Future Opportunities at JLab with 12 GeV Positrons and 22 GeV Electrons

...with a little 12 GeV thrown in 3

Cynthia Keppel

CTEQ Collaboration Meeting Christopher Newport University November 21, 2024







Office of Science The combined positron to 22 GeV GeV upgrade is a rather new opportunity at Jefferson Lab....

The community did a lot of work (science workshops, accelerator studies, cost estimating, profile development,...) to quickly prepare for the NSAC Long Range Plan - Critical just to be mentioned favorably!



"To investigate the other XYZP states, higher beam energy is required; the tetraquark candidate Z_c states would Z_c be copiously produced at a highluminosity, fixed-target electron machine operating above 20 GeV."

"The role of two-photon exchange can be determined by measurements using a positron beam, which could be realized at Jefferson Lab with a modest accelerator upgrade."

"The staged upgrade plan for CEBAF foresees...[]...an energy upgrade of CEBAF to more than 20 GeV..... exciting new technology could enable a cost-effective method to double the energy of CEBAF, allowing wider kinematic reach for nucleon femtography studies in the existing tunnels and with no new cryomodules required."

Science at the Luminosity Frontier: Jefferson Lab Upgrade Development



Topical issue on An Experimental **Program with** Positron **Beams** at Jefferson Lab Eur. Phys. J. A 58 (2022) 3, 45 SCIENCE AT THE LUMINOSITY FRONTIER: **JEFFERSON LAB AT 22 GEV**

LABORATORI NAZIONALI DI FRASCATI - INFN (ITALY) **DECEMBER 9-13, 2024**

Strong Interaction Physics at the Luminosity Frontier with 22 GeV Electrons at JLab Eur.Phys.J.A 60 (2024) 9, 173



2009 1st dedicated workshop



in this science

2012-16 PEPPo demonstrates 82% e+ polarization

workshops along the way



I-FUTURE terlan Lib 🖳

Jefferson Lab

JEFFERSON LAB ACCELERATOR PHASED UPGRADE

- A staged upgrade program at the luminosity frontier (up to 10³⁹ e-N /cm²/ s), capitalizing on novel accelerator science and technology
- Phase 1: Polarized positrons in a former FEL ("LERF") with transport to CEBAF (proposed 12 GeV science program)
- Phase 2: Recirculating injector energy upgrade to 650 MeV electrons
- Replace one set of arcs on each side with new FFA permanent magnet arcs to upgrade to 22 GeV – <u>no new RF needed! No new cryomodules needed!</u>



12 GeV Ce⁺BAF: Polarized Positron Beams

	Machine Parameter	Electrons	Positrons		
	Hall Multiplicity	4	1 or 2		
	Energy (ABC/D)	11/12 GeV	11/12 GeV		
	Beam Repetition	249.5/499 MHz	249.5/499 MHz		
	Duty Factor	100% cw	100% cw		
	Unpolarized Intensity	170 µA	> 1 µA		
	Polarized Intensity	170 µA	> 50 nA		
	Beam Polarization	> 85%	> 60%		
	Fast/Slow Helicity Reversal	1920 Hz/Yes	1920 Hz/Yes		



6 Experiments, 10+ LOIs, even more ideas!

		PHYSICS			DAYS	SCIENTIFIC	PAC
NUMBER	TITLE	THEME	CONTACT PERSON	HALL	AWARDED	RATING	DECISION
	Beam Charge Asymmetries for Deeply Virtual Compton						
PR12+23-002	Scattering on the Proton at CLAS12	GPDs	Eric Voutier	В	100	A-	C1
	Measurement of Deep Inelastic Scattering from Nuclei with						
PR12+23-003	Electron and Positron Beams to Constrain the Impact of	TPE	Dave Gaskell	С	9.3	A-	C1
	Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering using a positron beam in						
PR12+23-006	Hall C	GPDs	Carlos Muñoz Camacho	С	137	A-	C1
	A Direct Measurement of Hard Two-Photon Exchange with						
PR12+23-008	Electrons and Positrons at CLAS12	TPE	Axel Schmidt	В	55	Α	C1
	A measurement of two-photon exchange in unpolarized						
PR12+23-012	elastic positron-proton and electron-proton scattering	TPE	Michael Nycz	С	56	A-	C1
PR12+24-005	A Dark Photon Search with a JLab positron beam	FS	Bogdan Qojtsekhowski	В	55	A-	C1

Approved 210 days Hall B & 202 days in Hall C for 357 total PAC days (PAC day = two calendar day)

The JLab Positron Working Group offers review for new experimental proposals.







Pol. e⁺ Injector Critical Risk Areas mA e⁻ Source, High Power Targets, Capture Cavity

Conceptual Development

- Improve design of e+ injector
- Develop pCDR

- High current e⁻ source (<10 mA @ 10 MeV)
- Up to 90% polarization
- Long life time



• M. Bruker, IPAC'24, MOPC52

 JLab LDRD "A high-intensity, polarized-beam prototype photogun for the Ce⁺BAF positron source",

PI: M. Bruker, proposal awarded, FY25-FY26

S. Habet, PhD Thesis, Dec 2023

	Simul.	Goal	
Energy [MeV]	123		
Current [nA]	170	> 50	
Polarization [%]	65	> 60	
$\sigma_{\Delta p/p}$ [%]	0.6	< 1	
σ_{z} [ps]	2	< 4	
ε_{nx} , ε_{ny} [m·rad]	1.5e-3	< 4e-5	





Development and Test of Liquid Metal Targets

Two target concepts are considered

- 1. Solid high-Z target (i.e. tungsten) \Rightarrow next slide
- 2. Liquid metal targets (GalnSn, PbBi)
- Liquid Metal Targets are developed by Xelera Research LLC
- DOE Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Phase 2, Oct 2024 – Sep 2026
- Planned test of GaInSn target at JLab with up to 10 mA @ 10 MeV e⁻ beam
- Liquid PbBi target is proposed for future for drive e⁻ beam with 1 mA @ 120 MeV



Example of GaInSn jet with speed ~8 m/s



N. Taylor et al., IPAC'24, <u>TUPC83</u>

A. Ushakov "Positron Beams for 12 GeV CEBAF", Hadron Physics 2030



Concept of Tungsten Target, CFD Simulations, Prototype Testing (S. Covrig)

10

Design goal: target should be able to take 1 mA, 120 MeV CW e⁻ beam current and have a lifetime of 6 months (or longer)

- Focus on assessing with CFD solid high-Z targets (tungsten, W-alloys, etc.)
- · Implemented full time-dependent CFD simulations
- Initial calculations have shown that the rotating W target (4mm thickness, 10 Hz rot. frequency, >30 cm diameter) can take 20 kW beam power and peak target temperature T_{max} < 1000 K
- Started looking at target engineering, radiological issues, shielding
- DOE FY2024 R&D for Next Generation Nuclear Physics Accelerator Facilities (funded, FY25-FY26)



A. Ushakov "Positron Beams for 12 GeV CEBAF", Hadron Physics 2030





Positrons open the door to understanding a range of physics that can't be accessed with electrons alone.

Beam charge asymmetries

- Two-photon exchange
- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering



- Light dark matter searches

Charged-current processes

- Inverse beta-decay
- Access strangeness with charm-tagging
- Charged lepton flavor violation
- Axial Form Factor

$$\sigma \approx |\mathcal{M}|^2 = \left| \begin{array}{c} & & \\$$





Along with many other new ideas!



Two-photon exchange may be the cause of the proton form factor discrepancy.





Hall A Today

Just completed Neutron Electric Form Factor Experiments (part of the SBS program)

- 60cm long polarized helium cell at 50% polarization at 45 uA
- <u>Highest Figure-of-merit ever achieved!</u>
- 6 graduate students on the experiment.



Gary is installing target oven

Kate with the 3He target cell

Currently installing GEp (to start early 2025)





Hunter is excited about the first NMR measurement



13 2022 QCD Town Meeting

Polarized positrons are an excellent tool for separating DVCS from background



Positron data would dramatically improve GPD global fits.

- Lepton beams of opposite charge separate Interference from DVCS
- Lepton beams of opposite helicity separate spin (in)dependent contributions
- Clean isolation of DVCS, constraints
 on GPDs



Eur.Phys.J.A 60 (2024) 9, 173

24 Aug 2023

arXiv:2306.09360v2 [nucl-ex]

JLAB-PHY-23-3840

140+ pages, ~450 authors with many powerful experiments <u>using existing or</u> <u>planned equipment</u>just a few examples.... Strong Interaction Physics at the Luminosity Frontier with 22 GeV Electrons at Jefferson Lab

A. Accardi¹, P. Achenbach², D. Adhikari³, A. Afanasev⁴, C.S. Akondi⁵, N. Akopov⁶ M. Albaladejo⁷, H. Albataineh⁸, M. Albrecht², B. Almeida-Zamora⁹, M. Amarvan¹⁰. D. Androić¹¹, W. Armstrong¹², D.S. Armstrong¹³, M. Arratia¹⁴, J. Arrington¹⁵, A. Asaturyan¹⁶, A. Austregesilo², H. Avagyan², T. Averett¹³, C. Ayerbe Gayoso¹³ A. Bacchetta¹⁷, A.B. Balantekin¹⁸, N. Baltzell², L. Barion¹⁹, P. C. Barry², A. Bashir^{20,2} M. Battaglieri²¹, V. Bellini²², I. Belov²¹, O. Benhar²³, B. Benkel²⁴, F Benmokhtar²⁵ W. Bentz²⁶, V. Bertone²⁷, H. Bhatt²⁸, A. Bianconi²⁹, L. Bibrzycki³⁰, R. Bijker³¹, D. Binosi³², D. Biswas³, M. Boër³, W. Boeglin³³, S.A. Bogacz², M. Boglione³⁴, M. Bondí²², E.E. Boos³⁵, P. Bosted¹³, G. Bozzi³⁶, E.J. Brash³⁷, R. A. Briceño³⁸, P.D. Brindza¹⁰, W.J. Briscoe⁴, S.J Brodsky³⁹, W.K. Brooks^{40,41,42}, V.D. Burkert², A. Camsonne², T. Cao², L.S. Cardman², D.S. Carman², M Carpinelli⁴³, G.D. Cates⁴⁴ J. Caylor², A. Celentano²¹, F.G. Celiberto⁴⁵, M. Cerutti¹⁷, Lei Chang⁴⁶, P. Chatagnon², C. Chen^{47,48}, J-P Chen², T. Chetry³³, A. Christopher¹, E. Christy², E. Chudakov² E. Cisbani²³, I. C. Cloët¹², J.J. Cobos-Martinez⁴⁹, E. O. Cohen^{50,51}, P. Colangelo⁵², P.L. Cole⁵³, M. Constantinou⁵⁴, M. Contalbrigo¹⁹, G. Costantini⁵⁵, W. Cosyn³³, C. Cotton⁴⁴, A. Courtoy¹⁷⁰, S. Covrig Dusa², V. Crede⁵, Z.-F. Cui⁵⁶, A. D'Angelo⁵⁷ M. Döring⁴, M. M. Dalton², I. Danilkin⁵⁸, M. Davydov³⁵, D. Day⁴⁴, F. De Fazio⁵⁹, M. De Napoli²², R. De Vita²¹, D.J. Dean^{2,†}, M. Defurne²⁷, A. Deur², B. Devkota²⁸, S. Dhital¹, P. Di Nezza⁶⁰, M. Diefenthaler², S. Diehl^{61,62}, C. Dilks⁶³, M. Ding⁶⁴, C. Djalali⁶⁵, S. Dobbs⁵, R. Dupré⁶⁶, D. Dutta²⁸, R.G. Edwards², H. Egiyan², L. Ehinger⁶⁷, G. Eichmann⁶⁸, M. Elaasar⁶⁹, L. Elouadrhiri², A. El Alaoui⁴⁰, L. El Fassi²⁸, A. Emmert⁴⁴, M. Engelhardt⁷⁰, R. Ent², D.J Ernst⁷¹, P. Eugenio⁵, G. Evans⁷², C. Fanelli¹³, S. Fegan⁷³, C. Fernández-Ramírez^{74,31}, L.A. Fernandez²⁰, I. P. Fernando⁴⁴, A. Filippi⁷⁵ C.S. Fischer⁶¹, C. Fogler¹⁰, N. Fomin⁷⁶, L. Frankfurt⁵⁰, T. Frederico⁷⁷, A. Freese⁷⁸, Y. Fu⁷⁹ L. Gamberg⁸⁰, L. Gan¹⁶, F. Gao⁸¹, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi⁸², D. Gaskell², A. Gasparian⁸³, K Gates⁸⁴, G. Gavalian², P.K. Ghoshal², A. Giachino⁸⁵, F. Giacosa⁸⁶, F. Giannuzzi⁵², G.-P. Gilfoyle⁸⁷, F-X Girod², D. I. Glazier⁸⁴, C. Gleason⁸⁸, S. Godfrey⁸⁹, J.L. Goity^{2,1}, A.A. Golubenko³⁵, S. Gonzàlez-Solís⁹⁰, R.W. Gothe⁹¹, Y. Gotra², K. Griffioen¹³, O. Grocholski⁹², B. Grube², P. Guèye⁷⁹, F.-K. Guo^{93,94}, Y. Guo⁹⁵, L. Guo³³, T. J. Hague¹⁵ N. Hammoud⁸⁵, J.-O. Hansen², M. Hattawy¹⁰, F. Hauenstein², T. Hayward⁶², D. Heddle³⁷. N. Heinrich⁹⁶, O. Hen⁶⁷, D.W. Higinbotham², I.M. Higuera-Angulo⁹⁷, A. N. Hiller Blin⁹⁸. A. Hobart⁶⁶, T. Hobbs¹², D.E Holmberg¹³, T. Horn^{2,99}, P. Hoyer¹⁰⁰, G.M. Huber⁹⁶, P. Hurck⁸⁴, P. T. P. Hutauruk¹⁰¹, Y. Ilieva⁹¹, I. Illari⁴, D.G Ireland⁸⁴, E.L. Isupov³⁵ A. Italiano²², I. Jaegle², N.S. Jarvis¹⁰², DJ Jenkins³, S. Jeschonnek¹⁰³, C-R. Ji¹⁰⁴, H.S. Jo¹⁰⁵, M. Jones², R.T. Jones⁶², D.C. Jones², K. Joo⁶², M. Junaid⁹⁶, T. Kageya² N. Kalantarians¹⁰⁶, A. Karki²⁸, G. Karyan⁶, A.T. Katramatou¹⁰⁷, S.J.D Kay⁷³, R. Kazimi², C.D. Keith², C. Keppel^{2,†}, A. Kerbizi¹⁰⁸, V. Khachatryan¹⁰⁹, A. Khanal³³, M. Khandaker¹¹⁰, A. Kim⁶², E.R. Kinney¹¹¹, M. Kohl¹, A. Kotzinian^{6,112}, B. T. Kriesten^{113,2}, V. Kubarovsky², B. Kubis¹¹⁴, S.E. Kuhn¹⁰, V. Kumar⁹⁶, T. Kutz⁶⁷, M. Leali^{115,116}, R.F. Lebed¹¹⁷, P. Lenisa¹¹⁸, L. Leskovec¹¹⁹, S. Li¹⁵, X. Li⁶⁷, J. Liao¹⁰⁹,

Compact FFA Cell – How Does it Work?

 Large momentum acceptance FFA (Fixed Field, Alternating Gradient) cell is configured with combined function permanent magnets capable of transporting multiple energy beams through the same string of magnets (six beams with energies spanning a factor of two)





Focusing Magnet BF G_F = -41.13 T/m L_{QF} = 1.67 m B_F = -0.812 T

- Closely spaced orbits for all six beams (~ 1 cm)
- Extremally low dispersion (a few mm) in a combined function lattice – virtue of FFA technology
- Self similar beta functions for different energy beams
- Arc composed of 75 cells, $L_{cell} = 3.15$ m



Multi-Energy Beam Dynamics in FFA Arc

CBET 2019-2022 the first multi-turn SRF ERL (150 MeV)



Stephen Brooks, BNL

 14th International Particle Accelerator Conference, Venice, Italy
 JACoW Publishing

 ISBN: 978-3-95450-231-8
 ISSN: 2673-5490
 doi: 10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2023-MOPL182

CEBAF 22 GeV FFA ENERGY UPGRADE*

K. E. Deitrick[†], J. F. Benesch, R. M. Bodenstein, S. A. Bogacz, A. M. Coxe, B. R. Gamage, R. Kazimi, D. Z. Khan, G. A. Krafft, K. E. Price, Y. Roblin, A. Seryi, T. Satogata Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA, USA
J. S. Berg, S. J. Brooks, D. Trbojevic, Brookhaven National Lab, Upton, NY, USA
V. S Morozov, Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, TN, USA
G. H. Hoffstaetter¹, CLASSE, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
¹ also at Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

Abstract

Extending the energy reach of CEBAF by increasing the number of recirculations, while using the existing linacs is explored. This energy upgrade is based on the multi-pass acceleration of electrons in a single non-scaling Fixed Field Alternating Gradient (FFA) beam line, using Halbach-style permanent magnets. Encouraged by the recent successful demonstration of CBETA, a proposal was formulated to nearly double the energy of CEBAF from 12 to 22 GeV by replacing the highest energy arcs with FFA transport. The we FFA arcs would support simultaneous transport of an additional 6 passes spanning roughly a factor of two in energy.



Scaling to higher energy at Jlab...



A prototype open midplane BF magnet was built and evaluated for mechanical integrity. Magnetic measurement confirmed a robust design with >1.5 Tesla in good field region, 10⁻³ field accuracy. JLab radiation resilience tests in CEBAF began October 2023.



Why CEBAF @ 22 GeV?

"The ability to reduce everything to simple fundamental laws does not imply the ability to start from those laws and reconstruct the universe." -- More is Different, P. W. Anderson [Science 177, 393 (1972)]



In this landmark paper P.W. Anderson established complexity as a fundamentally important subject of inquiry. He highlighted profound limitations of reductionist approaches in understanding nature's complexity, and he set in motion new lines of investigation that have led to, for instance, condensed matter physics and systems biology.

Levels at which different processes occur require our close and careful attention: understanding the dynamics at a given level often requires more than simply being able to characterize the dynamics at lower levels. Complexity is fundamentally important in its own right.



Why CEBAF @ 22 GeV?



Studying the Complexity in Quantum Chromodynamics

Need to understand strong interaction dynamics <u>at multiple levels</u>, from asymptotically free fundamental quark and gluon constituents to

- the structure of (bound) nucleons, to
- nucleon-nucleon interactions, and to
- the nuclear medium

Understanding the dynamics at each level is a complex, non-pQCD problem which demands different approaches and measurements to access multiple observables across multiple scales



What a 22 GeV Upgrade Brings



A NEW territory to explore → cross the critical threshold into the region where cc̄ states can be produced in large quantities, and with additional light quark degrees of freedom

A BRIDGE between JLab @ 12 GeV and EIC → testing and validation of QCD from lower to higher energy, through multiple phenomena, and with high precision

A BETTER insight into our current program → enhancement of the phase space



JLab 12 to 22 GeV: Probing Nucleon Valence Structure at <u>High Luminosity</u>



Physics with CEBAF at 12 GeV and Future Opportunities Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 127 (2022) 103985 Partonic structure in the valence region <u>defines</u> the hadron

- Baryon number, charge, flavor content, total spin, ...

Large x, low Q² evolves to low x, high Q² via pQCD, extract shape and strength <u>from data</u>

Precision measurements (2D,3D) in the valence regime requiring high luminosity are the purview of JLab, providing overlap with EIC into the low x region



(See Deb's talk)

Spectroscopy of Exotic States with charm og

Photoproduction of hadrons with charm quarks: a <u>new tool for discovery in QCD</u>

- → a unique method to probe the gluonic structure of the proton
- → potentially decisive information about the nature of some 5-quark and 4-quark candidates



Thresholds crossed and t range opens up at higher energy

- Tetraquark candidates, XYZ states, observed in B decays, e⁺e⁻ colliders but their internal structure is <u>not yet understood</u>
- Never directly produced using γ/lepton beams → Polarized photoproduction alternative mechanism to study such states





Initial simulations demonstrate the capabilities of the existing detectors to measure these reactions Jefferson Lab²

 Z_c^-

^++

 I/ψ

J/ψ photoproduction near threshold

Used to study important aspects of the gluon structure of the proton

- gluon GPD, gravitational FF
- mass radius of the proton,
 - (mainly 2-g exchange) anomalous contribution to the proton mass.



3.507 / 5

8.821 / 7

1.53 ± 0.2821

1.26 ± 0.3776

0.07952 ± 0.2152

 0.3356 ± 0.4655

 3.207 ± 0.5251

1.838 ± 0.2868

 0.302 ± 0.1726

 0.5406 ± 0.1106

10

GLU

8



CANNOT be explained by tchannel (GLUON EXCHANGE) alone

Can have contribution from open-charm exchange to both σ and $d\sigma/dt$ at high t



-t, GeV² Enhancement of $d\sigma/dt$ at high t for the lowest energy slice

 χ^2 / ndf

D0

D1

p2

D3

10.36-11.44 GeV

9.28-10.36 GeV

– 8.2-9.28 GeV

Can we interpret this as a possible evidence for a s-channel resonance (?) Pc Jefferson Lab

1.239/3

 1.375 ± 0.6935

 1.678 ± 0.4026

 0.00442 ± 0.00801

 $p_0 e^{tp_1} + p_2 e^{tp_3}$

 -0.4381 ± 0.4186

 χ^2 / ndf

 χ^2 / ndf

p0

p1

p2

p3

p0

p1

p2

p3

do/dt, nb/GeV²

 10^{-1}

 10^{-2}

0

Nucleon Structure in 3D



A combined 11, 22 GeV and EIC SIDIS program

will increase our ability to measure a variety of SIDIS SFs across an enhanced **multidimensional phase space** – the only way to test and validate our understanding and interpretation of SIDIS reactions.



TMDs: High Statistics Crucial!



SIDIS Phase Space at 22 GeV



Expected uncertainties for SIDIS cross sections in 4D bins

Significantly increase the -2 kinematic range to validate/test the theory/phenomenology

Multi-dimensional coverage of P_T gives access to fine binning of all observables

Projections using the existing CLAS12 simulation/reconstruction chain for 100 days of running with L= 10^{35} cm²s⁻¹



Spacial Structure & Mechanical Properties of the Proton



Spacial Structure & Mechanical Properties of the Proton

- Form Factors: source of information on hadron structure
- Gravitational FFs (GFFs) : describe how energy, spin, and various mechanical properties of hadrons are carried by q/g constituents.
- GFF D(t): describes the pressure distribution in the nucleon. It is accessible through measurements of the Compton FFs measured in **Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering**
- A large -t range is required to perform the Fourier transform with controlled uncertainties → high luminosity
- The 22 GeV beam energy is crucial to this program

Double DVCS (DDVCS): $e+p \rightarrow e' + (|+|-)+p$, $|=e \text{ or } \mu$



NEVER been measured (very small cross section).→ JLAB with high luminosity will be the only place to measure this reaction.







Unambiguous Access to Strange Quarks

Current Situation

- di-muon production in neutrino-nucleus scattering – nuclear corrections introduce significant uncertainty
- W and Z rapidity distributions
- W+c production
- Semi-inclusive K production: choice of fragmentation function negates inclusion in global fits
- JLab12 Q² too low for PDF analysis

Parity-violating DIS

 Parity-violating DIS allows strange contribution to be isolated from combined with p,n data

 $s + \bar{s} \approx 3(5F_2^{\gamma Zp} - F_2^{\gamma p} - F_2^{\gamma n}) \qquad \text{LO}$

- Valence regime provides <u>strength and</u> <u>shape</u>
- Substantial improvement with a reduction in the uncertainty that can reach <u>more than a factor</u> <u>two at large-x</u>

Partonic Structure of Mesons

Meson structure

- Tagged deep inelastic scattering (TDIS) provides a mechanism to access the meson structure via the Sullivan process .
- A cut of W² π > 1.04 GeV² (to avoid contributions from resonances in a pion analysis,) eliminates most of the data at 11 GeV.

<u>At 22 GeV:</u>

Available phase space significantly increased

→ large improvement in the determination of the valence structure of the pion

 \rightarrow kin. coverage to smaller x_{π} region to probe the sea content of mesons

Overlap the existing π induced DY data
 → test the universality of PDFs in the mid to large x_π region

→ pion PDF predicted to be broader than the proton PDF

Anti-shadowing: solving a multi-decade puzzle

With a 22 GeV e- beam JLab can access the anti-shadowing region (x~0.1-0.3) at moderate Q²

- Region extremely interesting, near-equally dominated by valence quarks, sea-quarks, and gluons → many many models!!
- Anti-Shadowing is the <u>least studied</u> nuclear structure function effect experimentally – <u>small effect requiring precision and high</u> <u>luminosity</u>
 - flavor dependence essentially uncharted
 - spin dependence essentially uncharted (~50% differences in predictions)
 - no tagged measurements
 - no L/T separations

A rigorous testing ground between shadowing, EMC regimes – models and theory must describe **ALL**

Jefferson Lab

QCD Confinement and Fundamental Symmetries

Primakoff production off nuclear target

A 22 GeV upgrade will greatly enhance the Primakoff experiments for more massive mesons off nuclear target

first Primakoff measurement of $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ with ~3.5% precision to study the U(1)A anomaly coupling to the gluon field,

improve the measurement of $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ to a 2% accuracy to determine the lightquark mass ratio Jefferson Lab

MOLLER Scattering at Jefferson Lab – installation in a year!

$$\delta(\sin^2 \theta_W) = \pm 0.00023 \text{ (stat.) } \pm 0.00012 \text{ (syst.)}$$

 $\implies \sim 0.1\%$

- Unique (purely leptonic) new physics reach
- Special opportunity with JLab high luminosity

Search for new flavor diagonal neutral currents

Look for tiny but measurable deviations from precisely calculable predictions for SM processes

- Unique discovery space: beyond that of a 500 GeV lepton collider
- Precursor to HiLumi LHC sin²ϑ_W complementary precision electroweak physics
- Impacts the current discrepancy on the W mass (Fermilab vs LHC)

Upgrade Outlook

- Understanding structure and dynamics in non-pQCD and the strong interaction is a complex problem requiring multiple observables using different approaches and measurements
- The CEBAF uniqueness to run electron and positron scattering experiments at the luminosity frontier provides a powerful tool
- Proposals are being accepted by the Program Advisory Committee for positron science (6 approved and more to come!)
- A very strong science case for an upgrade is emerging come join the fun!
 O 22 GeV workshop at LNF-INFN in Frascati December 9-13, 2024 <u>https://www.jlab.org/conference/dec24luminosity22gev</u>

Polarized gluon distribution, standard model tests, longitudinal/transverse separations, hadron formation in nuclei, meson form factors, probing the light quark sea,..... and more!

BDX and Secondary Beam Capability Behind Hall A

The Beam Dump Experiment (BDX) at Jefferson Laboratory (JLab) is an electron-beam thick- target experiment to search for Light Dark Matter (LDM) particles in the MeV-GeV mass range $10 \text{ m} \longrightarrow 10 \text$

Muons and neutrinos that penetrate the shielding form high-intensity secondary beams.

(M. Battaglieri et al., *Secondary Beams at High-Intensity Electron Accelerator Facilities*, Instruments 8, 1 (2024), <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/instruments80</u> <u>10001</u>.)

Is this a new JLab (HEP) Physics program? Look for 'BDX and Beyond' workshop being planned at JLab for this Fall/Winter!

Jefferson Lab

Thank you!

Thank you for listening, and thanks also to the scientific community working on developing this exciting science!

More info!

Notional CEBAF & upgrade schedule (FY24 - FY42)

- Accelerator and engineering team have worked up an early schedule and cost estimate – in revision
 - Schedule assumptions based on a notional timing of when funds might be available (near EIC ramp down based on EIC V3 profile)
 - For completeness, Moller and SoLID (part of 12 GeV program) are shown; positron source development also shown

Polarized Structure Functions and α_s

Extend the precision and kinematic reach of polarized structure functions

Bjorken Sum Rule $\Gamma_1^{p-n}(Q^2) \equiv \int \left(g_1^p(x,Q^2) - g_1^n(x,\bar{Q}^2)\right) dx$.

The strong coupling constant is a key parameter of the Standard Model

Its current uncertainty is the least precise among all fundamental couplings

Nuclear Dynamics at Extreme Conditions

The dynamics of the nuclear repulsive core is still poorly understood

A 22 GeV upgrade will provide reach to the nuclear forces dominated by nuclear repulsion

Superfast Quarks

The high Q² reach will allow

- the suppression of quasi-elastic contributions,
- the first-ever study of nuclear DIS structure function at Bjorken x > 1.2 (r~ 0.5 fm,)

(repulsive hard-core,

NN scalar interaction)

1.0

0.8

 $\sim 1.0 < r < \sim 2$ fm

(intermediate, NN

tensor interaction)

0.6

Missing Momenta, $p_{\rm m}$ (GeV/c)

0.4

 $r > \sim 2 \text{ fm}$

(long-range

OPEP)

0.2

0.0

reproduces data (non-nucleonic degrees of freedom?, quarks?) pm > 0.7 GeV/c

Exploring Deuteron at Very Large Internal **Momenta** (> 800 MeV/c – non-nucleonic &

orojected data

1.2

Bound 3 Quark Structure of N*s and Emergence of Mass

- Q² evolution of the γvpN* electrocouplings could offer an insight into hadron mass generation and the emergence of the N* structure from QCD
- Simulations indicate JLab22 is the only foreseeable facility to extend these measurements up to 30 GeV²

Continuum Schwinger Method

QCD equations of motion for q/g fields reveal existence of dressed q/g with momentum-dependent masses.

Q² range(<35 GeV²) where the dominant portion of hadron mass is expected to be generated

