the quenches in Q3a-P3 at flattop seems not to have changed after powering to 16.53 kA, which suggests that the quenches are not due to lack of training margin.



## Classification of other quenches - interpretation

			Quench				Time to
	Temperature	RR	current		Quenched	Quench	reach 100
Number	(K)	(A/s)	(kA)	Moment	coil	Antenna	mV (ms)
CD1-1	4.5	1	16.05	During ramp	Q3a-P2	-	4.6
CD1-2	1.9	20	16.23	After 160 min	Q3a-P3	-	1.5
CD1-3	1.9	20	16.23	After 90 min	Q3a-P1	-	3.5
CD1-4	1.9	20	16.23	After 11h30	Q3a-P3	$7 \rightarrow 6$	1.5
CD2-1	1.9	20	16.23	After 80 min	Q3a-P3	$7 \rightarrow 6$	1.6
CD2-2	4.5	20	16.23	During ramp	Q3a-P3	6	4.0
CD2-3	1.9	20	16.23	After 19 hours	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.6
CD2-4	1.9	20	16.23	After 5 hours	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.5
CD2-5	1.9	20	16.23	After 40 min	Q3a-P3	7→6	1.5
CD2-6	4.5	100	15.60	Fast ramp	Q3b-P2	13 & 1	1.0
CD2-7	4.5	20	16.45	During ramp – splice cycle	Q3a-P1	7→6	4.5
CD2-8	4.5	100	15.63	Fast ramp	Q3b-P2	13 & 1	1.0

Quenches CD1-1, CD1-3, CD2-7 have a normal voltage build up, do not seem to repeat in the same spot (no quench antenna for CD1-1 and CD 1-3). Those three quenched occurred with a very limited training margin.

We lack data to properly classify, but since they do not seem to repeat and since we see similar behavior in MQXFB magnets it seems of not concern.

The quenches at 4.5 K, 100 A/s in Q3b-P2 (MQXFA03) are repetitive and with very high quench propagation velocity. It seems that here we reach locally the critical surface of the conductor.



# **Discussion flattop quenches and their origin.**

Even with repetitive quench location, it does not mean that the principle cause for current sharing is in that location. Long duration at the flattop even suggests that current redistribution could be initiated far away from the quench location, even in the splice.

Fast ramps at 4.5 K should be instrumental to find the location with a possible degradation. However, at 4.5 K 16.53 kA was reached at 75 A/s, and at 100 A/s the magnet was limited by another coil. The could suggest the cause of current redistribution in Q3a-P3 is in a low-field region.

With the available data we cannot tell if an additional quench trigger is needed (coil motion, epoxy cracking, flux motion, etc.) besides having an unstable situation due to uneven current distribution.

Summary: Analysis points in a clear direction, but details remain impossible to extract from measurement.





# Conclusions

- All powering tests used for qualification, including reaching target current of 16.53 kA at 1.9 K and 4.5 K.
- It did not show any sign of detraining.
- Coil Q3a-P3 (MQXFA04) consistently quenches within 12 to 42 hours (6 times) in normal operating conditions at 1.9 K, 16.23 kA (7TeV).
- During 5 consecutive cycles with an accumulated time of 85 hours at 15.8 kA (6.8 TeV) was stable.
- All results point at slow current redistribution effects causing instability in the conductor with a time constant of hours.

#### Important:

- The consistent quenching in Q3a-P3 was accidentally discovered. In none of the test programs for MQXFA or MQXFB magnets this would have been seen, since long duration is not standard.
- The extended powering campaign in the second cool down could only be done due to a procedural change to allow testing overnight and during the weekend, extending a week from maximum ~40 working hours to 168 hours of powering.
- We prepare for future testing of Q2 magnets with long plateaus (3\*20 hours over 1 weekend).

#### Future:

- To be discussed by the MAB: Installation in the String could be OK, but regular quenches at nominal current (7TeV) would be very uncomfortable for HL-LHC operation.
- We may have the possibility to do 3\*20 hours of flattop at 6.8 TeV (15.8 kA) over the coming weekend, delaying the warm up by 1 2 days (note that the quench antenna is in use on the Q2).



Appendix: Voltage and quench antenna measurements for each quench.





Cool down 1, Quench 1 (no quench antenna) 4.5 K, 16.05 kA, Q3a-P2





9/17/2024

Cool down 1, Quench 2 (no quench antenna) 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3







HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_C202406281141\_mm001(0)

Time (s)

Cool down 1, Quench 3 (no quench antenna) 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P1





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_\_C202407011109\_mm002(0)



## Cool down 1, Quench 4 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3





## Cool down 2, Quench 1 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202408271248\_a001(0)

## Cool down 2, Quench 2 4.5 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3



CERN

HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202408290925\_na002(0)

## Cool down 2, Quench 3 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202408311141\_a002(0)

## Cool down 2, Quench 4 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202409060354\_a005(0)

## Cool down 2, Quench 5 1.9 K, 16.23 kA, Q3a-P3





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202409080106\_a006(0)

#### Cool down 2, Quench 6 4.5 K, 15.60 kA, Q3b-P2 100 A/s





## Cool down 2, Quench 7 4.5 K, 16.45 kA, Q3a-P1





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202409111124\_na006(0)

#### Cool down 2, Quench 8 4.5 K, 15.63 kA, Q3b-P2 100 A/s





HCLMQXFA001-FL000001\_QA\_LA\_HF\_2\_\_Q202409111605\_na007(0)

