# Nested Sampling for gauge theories: Partition functions Unfreezing topology First order phase transitions

### **Urs Wenger** University of Bern, Switzerland

In collaboration with: Gurtej Kanwar Simone Romiti U

b UNIVERSITÄT BERN



NGT Algorithm Workshop 10 December 2024, CERN, Switzerland



### Nested sampling (John Skilling, 2004) Nested sampling is a **Monte Carlo method** to estimate the likelihood vs phase space curve of a theory:

- Gives access to density-of-states / partition function
- Estimates of observables at arbitrary couplings
- Cheaper/easier Monte Carlo steps
- May alleviate topological freezing
- Easily parallelized

good for phase transitions

constrained uniform instead of weighted sampling

benefits of the specific sampling schedule

Bayesian evidence integral:

$$Z = \int \mathscr{L}(\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

 $\pi(\theta)$ :prior distribution $\mathscr{L}(\theta)$ :likelihoodZ:evidence

Bayesian evidence integral:

$$Z = \int \mathscr{L}(\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

 $\pi(\theta)$ :prior distribution $\mathscr{L}(\theta)$ :likelihoodZ:evidence

### Transform to 1-dim. integral:



Bayesian evidence integral:

$$Z = \int \mathscr{L}(\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

 $\pi(\theta)$ :prior distribution $\mathscr{L}(\theta)$ :likelihoodZ:evidence

 $\Rightarrow$  phase space  $X(\lambda)$  contained within likelihood  $\mathscr{L} > \lambda$ 

### Transform to 1-dim. integral:





Transform to 1-dim. integral:



Draw samples uniformly from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$ :



Draw samples uniformly from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$ :



 $L_i$ 's can be calculated.  $X_i$ 's are unknown, but:  $X_0 = 1, \quad X_i = t_i X_{i-1}$   $\Pr(t_i) = N t_i^{N-1} \text{ in (0,1)}$ with  $\langle \ln t \rangle = -1/N$ 





Draw samples uniformly from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$ :



 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

 $L_i$ 's can be calculated.  $X_i$ 's are unknown, but:  $X_0 = 1, \quad X_i = t_i X_{i-1}$   $\Pr(t_i) = N t_i^{N-1} \text{ in (0,1)}$ with  $\langle \ln t \rangle = -1/N$ 





### $\Rightarrow$ Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood $\mathscr{L}$

Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$ 



Parameter space

 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: **record** smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , **drop** the point



Parameter space

From  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$ op the point

 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: record smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , drop the point, resample uniformly within  $\mathscr{L} > \mathscr{L}_i$ -



Parameter space

 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: **record** smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , **drop** the point, **resample** uniformly within  $\mathscr{L} > \mathscr{L}_i$ -



Parameter space

 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: record smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , drop the point, resample uniformly within  $\mathscr{L} > \mathscr{L}_i$ -



Parameter space

 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: **record** smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , **drop** the point, **resample** uniformly within  $\mathscr{L} > \mathscr{L}_i$ -



Parameter space



 $\Rightarrow$  Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$ 

- Initialisation: sample N random points  $\theta$  from  $\pi(\theta)d\theta$
- Step *i*: record smallest likelihood  $\mathscr{L}_i$ , drop the point, resample uniformly within  $\mathscr{L} > \mathscr{L}_i$
- Analysis: compression factor  $t_i = X_i/X_{i-1}$  follows Beta distribution  $p(t) \propto t^{N-1}$



Parameter space



Result from simulation  $\Rightarrow$  ordered list of  $\{X_i, \mathscr{L}_i\}$ 



Parameter space



### **Nested sampling** (John Skilling, 2004) $\Rightarrow$ Estimate phase space X within contours of constant likelihood $\mathscr{L}$

- Partition function and observables for any value of  $\beta$ , e.g., with  $\mathscr{L} = e^{-S}$ :  $Z(\beta) = \int_{0}^{1} dX \mathscr{L}(X)^{\beta}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  a posteriori !

Density of states  $\Rightarrow$  universal function independent of  $\beta$ : 

$$\rho(S) = \frac{dX}{dS} = -\frac{dX}{d\ln\mathcal{L}}$$

Possible to restrict sampling to important regions in phase space (or energy)

$$\langle O \rangle_{\beta} = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1} dX \mathscr{L}(X)^{\beta} \langle O \rangle_{\mathscr{L}(X)}$$

$$Z(\beta) = \int dS \ \rho(S) \ e^{-\beta S}$$

### **Application to gauge field theories**

- Parameters  $\theta$  $\Rightarrow$  gauge fields U
- Likelihood  $\mathscr{L}$  $\Rightarrow L = \exp(-S[U])$
- Prior  $\pi(\theta)$  $\Rightarrow$  Haar measure on gauge group

### Example applications:

- 2d quenched U(1) (Schwinger model)  $\Rightarrow$  topological freezing
- 4d quenched SU(3)
  - $\Rightarrow$  first order phase transition

### Likelihood L vs. prior volume X and density of states

... or better  $-\ln L = S$  and  $\ln S$  vs  $-\ln X$ :



...or  $\rho(E) = dX/dE$  and  $\ln \rho(E) = -X d \ln X/d \ln L$ :





### **Partition function** *Z* with weights at $\beta = 5.0$





### Free energy density, continuum limit

Free energy density for quenched SM from NS 16x16 lattice,  $N_{live} = 8 \times 1024$ 1.0 NS --- exact 0.8 g² f  $-g^{2} (\ln Z)/V = 0.4$ 0.2 0.25 0.50 0.00 0.75 1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 (*ag*)<sup>2</sup>



### **Plaquette value vs** $\beta$





### **Topological charge** with weights at $\beta = 5.0$

sm\_NS\_Lx16Lt16\_8xNlive001024\_b5.0.evl



-InX

# **Topological charge distribution**





### **Topological charge** with weights at $\beta = 10.0$



sm\_NS\_Lx16Lt16\_Nlive001024\_b10.0.evl

-InX

### **Topological charge** with weights at $\beta = 10.0$

Field theoretic topological charge distribution 16x16 lattice,  $N_{live} = 8 \times 1024$ ,  $\beta = 10.0$ 



# **Topological susceptibility continuum limit**





### Summary

- Nested sampling has the potential to unfreeze topology
- Nested sampling parallelizes trivially
- Scaling with V needs to be investigated in detail

Application to 1st order phase transitions

# SU(3) confinement transition

First order phase transition ( $N_c > 2$ )

- Bulk ordering 1: Polyakov loops disordered Entropically favored, energetically disfavored
- Bulk ordering 2: Polyakov loops ordered Energetically favored, entropically disfavored

Study using thermodynamic lattices ( $N_s^3 \times N_t$ ,  $N_t < N_s$ ) with varying inverse coupling  $\beta$ 

Various existing lattice results

- Standard MC

...

Kajantie, et al. (1981) Çelik, et al. (1983) Gottlieb, et al. (1985)

- Parallel tempering Borsanyi, et al. 2202.05234
- LLR method Lucini, et al. 2305.07463



# **Nested sampling for SU(3)**

Executed 16 fully independent "streams"

- $N_{\text{live}} = 8192$  walkers for high-stats L = 8,  $L_t = 4$  run
- $N_{\text{live}} = 256$  walkers for exploratory L = 12,  $L_t = 4$  run
- Bootstrap over streams for Monte Carlo errors, compression errors still required

Constrained Monte Carlo  $p(U) \propto \Theta(S)$ 

- Initialize each resampling step copying another walker in stream
- Local constrained Metropolis updates mix sufficiently well
- Constrained HMC also possible

$$S^* - S(U))$$

```
Betancourt (2010) 1005.0157
Skilling (2012)
```



**Configuration space** 

### **Results: Action vs phase space**

 Smooth movement through action values

- Nearly linear vs  $-\log X$ 

- MCMC appears to be performing well

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Results: Polyakov evolution vs beta (L=8)**

All measurements of P during NS run Color based on weight in NS integral:

$$\left\langle O(\theta) \right\rangle_{\beta} = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1} dX L(X)^{\beta} \left\langle O \right\rangle_{L(X)}$$
$$\bigvee_{i} \propto (X_{i+1} - X_{i}) e^{-\beta S_{i}}$$

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Results: Polyakov evolution vs beta (L=8)**

All measurements of P during NS run Color based on weight in NS integral:

$$\left\langle O(\theta) \right\rangle_{\beta} = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \int_{0}^{1} dX L(X)^{\beta} \left\langle O \right\rangle_{L(X)}$$
$$\bigvee_{i} \propto (X_{i+1} - X_{i}) e^{-\beta S_{i}}$$

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Results: Polyakov evolution vs beta (L=8)**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Results: Polyakov histograms (L=8)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Results: Density of states**

### Density of states:

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Microcanonical temperature:

# $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{d\log\rho}{dS}$

# **Results: Density of states**

### Density of states:

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

Improvement achieved using:

- modified prior  $\pi(U) \sim \exp\{-\beta S[U]\}$ with  $\beta \sim \beta_c$
- Savitsky-Golay filtering

![](_page_37_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Conclusions

Nested sampling is a promising alternative Month Carlo method:

- very different sampling strategy (uniform within contours)
- it has the potential to unfreeze topology
- it can alleviate the suppression of lacksquaretunnelling in 1st order PT
- it parallelizes trivially
- Challenges and outlook:
  - Scaling with V needs to be investigated
  - inclusion of fermions

![](_page_38_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_12.jpeg)

5.7755.750 5.725 5.700 5.675 5.650 5.6255.600

### Alternative approach [lpp, Müller, Holland, Wenger, 2401.06481 & 2501.XXXX] to avoid critical slowing and topological freezing

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **SIGN 25**

### Further information: http://sign25.itp.unibe.ch/

 Swiss National Science Foundation

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

### DALCO www.dalco.ch

### Local Organizing Committee

Philippe de Forcrand Gurtej Kanwar Mikko Laine Tobias Rindlisbacher Urs Wenger Uwe-Jens Wiese

### -SIGN25

# 10<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on the sign problem in QCD and beyond 20-24 January 2025 AEC Bern, Switzerland

![](_page_40_Picture_9.jpeg)

D UNIVERSITÄT BERN

AEC ALBERT EINSTEIN CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS

![](_page_40_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Invited Speakers**

444

Andrei Alexandru (George Washington) Fakher Assaad (Würzburg) Shailesh Chandrasekharan (Duke) Jens Eisert (FU Berlin) Lena Funcke (Bonn) Emilie Huffman (Perimeter) Nikolay Prokofiev (UMass Amherst) Alexander Rothkopf (Stavanger) Boris Svistunov (UMass Amherst) Matthias Troyer (Microsoft)

### International Advisory Committee

Gert Aarts (Swansea) Jacques Bloch (Regensburg) Shailesh Chandrasekharan (Duke) Christof Gattringer (Wien) Benjamin Jäger (Odense) Tamas Kovacs (Budapest) Sandor Katz (Budapest) Michael Kroyter (Holon) Owe Philipsen (Frankfurt) Benjamin Svetitsky (Tel Aviv)

![](_page_40_Picture_17.jpeg)

# Registration deadline: 15 December

### **Further information:** http://sign25.itp.unibe.ch/

**Swiss National Science Foundation** 

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Local Organizing Committee

Philippe de Forcrand Gurtej Kanwar Mikko Laine **Tobias Rindlisbacher Urs Wenger Uwe-Jens Wiese** 

### -SIGN25

### **10<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on the** sign problem in QCD and beyond 20-24 January 2025 **AEC Bern, Switzerland**

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Invited Speakers**

444

Andrei Alexandru (George Washington) Fakher Assaad (Würzburg) Shailesh Chandrasekharan (Duke) Jens Eisert (FU Berlin) Lena Funcke (Bonn) **Emilie Huffman (Perimeter)** Nikolay Prokofiev (UMass Amherst) Alexander Rothkopf (Stavanger) **Boris Svistunov (UMass Amherst)** Matthias Troyer (Microsoft)

### **International Advisory** Committee

**Gert Aarts (Swansea) Jacques Bloch (Regensburg)** Shailesh Chandrasekharan (Duke) **Christof Gattringer (Wien)** Benjamin Jäger (Odense) Tamas Kovacs (Budapest) Sandor Katz (Budapest) Michael Kroyter (Holon) **Owe Philipsen (Frankfurt) Benjamin Svetitsky (Tel Aviv)** 

![](_page_41_Picture_16.jpeg)