



Status of the Muon Collider Lattice design

Marion Vanwelde

30th August 2024





- Reminder of the main requirements/challenges of the Muon Collider Ring
- Overview of the collider ring
 - Interaction region
 - Arcs
- Local chromatic correction section
 - General considerations
 - Previous versions: v0.6 v0.7
 - New optics attempt: « v0.8 »
 - Comparaison of the different versions
- Remaining issues and Next steps





Challenges of the Muon Collider Ring



10TeV Muon collider $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{N_p^2}{\epsilon \beta^*} f_r f_{hg} \frac{\gamma T_{\mu}}{T_{rev}}$



Parameter	Symbol	Value
Beam energy	E	5000 GeV
Luminosity per IP	L	$\sim 20 * 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$
Bunch population	N _p	$1.8 * 10^{12}$
Repetition rate	f_r	5 <i>Hz</i>
Normalized transverse rms emittance	$\varepsilon_{nx} = \varepsilon_{ny}$	25 µm
Geometric transverse rms emittance	$\varepsilon_{gx} = \varepsilon_{gy}$	0.528 nm
Longitudinal geometric rms emittance	ε_L	70 mm
Rms bunch length	σ_{z}	1.5 <i>mm</i>
Relative rms energy spread	$\delta = \frac{\sigma_E}{E}$	0.1 %
Beta function at IP	$\beta_x^* = \beta_y^*$	1.5 <i>mm</i>
Circumference	С	~ 10 km



Muon collider: Challenges



Relative rms energy spread	δ	0.1 %
Beta function at IP	$\beta_x^*=\beta_y^*$	1.5 <i>mm</i>

- Very small β^* at IP $\rightarrow \beta$ of ~700-800 km in the Final Focusing (FF) quadrupoles
- Large relative energy spread

FF quadrupoles introduce large chromaticity effects that must be corrected with sextupoles in a local chromatic correction section:

• W (Montague functions): describe variations of Twiss α and β for (small) momentum offsets. Q' (Chromaticity): Variation in tune with respect to momentum.

Large β in the FF quadrupoles:

- → Significant magnet aperture and sensitivity to unwanted multipolar components.
- → Very high magnetic field required (HTS technology) with good field quality.



Muon collider: Challenges



Short bunch length (1.5mm) to be kept for ~>1000 turns: $\eta = 0$; $\alpha_c \sim 0$

→ Flexible momentum compaction (FMC) arc cells

- Short muon lifetime \rightarrow Muon decay
 - Ring **circumference** should be as **small** as possible \rightarrow High magnetic field
 - Neutrinos emitted in a small cone tangential to the collider ring







Muon collider: Challenges



Short bunch length (1.5mm) to be kept for ~>1000 turns: $\eta = 0$; $\alpha_c \sim 0$

→ Flexible momentum compaction (FMC) arc cells

Short muon lifetime \rightarrow Muon decay

- Ring **circumference** should be as **small** as possible → High magnetic field
- Neutrinos emitted in a small cone tangential to the collider ring
 - →Fewest possible straight sections in the lattice: small drifts for the element interconnections and long straight sections in the Interaction Region (IR)
 - → "Geoprofiler": Tool to place the ring close to CERN so that the exit points from the long straights in the IR are in the Mediterranean Sea and in sparsely populated areas of the Jura.



Muon collider: Challenges



Short bunch length (1.5mm) to be kept for ~>1000 turns: $\eta = 0$; $\alpha_c \sim 0$

→ Flexible momentum compaction (FMC) arc cells

Short muon lifetime \rightarrow Muon decay

- Ring **circumference** should be as **small** as possible → High magnetic field
- Neutrinos emitted in a small cone tangential to the collider ring
 - →Fewest possible straight sections in the lattice: small drifts for the element interconnections and long straight sections in the Interaction Region (IR)
 - →"Geoprofiler": Tool to place the ring close to CERN so that the exit points from the IR are in the Mediterranean Sea or in the Jura.
- Beam-induced background to be mitigated and W shielding (~30-40mm) inside the magnets to absorb shower generated by e+/e-





Overview of the Collider Ring



Muon collider

Assumptions & aims

- ✤10 km collider ring
- Maximum 10 m long magnet
- ♦ Maximum field of E
 16 T for dipoles and × ⁻¹⁵⁰⁰
 20 T for combined function magnets ^{-2000 -}
- 30 cm drift for interconnection





Interaction region (IR) Local Chomatic correction section (CC)

Matching section (MS)

Arcs (FMC cells)

Interaction region - FF scheme v07





- Long drift for IP (L* = 6m), triplet for the final focusing, chicane to reduce Beam-Induced Background (BIB), long straight section to smoothly reduce the beta functions without increasing W functions.
- The **first quadrupole** is divided into **three magnets** with different field gradients (maximum field set to 20 T at the magnet aperture).
- Last FF quadrupole combined with the first bend of the chicane (combined-function magnet) → small residual deflection after the chicane (0.7 mrad).
- The IR **long straight sections** result in many secondary particles from muon decay that accumulate. A **chicane** before the FF helps remove these particles as much as possible before reaching the nozzle.

Interaction region - FF scheme v08





- Long drift for IP (L* = 6m), triplet for the final focusing, chicane to reduce Beam-Induced Background (BIB), long straight section to smoothly reduce the beta functions without increasing W functions.
- The first quadrupole is divided into three magnets with different field gradients (maximum field set to 20 T at the magnet aperture).
- No combined-function magnet in FF triplet (the 3 dipoles of the chicane separated from the FF quads). No residual deflection & dispersion after the chicane.
- The IR **long straight sections** result in many secondary particles from muon decay that accumulate. A **chicane** before the FF helps remove these particles as much as possible before reaching the nozzle.



IR – Montague functions



Montague chromatic functions:

$$W = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \quad A = \frac{d \,\alpha}{d \,\delta} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{d \,\beta}{d \,\delta} \quad B = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{d \,\beta}{d \,\delta}$$

- The very small β^* at the IP induce very large β -function in the strong focusing FF quadrupoles, resulting in **significant chromatic effects**.
- Very large W functions at the end of the interaction region.
- Need for a local chromatic correction section



Muon collider: Arcs

- Short bunch length (1.5mm) to be kept for ~>1000 turns: $\alpha_c =$ $0 \rightarrow$ Flexible momentum compaction (FMC) arcs.
- The closing of the trajectory on the entire ring is controlled by the dipoles in the arcs.





- Dispersion oscillations to obtain a negative momentum compaction factor in the arcs $\rightarrow \alpha_c \sim 0$ on the entire ring.
- Linear chromaticities controlled with sextupole pairs.





Local Chromatic Correction section (CC)





- Model used for the sextupoles: **Thin sextupoles** surrounded by dipoles.
- Code used: **XSUITE** based on initial MAD-X files from Kyriacos:
 - Configure_bend_model with sufficient number of multipolar kicks for long combined-function magnets (set the number of Yoshida steps).
 - Choose carefully the finite difference step in energy to ensure convergence of the (second order) chromaticities: *delta_chrom*.
 - Manually set h = k0 in bends, otherwise change in the orbit and unwanted effects in the lattice.
 - Footprint plots: XSUITE does not yet make the difference between Q and 1-Q

Local Chromatic correction section

Multiple iterations: work in progress



- CERN
- Sextupoles at non-zero dispersion locations to correct linear chromaticity: Wy is first corrected then Wx.
- The sextupoles come **in pairs** to cancel non-linearities, with the π phase advance controlled by the in-between quads.
- Adjust the phase at the entrance of the first sextupole in each pair to be in phase (π) with the main error sources (triplet) – μ_y = 0.75 from IP to SD and μ_x = 2.25 from IP to SF.
- Reduction by an order of magnitude of the β functions between the IR and the CC: trade-off between the sextupoles strength, β, and dispersion.
- Using the end of one section to match the desired optics in the following section (no periodic conditions).





CC - v0.6



• Dependence between the CC section and the arcs, as there is **no Q' control in the CC**. Rematch of the entire ring required by imposing $W_x = W_y = 0$ and $Q'_x = Q'_y = 0 \rightarrow$ Check that W in the arcs are not too large (small $W * 10^{-3}$)





Slight phase shift at the sextupoles helps reduce the non-linearities in β vs. δ :

• Can be done manually or in a more automated way







- Slight phase shift at the sextupoles helps reduce the non-linearities in β vs. δ :
 - Can be done manually or in a more automated manner
 - Increase the DA for several deltas





 $\delta = 10^{-3} : 0\sigma$

- Reduced DA for off-momentum particles: Footprint
 - XSUITE does not yet make the difference between nu and 1-nu.
 - Large tune shifts in tune space for off-momentum particles.
 - Difference in detuning with amplitude for off-momentum particles.







- Slight phase shift at the sextupoles helps reduce the non-linearities in eta vs. δ :
 - Can be done manually or in a more automated manner
 - Increase the DA for several deltas





 $\delta = 10^{-3} : 0\sigma$



• Reduced DA for off-momentum particles: phase space plots



- Reduced DA for off-momentum particles: Footprint
 - XSUITE does not yet make the difference between nu and 1-nu
 - Tune shifts for off-momentum particles reduced thanks to the optimized phase at sextupoles (but still large tune shifts).
 - Larger amplitude detuning for off-momentum particles.
 - 0.38 0.34 0.36 0.32 0.34 0.30 0.28 0.32 9 q_y 0.26 0.3 0.24 $\delta = 0$ 0.28 0.22 - $= 10^{-4}$ $= 7 \, 10^{-4}$ 0.26 0.20 0.18 0.24 0.30 0.35 0.40 0.45 0.20 0.25 0.50 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 qx q_x





- Slight phase shift at the sextupoles helps reduce the non-linearities in β vs. δ :
 - Can be done manually or in a more automated manner
 - Increase the DA for several deltas









- Reduced DA for off-momentum particles: Footprint
 - XSUITE does not yet make the difference between nu and 1-nu
 - Tune shifts for off-momentum particles **reduced thanks to the optimized phase** at sextupoles (but still large tune shifts).
 - Larger amplitude detuning for off-momentum particles.
 - $\delta = 0$ 0.300 0.275 0.3 $= 10^{-3}$ 0.250 0.225 ප් 0.25 q_y 0.200 0.2 0.175 0.150 0.15 0.125 0.30 0.35 0.50 0.25 0.40 0.45 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 q_{x} q_x



Optimized phase with automated cost



Dependence of the tunes on δ









CC - v07

\bigcirc Chromatic correction section – v0.7

Main **differences** with v0.6:

Double pair of sextupoles to try to control the chromaticities and the matching of $W = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}$ in the CC (independence of the CC and the arcs)

Main issues/problems in Kyriacos version:

- Magnetic field of 50T in the matching section
 - \rightarrow Better results by **limiting the quadrupole strength** in the matching of the MS (B<20T with shielding of 3cm).
- Matching of A&B and chromaticities not possible at the same time
 - \rightarrow Need 4 variables (double pair of sextupoles with slightly different phase advances at sextupoles) to correctly match (Ax, Bx), (Ay, By) but **impossible to match W AND Q'**
 - \rightarrow Dependence between the CC section and the arcs (**no Q' control in the CC**)
- D and β not the same in both sextupoles of each pair





D and β not the same in both sextupoles of each pair

- Adapt the quadrupole and dipole strengths to find a suitable solution
- Lattice strongly constrained (almost all quad strengths used for matching purposes).
- The DA is very small, even for $\delta = 0$
 - The limitation came from a 3rd order resonance ($v_1 + 2v_2 = 2$), which should have been canceled out by the second sextupole of the pair.
 - HUGE sensitivity of the lattice to the phase advance (max error of 5^e-5 on the π phase advance between two sextupoles of a pair).
- \rightarrow Doesn't seems well for future error studies!

DA on the v0.7 with the correct MS and best chromaticity achived without re-matching of the entire ring:

- DA much smaller than for v0.6 (and probably the previous version of v7 as well?)
- The off-momentum DA is not sufficiently large \rightarrow Really bad DA starting from $\delta = 7 \ 10^{-4}$; even for the « baseline lattice », without any changes.





CER











Dependence of the tunes on δ :



Local Chromatic correction section

Remaining issues of v0.6 & v0.7



- CERN
- Sufficient dynamic aperture for on-momentum particles, but requirements not yet met for the entire momentum range.
- Huge sensitivity to phase advance error between the sextupoles of a pair → poor DA for small errors.
- Beta remains very high in the CC (compared to a circumference of 10 km) → The lattice will probably be very sensitive to alignements/field errors.
- No clear knobs to control the working point in the current lattice.
- The sextupoles are placed on the slope of the dispersion.
 Ideally, we would like to place them at a maximum of the dispersion (D' = 0).
- Matching section between CC and arcs not easy to match





New optics attempt: « v0.8 »





Change the optics in order to have:

- Lower sextupole strengths and smaller β functions in CC (less sensitive to small errors).
- A periodic and symmetric cell for the CC that could be repeated as needed, without having to adjust all the quad strengths in each part (less constrained lattice); We automatically have the same β and D in the sextupoles of a pair.
- Maximum dispersion at the sextupoles ($\widetilde{D}' = 0$).
- More sextupoles to have more variables to match all the required quantites (Wx, Wy, Q'_x, Q'_y); all sextupoles in a same cell.

→ CC with **dispersion oscillation** to take advantage of a **larger dispersion** and reduce the beta functions and sextupole strengths.





CERN





• Matching section done manually based on the plots of the normalized dispersion: $\mu_x = \pi$, $\mu_y = 5\pi$.







MS between IR and CC:

- **Difficult** matching section because it requires significantly **increasing the dispersion** with not too high beta functions, while respecting the **phase constraints** : $\mu_x = 1.25$ at first SF, $\mu_y = 0.75$ at first SD.
- Magnetic field too high.

MS between CC and arcs:

- Fairly easy to find a working solution, limiting the quad strengths to reduce the magnetic field.
- May be used later to **adjust the working point**.





- The sextupoles strengths have been adjusted to correctly match W_x and W_y at the entrance of the arcs.
- No control of chromaticites \rightarrow Rematch of the entire ring to achieve $W_x = W_y = 0$, and zero chromaticities \rightarrow Large W in the arcs!
- \rightarrow Need to control the chromaticities in the CC to have W <1000 in the arcs!



V0.8 - Q' control in CC International UON Collider

• Quads in the MS between IR and CC that drive linear chromaticity at $\mu_x \sim 1$

Collaboration

• Place SF sextupole at a phase multiple of $1[2\pi]$ to correct Q'_x not coming from the FF triplet.

There are two terms that are independent of the phase variable						
h_{11}	1001 =	$\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\left(b_2 L \right)_i - \right]$	$-2(b_3L)_i\eta_{xi}^{(1)}$	$\left \beta_{xi} + O\left(\delta^2\right), \right.$		
h_{00})111 =	$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(b_{2}L\right)\right.$	$_i - 2(b_3L)_i \eta_x^{(i)}$	$_{i}^{1)}]\beta_{yi}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$	(95
which drive the linear chromaticity, the initial reason for introducing sex					sex	
tupoles into the lattice. The remaining are						
$h_{20001} =$	h [*] ₀₂₀₀₁ =	$=\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left(b_{2}L\right)_{i}\right]$	$-2(b_3L)_i\eta_{xi}^{(1)}$)] $\beta_{xi}e^{i2\mu_{xi}} + O($	$\left(\delta^{2} ight) ,$	
h_{00201} =	h_{00021}^{*} =	$= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(b_2 L) \right]$	$)_i - 2(b_3L)_i\eta$	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ xi \end{bmatrix} \beta_{yi} e^{i2\mu_{yi}} + C $	$O\left(\delta^2\right),$	
		1 N	Viz - 590/1071			

$$h_{10002} = h_{01002}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(b_2 L)_i - (b_3 L)_i \eta_{xi}^{(1)} \right] \eta_{xi}^{(1)} \sqrt{\beta_{xi}} e^{i\mu_{xi}} + O\left(\delta^3\right) (96)$$

J. Bengtsson, The sextupole scheme for the Swiss Light Source (SLS)- An Analytic Approach

→Add sextupoles in the MS between 2 CC cells, at $\mu_x = 2.42$ and $\mu_x = 2.43$; need a second MS at the end of the CC to have sextupole pairs.







→ Possible to control of W and Q' in the CC

\rightarrow Independence of the CC and the arcs.







- Poor DA and momentum acceptance
 - Due to higher order chromaticities?

$$Q_x'', Q_v'' = 1.9 e^5, 1.2e$$



ER

footprint: 0 to 5 sigma

0.310

0.300 ·

0.295 -





- Poor DA and momentum acceptance
 - →Match the second order chromaticities on the entire ring with all sets of sextupoles
 - →Increased but still small DA even for $\delta = 0$; DA increased to 8σ if we divide all sextupole strengths by 2→ Sextupole strengths too high ?





- Limitations not clear from the footprint
- Huge tune shift with δ even if $Q' \rightarrow 0$ and $Q'' \rightarrow O(10)$









Advantages:

- Play on dispersion rather than beta and sextupole strengths → The lattice will be likely less sensitive to errors.
- W and Q' control in the CC ! Seems possible to control of Q''_x , Q''_y on the entire ring
- Reducing β also helps avoid adding chromatic errors from other CC quads that may not be in phase with the FF triplet errors.
- The structure of the lattice allows for adding as many sextupoles as necessary, with the non-linear kicks always being canceled out by the sextupoles in the second cell.
- Reducing the β in the CC helps to **minimize path length differences** due to betatron oscillations (to be compared to the approximately 10 km circumference of the ring).

Issues:

- Huge second-order dispersion
- Huge magnetic field due to the large dispersion oscillation amplitude
- Tunes not (yet) adjusted; no clear knob for working point control.
- SD strength still really large !
- Low DA but lattice design much less mature than the other versions.







Comparaison of v0.6, v0.7, v0.8



Comparaison of v06, v07 and v08



	V0.6	V0.7	V0.8
Sextupoles strengths			
SF_arcs	0.064294	-0.062492	-0.101590
SD_arcs	0.117603	0.223955	0.219194
SF_CC_1	0.345776	0.327754	0.147995
SF_CC_2	1	-0.02236	-0.076368
SD_CC_1	-1.227299	-0.300536	2.516348
SD_CC_2	1	-0.470982	-0.060867
MS_SF_1	/	1	0.163888
MS_SF_2	1	1	-0.120175
β - Functions			
β_x max in CC	~25km	~50km	~2.5 km
eta_y max in CC	~38km	~50km	~1.2 km
Max Dispersion in CC	~3.5	~5.77	~40.6
Dispersion in sextupoles	~0.77 - ~1.4	0.77 – 1.44	~35.9



Collaboration



	V0.6	V0.7	V0.8
DA (Best)			
$\delta = 0$	~15 <i>o</i>	~10\sigma	~4\sigma
$\delta = 10^{-4}$	~12.4 <i>o</i>	~12 <i>o</i>	~3.7 <i>o</i>
$\delta = 7*~10^{-4}$	~6 <i>o</i>	X	X
$\delta = 10^{-3}$	$\sim 2.5\sigma - 4.5\sigma$	X	X
$\delta = 2 * 10^{-3}$	X	X	X
Q_x	44.4759 - 44.4763 - 44.4772	49.5801	45.924904
Q_y	39.6674-39.6905-39.7485	47.523	62.705569
Q'_x	$3.8e^{-4}3.4e^{-6}1.4e^{-4}$	-11.7408	$5e^{-3}$
Q'_y	$8.1e^{-8} - 1.5e^{-8} - 2.8e^{-8}$	-5.4623	$9.9e^{-7}$
Q '' _x	$-7.9e^5 - 5.5e^5 - 1.7e^4$	-5.5e ⁵	-7.87
Q ′′′ _y	$2.6e^5 - 1.1e^5 - 3.8e^4$	-1.6 <i>e</i> ⁶	6.62
Circumference	8.668km	9.959km	~ 11 km



Comparaison of v06, v07 and v08

Collaboration

 $-- D_X - D_X - W_x - W_y$ $-\beta_y$ $-D_X$ $D_X \longrightarrow W_x \longrightarrow W_v$ β_x $\beta_x - \beta_v$ $-\beta_v$ $D_X \longrightarrow W_x \longrightarrow W_v$ $\beta_x -D_X$ 1×10⁵ 60 250 8×10⁵ 0.5×10⁵ 5×10 200 40 7×10⁵ 150 4×10 6×10⁵ 100 -0.5×10⁵ 20 5×10⁵ 50 -1×10⁵ 3×10⁵ Ξ D [m] D [m] $\beta_{x,y}$ \sim -1.5×10⁵ ∩ 4×10[!] 2×10 -50 3×10⁵ -2×10^{5} -20 -1002×10⁵ -2.5×10⁵ 1×10⁵ -150 1×10^{5} -40 -3×10^{5} **FHHH** -200 -3.5×10^{5} D 2k 4k 6k 8k 10k 2k 4k 6k 8k 0 2000 4000 6000 0 8000 s [m] s [m] s [m]



Comparaison of v06, v07 and v08



V0.6	V0.7	V0.8
- DA up to 4.5 σ for $\delta = 1 * 10^{-3}$ with phases optimized at the sextupoles	- More compact arcs and slightly changed IR but no advantages in the CC	 W and Q' control in the CC! Smaller β in CC (less sensitive to errors?) Control of Q''_x, Q''_y on the entire ring All sextupole pairs automatically separated by μ_x = π, μ_y = π
-	Smaller DA than v06 and poor momentum acceptance (Bad DA from $\delta = 7 * 10^{-4}$) Everything is constrained \rightarrow Not enough degrees of freedom to optimize anything else Huge sensitivity to phase advance error between the sextupoles of a pair	 Huge B due to large dispersion oscillations. Huge second order dispersion Particle loss for δ > 7 * 10⁻⁴ Larger circumference of the ring Poor DA but also less mature lattice
- Beta remains very high in the CC		

- Dependence between the CC section and the arcs (no Q' control in the CC).
- The sextupoles are placed on the slope of the dispersion





Remaining issues and Next steps

Main design choices to discuss & issues



- Which version should we keep?
 - V0.6 with new IR ? But what are the remaining possibilities for improvements in this version?
 - If v0.7: Adding a third pair could help (3 sextupole variables for 3 parameters to match in each plane)?
 - What could be the limitations for the DA for v0.8?
- How can we handle the remaining tune shift with momentum and the dependence of footprint with momentum ?

<u>Remaining issues (to keep in mind)</u>

- High magnetic field value \rightarrow probably not possible to have magnets of 20T (FF) or 16T (arcs). We should try to reduce the field or to relax the collider requirements (increase the β^*).
- The **distance for element interconnection** still very small (30cm). We can try to increase it, at the expense of the dose due to neutrinos.
- No clear knobs to control the working point (transverse tunes) in the current lattice.





- Sensitivity study of the momentum acceptance as a function of β^*
- Implement the capability to have a combined function dipolesextupole magnet in Xsuite; Evaluate the effects of thick sextupoles.
- Improve the lattice design for momentum acceptance:
 - Any ideas ?
 - Look more closely at the RDTs
 - Second order dispersion ?
 - Add other multipolar components

• ..