



Energy correlators for boosted tops and bosons

Dennis Schwarz

COMETA Workshop, 2025



 Fundamental object in field theory: energy flow operator

$$\epsilon(\vec{n}) = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 \int_0^\infty dt \ n^i T_{0i}(t, r\vec{n})$$

- \rightarrow "Flow of energy through idealized calorimeter cell located at infinity"
- Jet substructure: study the correlation functions of energy flow operators





Energy-Energy Correlator: One of the very first event shapes and a QCD correlation observable:

Basham et al. 1978 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\chi} = \sum_{ij} \int \frac{E_i E_j}{Q^2} \delta(\vec{n}_i \cdot \vec{n}_j - \cos\chi) \mathrm{d}\sigma$

Multiple entries per event!



OPAL

 γ (degrees)

100 150

Well explored field

Basham, Brown, Ellis, Love, PRL 41, 1585 (1978)], [Schindler, Stewart, Sun, arXiv:2305.19311], [Lee, Pathak, Stewart, Sun, arXiv:2405.19396], ...

Fundamental test of QCD!

Relevance at the LHC





- \blacksquare Back-to-back limit at large χ for boson at rest
- $\rightarrow~\mbox{Peak}$ after boost
 - Observable sensitive to particle boost

Energy correlators for m_t



Why use it for m_t ?

- Top quark measurements at hadron colliders are complicated!
- Hadronic initial states, pileup, underlying event, soft QCD, parton shower, hadronization
- Correlators can provide theoretically clean predictions!



Challenges in top quark mass measurements



[CMS, arXiv:2403.01313]



Multiple strategies to measure the top quark mass:

- Direct measurements: very precise but ambiguities in m_{\star}^{MC}
- Extractions from cross sections: Less precise, often depend on definition of a stable top quark particle, tt threshold sensitive to non-trivial corrections
- Boosted measurements: defined at level of stable particles, high sensitivity to m_t , but theory and experimental phase space not compatible yet

Energy correlators in the top decay

- Triplet energy correlator captures opening angle of top decay
- ightarrow Sensitivity to boost ($p_{
 m T}$) and mass m_t
- 1. Find all triplets of particles
- 2. For each triplet: entry at $\zeta = \frac{\sum \Delta R_{ij}^2}{3}$ with weight $w = \frac{(p_{T,1}p_{T,2}p_{T,3})^n}{p_{T,jet}^n}$ (*n*: exponent of choice)
- Equilateral triangle configuration suppresses collinear contributions





Example in ee collisions

[Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Phys. Rev. D 107, 114002]





- \blacksquare Example in ee $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$
- \blacksquare Here, replace $p_{\mathsf{T},\mathsf{jet}}$ with $Q=\sqrt{s}$
- Peak at $\zeta \sim 3\left(\frac{m_t}{Q}\right)^2$
- Non-perturbative effects in the peak very small
- Sensitivity to m_t

Energy correlator in pp collisions



[Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Phys. Rev. D 107, 114002



- In pp use top decays reconstructed in a single jet
- Energy scale is now jet p_{T}
- Robust against MPI
- Measurement can be performed using tracks only!
- But peak position still depends on jet p_T, which results in large uncertainties due to jet calibration

The W as a standard candle [Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Schöfbeck, Schwarz, arXiv:2311.02157]

- If we allow the shortest side of the triangle to be small, a W peak emerges
- Similar p_T dependence in W and top peaks → cancellation











- For now, measure ratio of peak positions (with calculations available, the measurement would be performed using the full distributions)
- Jet p_T dependence eliminated in top to W ratio
- Non-perturbative effects very small
- Precise value of the ratio can be calculated. Here it differs between Pythia and Herwig because of different showers





- Measurement experimentally feasible at HL-LHC!
- Statistical uncertainty < 1 GeV already with Run 3

Systematic uncertainties - Jet energy scale



[Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Schöfbeck, Schwarz, arXiv:2407.12900]



 Variations of jet p_T (oriented at CMS jet energy uncertainty) and constituent p_T lead to shifts well below 200 MeV

Systematic uncertainties - Track efficiency



[Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Schöfbeck, Schwarz, arXiv:2407.12900]



- Vary tracking efficiency (constant 3% or *p*_T-dependent)
- Second model where we only vary the light/heavy tracking efficiency
- Estimates have larger uncertainties, still small effect

Systematic uncertainties - Modelling



[Holguin, Moult, Pathak, Procura, Schöfbeck, Schwarz, arXiv:2407.12900]



- Also studied modelling parameters that enter via (simulation-based) unfolding
- Variations of UE tune, color reconnection, b fragmentation
- All smaller than 200 MeV





[■] Stable for suitable jet *R*

■ If boost too small for chosen *R*: edge effects



In summary:

- Novel idea to extract m_t using correlators
- Sensitivity to $m_t \checkmark$
- \blacksquare Robust against uncertainties \checkmark
- Theoretical control



Energy correlators in diboson [Ricci, Riembau, Phys. Rev. D 106, 114010]

Energy correlators in diboson [Ricci, Riembau, Phys. Rev. D 106, 114010]

- One-point correlator in boosted $W/Z \rightarrow qq$
- Distance to jet axis as measure
- Smaller distances in configurations where quarks are emitted in/against boson direction
- $\rightarrow\,$ Sensitivity to polarization!







Energy correlators in diboson [Ricci, Riembau, Phys. Rev. D 106, 114010]

Now look at two-point correlator

- Two-point correlator peaks at similar position because quark-quark distance is not changed
- But: Interference effects visible in both E1C and E2C with respect to angle φ
 (azimuthal angle relative to scattering plane)
- Sensitive to EFT!





Energy correlators for α_{S} [CMS, PRL 133 (2024) 071903]

Energy correlators for α_S [CMS, PRL 133 (2024) 071903]





- Measurement of α_S inside jets
- Sensitivity from ratio of two-point to three-point correlators
- \blacksquare Most precise $\alpha_{\mathcal{S}}$ measurement using jet substructure

Dennis Schwarz

Summary



- Energy correlators provide clean predictions from theory
- Various configurations for different physics questions
- Proposal for precision measurement of m_t
- Applications also in boosted bosons
- Related idea: Learn EFT effects from PF candidates inside jets

[Chatterjee, Cruz, Schöfbeck, Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 109, 076012]

- Most precise α_S measurement already published
- Promising field at the LHC!

